

February 21, 2017

Curtis Hill, Indiana Attorney General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
Indiana Government Center South, 5th Floor
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770

Attorney General Curtis Hill,

I have provided the enclosed information to you via USPS Certified Mail, Return Receipt implicating Chief Deputy Attorney General F. Aaron Negangard in a conspiracy to tamper with the official records of a grand jury proceeding.

Upon being confronted on the issue, I would assume Mr. Negangard would take a nonchalant attitude and attempt to detract from the facts of the case by claiming I am a disgruntled criminal litigant. I would encourage you to call Mr. Negangard into your office and address a motion dated March 7, 2011, filed by your Chief Deputy when Negangard was the elected Prosecutor of Dearborn County, Indiana. Negangard's PRECIPE states:

“Comes now the State of Indiana by F. Aaron Negangard, Prosecuting Attorney for the Seventh Judicial Circuit, and praecipes the Court Reporter of the Dearborn Superior Court II to prepare and certify a full and complete transcript of the grand jury proceedings in this cause of action.”

Page one of the grand jury transcripts begins at witness testimony. There were no orders or petitions modifying the instructions to the court reporter. The transcripts were certified as being complete despite the omission of any dialogue occurring prior to witness testimony. The prosecution instructed me to refer to the full transcription of the grand jury proceedings to determine what actions I was supposed to defend during my criminal trial. Negangard then submitted the partial transcript without my knowledge, thus depriving me the ability to understand what actions I was required to defend.

I know your office has no control over post-conviction measures or other legal remedies to which I may be entitled in my criminal case but your office does have a substantial interest in the situation. Aside from being a violation of federal law to conspire with court employees to deprive a criminal defendant of charging information and evidence by altering grand jury records, the matter is immediately relevant to your office by means of the refiling of a lawsuit seeking grand jury audio

in the case. The release of the grand jury audio will determine at least one of two things. The release of the grand jury audio will determine whether Chief Deputy Negangard intentionally presented altered grand jury transcripts as evidence, or the release will determine if Chief Deputy Negangard instructed court reporters not to record portions of grand jury investigations prior to witness testimony. As the current audio released by the Dearborn Superior Court II does not include as much information that is contained in the transcription of the same audio record, the release of the unedited grand jury audio will likely demonstrate that the Dearborn Superior Court II altered grand jury audio to cover-up the altered grand jury transcripts. As such, your office has a personal interest in representing the state agency in *Brewington v. Dearborn Superior Court II* because the release of the audio will demonstrate some form of grand jury abuse and/or record tampering by Indiana Chief Deputy Attorney General F. Aaron Negangard. Please remember that there is no record of any order or petition directing the court reporter of the Dearborn Superior Court II to modify the transcription of the grand jury proceeding. Page one begins with the swearing in of the first witness. Chief Deputy Negangard cannot change the facts. It really becomes a matter of how long your office wishes to ignore the misconduct.

As I stated before, I am simply making the Office of the Indiana Attorney General aware that Chief Deputy Negangard participated in a conspiracy to alter grand jury records. I have enclosed a copy of my Verified Petition for Post-Conviction Relief, which also addresses how Chief Deputy Negangard explained to a trial jury that Indiana law permits the State to criminally prosecute people for criticizing judges, via the Indiana Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys. That's not an exaggeration. Feel free to contact me with any questions. For more information, visit www.danbrewington.blogspot.com, where I have also included a copy of this letter for your convenience.

Very truly yours,



Daniel P. Brewington

contactdanbrewington@gmail.com

Enclosed:

Verified Petition for Post-Conviction Relief

February 21, 2017 letter to Clerk