

1 the witness in?

2 FOREMAN: Yes I will. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that

3 the testimony you are about to give in the matter

4 now under consideration by the grand jury will be

5 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

6 And do you further solemnly swear or affirm that

7 you will not divulge any portion of your testimony

8 before this grand jury except when legally called

9 upon to do so?

10 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I do.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: Judge, would you please state your name for the

12 record?

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: James, middle initial D, Humphrey. Humphrey –

14 H-U-M-P-H-R-E-Y.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And you are currently and have been the judge of

16 the Dearborn-Ohio Circuit Courts since 1998. Is

17 that correct?

18 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Elected 1998, took office January 1, 1999.

19 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. And as part of your duties as judge, you

20 became special judge in a Ripley Circuit Court case

21 involving a Melissa and Daniel Brewington. Is that

22 correct?

23 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: And at this time, you are no longer presiding over

25 those proceedings?

1 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct also.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, at some point during these proceedings,
3 did you become aware of internet postings that Mr.
4 Brewington had posted that targeted or placed on
5 the internet, your wife's name and address?

6 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I did.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: Could you tell us how you came about that
8 information?

9 JUDGE HUMPHREY: As I recall it occurred two (2) ways and I don't
10 recall which occurred first. I recall receiving
11 pleadings that was filed in the case which I believe
12 had as an attachment one of these internet postings,
13 which specifically named my wife and home
14 address. I also received telephone calls from one
15 (1), maybe (2) persons within the courthouse
16 administration system. I don't recall which
17 occurred first.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay and um, I'll show you what's marked Grand
19 Jury Exhibit 131. Is that, sorry your honor, give me
20 a moment, I want to get the attachments to that.
21 Um, that's not, 131's not that motion, but it's not
22 the original but the original motion filed in your
23 court contained that motion and attached to it was a
24 letter from Dan Brewington. Is that correct?
25 Similar to what's on Grand Jury Exhibit 101.

1 JUDGE HUMPHREY: If I could have just a moment to review the
2 attachment. I do not believe this is the attachment
3 that was part of the pleading. I believe it was a
4 different attachment.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. Was the attachment um, for some reason our
6 copy does not have the attachment. Was the
7 attachment similar to what's on the first seven (7)
8 pages of 101?

9 JUDGE HUMPHREY: If I could have just a moment. I recall page 7, the
10 last part of that appears to be...

11 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay.

12 JUDGE HUMPHREY: ...content-wise, the same. I believe that the copy
13 that was attached to my motion may have been in a
14 different form, maybe more of a letter format.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay.

16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: If I recall correctly.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, and that motion was filed. It was a motion for
18 to grant...what was the motion that I showed you?

19 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The motion we have here is a motion to grant relief
20 from judgment and order.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: So this motion was filed and there was an
22 attachment similar to the content in Grand Jury
23 Exhibit 101. Is that a fair statement? And we can
24 get the original and supplement that.

25 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The only caution I have Mr. Negangard, is that there

1 were a lot of motions filed and this motion, time-
2 wise, would have been approximately the right time
3 as I recall. I believe it is the same motion that we
4 referred to but uh, I do recall. The main thing I
5 recall is the attachment and at the end of that
6 attachment which was a letter, I believe, the same as
7 what you've given me in pages one (1) through
8 seven (7) and I specifically recall the end of it which
9 obviously has my wife's name and my home
10 address.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: And that's how you found out that your...there was
12 two (2) ways you found out. You found out from
13 public officials who contacted you and the motion
14 that had the attachment, that posted your wife's
15 name.

16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: And what was your reaction to reading that?

18 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well the reaction was, the first reaction was what
19 does my wife have to do with all of this and
20 obviously was not very pleased that she had been
21 named in something and that my personal address
22 was listed on the internet.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: And you don't have your personal address um, on
24 the, in a public, you don't have it listed in a public
25 (indiscernible)?

1 JUDGE HUMPHREY: We do not.
2 MR. NEGANGARD: And you are listed in the phone book though.
3 Correct?
4 JUDGE HUMPHREY: We are.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: And you have not gotten an unlisted number since
6 you've been in public service.
7 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Never have.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: Now were there statements in this motion that were
9 concerning and I want to refer to you page um, nine
10 (9) that says um, he stated, if you could read the first
11 sentence in the second paragraph.
12 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The paragraph beginning with my name?
13 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.
14 JUDGE HUMPHREY: It says Judge James Humphrey conducted himself in
15 a willful malicious and premeditated manner in
16 punishing the Respondent for attempting to protect
17 the parties minor children and the public for the
18 unlawful actions of Dr. Edward J. Conner. Judge
19 Humphrey robbed the Respondent's parenting rights
20 as revenge for fighting injustice. Judge Humphrey's
21 actions have caused irreparable damage to the
22 Respondent's children and the court mandated child
23 abuse.
24 MR. NEGANGARD: So in this, he's alleging you for being willful and
25 malicious and robbing him of his parenting rights as

1 revenge. Is that correct?

2 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's what it says.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And in that, does he indicate that he'll be posting
4 that pleading in (indiscernible)?

5 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Um, remaining on page nine (9), fourth (4th) line up
6 from the bottom, it says Respondent will be posting
7 this pleading and the attached letter on the
8 Respondent's web-sites and will be distributing the
9 information to the public through many avenues.
10 The Respondent will be contacting local attorneys
11 and searching public records of other people who
12 underwent court appointed evaluations or court
13 therapy from Dr. Conner.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, if you could read the last page, the
15 last line of the motion before the wherefore, the last
16 sentence on page ten (10).

17 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Referring to page ten (10), fourth (4th) line up from
18 the wherefore clause, uh, says any person, law
19 enforcement agency, etc. who takes action against
20 the Respondent for protecting the Respondent's
21 children and the public from the child abducting
22 tactics of Judge James Humphrey, James
23 Humphrey, will be held personally responsible for
24 their actions.

25 MR. NEGANGARD: So in the context of some very strong language by

1 Dr. Conner, the attached letter contained your wife's
2 name and your guys, you and your family's home
3 residence?
4 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That is correct.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: And that was concerning?
6 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Absolutely.
7 MR. NEGANGARD: What steps did you take because of that?
8 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well we subsequently contacted ourselves or
9 through the assistance of law enforcement,
10 contacted the Greendale police department which is
11 within the city limits of where I live and I believe
12 they took steps to monitor my house and make sure
13 everything was okay there. We contacted the local
14 school where my one son attends high school. We
15 contacted the college where my other son attends
16 college and I believe contact may have also been
17 made to at least one of the schools by then Officer
18 Kreinhop of the Sheriff's Department. There was at
19 least one day that I recall that the Greendale police
20 department I believe it was, followed my wife to the
21 state line as she was going to work. There was uh, a
22 day that I was contacted at my office, do not leave
23 to go home until you have an escort to go home.
24 We have tried to be vigilant and I can't recall any
25 other steps right now, Mr. Negangard.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, after this letter went out, Dan Brewington did
2 come to your office. Is that correct? He came to the
3 courthouse and (indiscernible). Were you aware of
4 that?
5 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I'm aware that he was there at least one time, maybe
6 more than one time. I don't recall having personal
7 contact with him. I know that some members of my
8 staff did.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: And based upon you know, the very strong language
10 in that and that was ultimately posted on the internet
11 as well as he continued. This wasn't like this was
12 the only instance. There was continued motions and
13 stuff of which he alleged you were malicious and
14 abused his children. Is that correct?
15 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The whole history of the case while I was involved
16 with it, included motions and pleadings containing
17 material similar to what I've seen here.
18 MR. NEGANGARD: And uh, so there was one time you felt to be, or
19 based on the fact that these things had happened that
20 you took steps where your wife was escorted to the
21 state line?
22 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That occurred I believe in close proximity to the
23 time when I was told you need to be escorted home
24 and I know that happened on at least one occasion
25 right after I was advised you need to be escorted

1 home. We took steps for protection of my wife as
2 well.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And uh, just to clarify, law enforcement, you did not
4 however contact law enforcement with regard to
5 making a complaint.

6 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I did not.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: Law enforcement in fact, Detective Kreinhop came
8 to you having the investigation having been initiated
9 by someone else. Is that correct?

10 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: Now um, alright, if you could, if you could, uh, give
12 your history since you've been in public service.

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well going back to approximately December of
14 1984, I was appointed as a Deputy Prosecuting
15 Attorney, in approximately July, August of 1985,
16 and I recall that because that is the year that Judge
17 Baker, who was Circuit Court Judge for many years
18 who died. I then became Chief Prosecuting Deputy
19 Prosecuting Attorney. I then ran for and was elected
20 Prosecuting Attorney for Dearborn and Ohio
21 Counties and began serving as Prosecuting Attorney
22 in January of 1987. I served two (2) terms. I may
23 have remained as a Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for
24 a few months after those two (2) terms. I then went
25 into private practice and I began serving as Circuit

1 Court Judge for Dearborn-Ohio Counties, January 1,
2 1999.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: So in sum, you are now on your third (3rd) term as
4 Judge. Is that correct?

5 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Judge's terms are six (6) years?

7 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: Prosecutor's terms are four (4).

9 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: And so you've been in public service either as a
11 Deputy Prosecutor, Chief Deputy Prosecutor or
12 Judge for over twenty (20) years.

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: In your capacity in those positions have you had
15 contact with murderers, rapists, child molesters,
16 thieves, the whole game?

17 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I have.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: And multiple, I believe as Prosecutor you had at one
19 time, eight (8) murders pending. Is that correct?

20 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The number of cases that I actually tried as
21 Prosecuting Attorney, I'm pretty sure it was over ten
22 (10).

23 MR. NEGANGARD: And I'm just pointing out that you've had a lot of
24 contact with these types of dangerous individuals.

25 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct. I tried as a Prosecuting Attorney

1 multiple homicides. I've tried multiple serious
2 assault cases. I've tried numerous attempted murder
3 cases. I've tried um, more drug cases than I can
4 name. As Judge, I've tried all the same types of
5 cases. I've tried capital murder cases. I've tried
6 quadruple homicide. I frankly cannot give you an
7 exact number because it's been so long and I'm
8 sorry to say that there's been so many.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: Despite this, over this period of over twenty (20)
10 years of public service, how many times have you
11 and your family felt threatened in a manner in which
12 you were from Mr. Brewington's actions?

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I can only recall two (2). One, would you like me to
14 elaborate?

15 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes please.

16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: One I recall when I was Prosecuting Attorney was a
17 gentleman who I prosecuted at least one time for
18 attempting to run someone over with a car and after
19 and I don't recall the exact circumstances how this
20 came about but there was subsequently a threat
21 made, I believe to kill me and to rape my wife and
22 this person lived in close proximity to where I lived
23 at that time and that person after being released
24 from jail later, I believe went to the State of
25 Wisconsin and ran someone over purposely and the

1 last I heard that person was in a vegetative state and
2 this person's in prison for a very long time. There
3 was another one that happened a few years ago
4 which I was at a local event with my wife and a
5 person who had some mental illness confronted me
6 about his guardianship but it was not a physical
7 attack.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: And that person had some serious mental illnesses?
9 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Correct.
10 MR. NEGANGARD: But Mr. Whitaker actually threatened you and your
11 wife but didn't mention your wife's name -- the first
12 one?
13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I don't believe so.
14 MR. NEGANGARD: And that occurred in the 80's or 80's, early 90's?
15 Sometime when you were prosecutor?
16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: We're looking at either late 80's, early 90's.
17 Frankly, I'm not even sure I had children at the
18 time. I just don't remember. It's been so long.
19 MR. NEGANGARD: Point being, it was a long time ago.
20 JUDGE HUMPHREY: It was.
21 MR. NEGANGARD: So um, to be clear, it's not that anyone who says
22 something, you know, this, these statements by Mr.
23 Brewington were very focused towards, including
24 your wife and your home address and that was
25 particularly disturbing.

1 JUDGE HUMPHREY: It was, not just because of the statements themselves
2 but also to try to make others aware of where me
3 and my family live.

4 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, now during the course of the proceedings, he
5 did, Mr. Brewington did, at one point he tried to
6 have you recused. Is that correct? Or removed?

7 JUDGE HUMPHREY: As I recall, and again, the only way I can describe
8 the pleadings in this case is voluminous. I'm
9 holding my hand up here on the table and there was
10 a very large stack of pleadings and as best as I can
11 recall, I don't recall if it was a motion to recuse or a
12 motion for change of judge. I just don't remember
13 exactly how it was termed, but the bottom line is
14 yes, I think that was sought.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And that was denied. You stayed on the case.

16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Correct.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: And the, at some point, he had internet postings
18 through this case where he was posting what was
19 going on in his case and you were made aware of
20 that by a temporary restraining order in April of
21 2009. Is that correct?

22 JUDGE HUMPHREY: What I recall, is that many times these postings
23 were attached either by Mr. Brewington or by
24 counsel on the other side of the case to their
25 pleadings.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And in April of 2009, Angela Loechel on behalf of
2 Melissa Brewington asked for a temporary
3 restraining order asking Mr. Brewington to stop
4 basically airing the family's case on the internet. Is
5 that a fair statement?

6 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Yes.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: And there was a hearing on that motion?

8 JUDGE HUMPHREY: There was.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: And you denied the motion but indicated that you
10 would consider evidence, that you might consider
11 evidence presented, well just, if you could, tell the
12 jury.

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I think the portion of the order that you're referring
14 to and this is the May 14th, I believe it is May 14,
15 file stamped, 2009, my order dated May 13, the
16 section I believe you were referring to, says the
17 Court may also consider evidence presented at this
18 hearing referring to the motion for temporary
19 restraining order. At this hearing regarding the
20 temporary restraining order in regard to the Court's
21 decision as to visitation and custody and how
22 Respondent's actions may affect the best interest of
23 the children now and in the future.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: So when you denied the motion you suggested that
25 Mr. Brewington consider what he's posting, that

1 that could be taken into consideration in the final
2 hearing. Is that a fair statement?
3 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I guess I tried to make it clear that uh, although
4 again not granting the motion, that these things
5 could be taken into consideration in responding how
6 this may affect the best interest of the children both
7 now and in the future is what I tried to clearly say.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: And that motion, just for the record, that motion is
9 Grand Jury Exhibit 220 and 221 was the order.
10 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That is correct.
11 MR. NEGANGARD: We'll show that those are admitted. Now, um, your
12 wife was a member of the ethics and
13 professionalism committee. Is that correct?
14 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I would say more correctly, she was termed an
15 advisor.
16 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could, she was named in the internet postings
17 by Dan Brewington as a member of the ethics and
18 professionalism committee advisor for Dearborn
19 County and um, but she didn't recall being a
20 member of such committee. It turns out that she
21 was a member an ethics or an advisor to the ethics
22 and professionalism committee. Is that correct?
23 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Yes, I think that's correct.
24 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, um, tell the jury, what is the
25 ethics and professionalism committee?

1 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well there are several different committees set up
2 through the judiciary and I've been on some of them
3 myself. This one in particular, I can't tell you
4 exactly what their duties were, but the exhibit that
5 you provided me, 222, uh, indicates that uh, there
6 were four (4) spouse advisors and my wife was one
7 of them and their role was as it says in here to
8 primarily to suggest activities for spouse and
9 participate as needed.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: And uh, that to be clear, that's something that she
11 was not actively involved in. Is that a fair
12 statement?

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The letter here says she attended one (1) meeting. I
14 thought maybe two (2). She was working at the
15 time and I can't, I can't even tell you how many
16 years ago that it's been since she may have attended
17 or even been notified of one of these meetings.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: You received or your wife received letters directed
19 to her from Mr. Brewington's posting that were
20 directed to her based upon that posting. Is that
21 correct?

22 JUDGE HUMPHREY: That's correct.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: Now other than what Mr. Brewington initiated, did
24 your wife ever receive any letters from uh, anyone
25 other than the court that may have, committee

1 member may have said, hey we're having a
2 meeting? She's never received any sort of
3 correspondence at the home regarding any behavior
4 of a judge. Is that a fair statement?

5 JUDGE HUMPHREY: No, I mean...

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Because that's not the role of the ethics and
7 professionalism committee, is it?

8 JUDGE HUMPHREY: It certainly isn't the role of a spouse who's on there
9 as an advisory capacity.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: And uh, the manner, if you could for the jury, tell
11 them, if a judge, is there cannons that judges are
12 supposed to follow?

13 JUDGE HUMPHREY: There is.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And what are those called?

15 JUDGE HUMPHREY: The code of judicial conduct.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: And if there's a violation of the code of judicial
17 conduct, is there a manner in which that violations
18 are investigated and disciplined when appropriate is
19 imposed?

20 JUDGE HUMPHREY: There is and it's through the judicial qualifications
21 commission.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: And is the manner in which that's done, or is that
23 process and how that process works posted on the
24 internet?

25 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Yes, I believe it is.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: So anyone familiar with the internet could easily
2 look into um, the proper procedure for raising any
3 ethical concerns of a judge?
4 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I believe it's right on the Indiana Supreme Court's
5 web-site.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, I'm showing you two (2) other exhibits,
7 Grand Jury Exhibit 223 and 224. Those were, is
8 223 a motion for mistrial filed by Dan Brewington
9 and 224?
10 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Uh, yes they are.
11 MR. NEGANGARD: And this is, this is an example of kind of the
12 voluminous pleadings you get. You get one
13 pleading in April asking for a mistrial. You get
14 another pleading in May asking for a mistrial again.
15 It looks like it's repetitive.
16 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Correct.
17 MR. NEGANGARD: And in both of these motions, does he make
18 reference and quote the conditions of judicial
19 conduct – Dan Brewington?
20 JUDGE HUMPHREY: It's referenced on page 7 of exhibit 224, the number
21 is slightly cut off at the bottom, I believe what's
22 designated as paragraph 11 of page 5 of exhibit 223.
23 I had not looked through all the attachments, just in
24 the body of the motion, that's where I see it
25 contained.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: So in both those motions, Daniel Brewington
2 indicates an awareness of the cannons of judicial
3 conduct.
4 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Yes.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: I'll show Grand Jury Exhibit 223 and 224 and 222
6 admitted. Your honor, is there any other knowledge
7 that you have pertaining to the case that you feel
8 would be helpful to the Grand Jury?
9 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well obviously there are a lot of things I know by
10 reading an awful lot of material presented. I don't
11 know of any other specific information relating to
12 the questions that you've given me. I would be
13 happy to answer any other questions that you have.
14 Again, I mean, there's a lot of other things that I
15 have read through these voluminous materials that I
16 have not discussed here today.
17 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. I don't have any further
18 questions. Does anyone have any questions?
19 JUROR: Since the beginning of these divorce proceedings
20 and subsequent to all these motions and internet
21 citings and all this other material, have you felt, do
22 you have any fear of harm coming your way or
23 towards your family from this man? Is there any
24 great percentage change of that happening?
25 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well uh, folks I have been doing this a long time

1 and I've dealt with a lot of very dangerous and
2 difficult situations and I accept that by doing what I
3 do but to take that additional step to involve my
4 family and my home address, that's going a little
5 too far.

6 JUROR: It's more than just trying to smearing your
7 reputation?

8 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well you know, I guess we'll just have to let the
9 record speak for itself on that but when you take
10 that additional step, I guess the question that I
11 would ask myself or anyone else is for what reason,
12 for what benefit would my wife be involved in this?
13 For what reason do I need to contact my children's
14 schools to make sure that they're safe? What reason
15 could anyone use to explain this type of conduct,
16 these types of actions? I understand we have a first
17 amendment folks and that's reflected in some of my
18 rulings I've made but is this conduct something that
19 I consider appropriate? Does it go beyond? You
20 bet it does. Yes sir?

21 JUROR: Your honor, um, I think everybody knows the
22 answer to this but I would really like to hear you say
23 it. Is there a reason why people in your position try
24 to hide their addresses?

25 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I have made it a point ever since I've been a public

1 official – Prosecuting Attorney – one of Mr.
2 Negangard's questions. I handled more homicide
3 cases than I could even begin to tell you. When I
4 took office as Prosecuting Attorney at the ripe old
5 age, I think I was about twenty-eight (28) years old,
6 I had, I believe it was four (4) separate murder cases
7 that happened in one (1) year, seven (7) murdered
8 defendants. I spent the first year and a half (1 ½),
9 two (2) years of my career trying nothing but
10 murder cases – two (2) of them capital. I have tried
11 and I don't mean to repeat myself but it may bear
12 doing so, um, I have sentenced people as Judge to
13 over a hundred years in prison. I have placed a
14 person on death row. I have sentenced two (2)
15 people to life in prison without possibility of parole,
16 one of them involving a person who was convicted
17 of killing four (4) people. I've dealt with just about,
18 as a general jurisdiction of judge, I've dealt with
19 just about every kind of difficult case you can
20 imagine. Again when you raise your right hand and
21 you take an oath that you're going to uphold the
22 Constitution of the United States, the Constitution
23 of the State of Indiana, uphold the laws of the State
24 of Indiana and United States of America, I take that
25 seriously but by me raising my right hand, does that

1 mean that my wife and my kids and my home life
2 need to be subjective to something like this. The
3 concern that you have sitting in my chair is maybe
4 not so much for yourself, but knowing that what
5 you've done in doing your duty, may create danger
6 for your family and that's my concern. To maybe
7 more specifically answer, again, the issue with
8 posting my address and my wife's name goes
9 beyond just this case. It goes beyond all those
10 people who over all the years, many of which have
11 been determined to be very dangerous people, it is
12 now out there forever and is that concerning? Yes it
13 is. Did I answer your question sir?

14 JUROR: Yes sir. I have one small question. During your
15 career have you ever experienced a machine gun
16 petitioning like this before?

17 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I've dealt with voluminous cases before but if I
18 have, I don't recall.

19 JUROR: Thank you sir.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor, your final order speaks for itself but I
21 do want to clarify a little bit. Your order did not
22 terminate his visitation rights.

23 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well I think what the order said, I have not looked
24 at it in a while, but I believe what the order said
25 was, is that there would be no further visitation until

1 there would be an evaluation done.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: But that's different than a terminated parental right.

3 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Termination of parental rights is a completely

4 different animal. Termination of parental rights is

5 done through the Department of Child Services and

6 the Department of Child Services would file a

7 petition based upon certain findings that would have

8 to be made at the all right to privileges immunities

9 that pertain to being, to a parent-child relationship

10 are forever terminated and that's not what happened

11 here.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. I don't have any further

13 questions. Does anyone else?

14 JUROR: Um, obviously you've dealt with dangerous people

15 in the past, you mentioned that somebody actually

16 threatened you, said they were going to kill you.

17 What is it about this guy that instills so much fear?

18 What is it that everybody's like, he is so dangerous?

19 What stands out in your mind that makes you think

20 this is real, real fishy?

21 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well, I mean, a lot of what happens is you know, a

22 matter of judgment and the question is difficult to

23 answer but maybe I could answer it this way. In all

24 the years, all the hundreds upon hundreds of cases

25 that I have handled, no one has ever specifically

1 done what's been done here and that is to name my
2 wife with my home address direct, the
3 correspondence that he sent there – that has never
4 happened and if there's something concerning about
5 this situation – that's what's concerning. I mean as
6 far as any other judgments and so forth about this
7 individual, I believe it's appropriate that I do that
8 now, I mean obviously I'm going to answer the
9 question but I think that's what different about this
10 situation. Yes sir?

11 JUROR: Were you then advised to take any additional
12 security precautions at your home or about your
13 premises, your automobile or anything like that or
14 have you done so on your own?

15 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Well I don't know that I particularly want to
16 discuss, I mean again, I'm asked a question and I'm
17 directed to answer, I'm going to answer it.

18 JUROR: It doesn't have to be specific – just generally.

19 JUDGE HUMPHREY: I think that the main things that have been referred
20 to about taking precautions about keeping an eye
21 out, keeping in contact with each other, contacting
22 the kids schools, uh, contacting our local police
23 department who have been very cooperative and
24 helpful and excellent as Greendale police
25 department keeping an eye out on things, I think

1 that's the main things.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. The Grand Jurors

3 appreciate it.

4 JUDGE HUMPHREY: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you.

5 JURORS: Thank you.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay I'm going to, we're back on record? Sheriff

7 Kreinhop, you're back on record and remind you

8 that you're still under oath.

9 MR. KREINHOP: Yes sir.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, Grand Jury Exhibit 170, 171, we're going to

11 speed it up. I'm going to show you a whole section

12 – 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178,

13 178A, 179, 180, 182, 184, 189, wait a minute, strike

14 that, unfortunately I can't count – I wasn't very

15 good in math, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190,

16 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200,

17 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210,

18 211 – those were all documents that you obtained as

19 part of your investigation. Correct?

20 MR. KREINHOP: That's correct. They were all received from Dr.

21 Conner.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: I'll show exhibits 170 through 211 are hereby

23 entered into evidence. And then uh, Grand Jury

24 Exhibit 212, is that one of the letters that you

25 collected from Judge Humphrey?