ı		that's the main things.
2	MR. NEGANGARD:	Thank you, your honor. The Grand Jurors
3		appreciate it.
4	JUDGE HUMPHREY:	Ladies and gentlemen, thank you.
5	JURORS:	Thank you.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay I'm going to, we're back on record? Sheriff
7		Kreinhop, you're back on record and remind you
8		that you're still under oath.
9	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes sir.
10	MR. NEGANGARD:	Um, Grand Jury Exhibit 170, 171, we're going to
11		speed it up. I'm going to show you a whole section
12		<b>– 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178,</b>
13		178A, 179, 180, 182, 184, 189, wait a minute, strike
14		that, unfortunately I can't count - I wasn't very
15		good in math, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190,
16		191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200,
17		201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210,
18		211 - those were all documents that you obtained as
19		part of your investigation. Correct?
20	MR. KREINHOP:	That's correct. They were all received from Dr.
21		Conner.
22	MR. NEGANGARD:	I'll show exhibits 170 through 211 are hereby
23		entered into evidence. And then uh, Grand Jury
24		Exhibit 212, is that one of the letters that you
25		collected from Judge Humphrey?

1	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
2	MR. NEGANGARD:	That was one of the letters sent to his wife.
3	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
4	MR. NEGANGARD:	Pursuant to the postings by Dan Brewington.
5	MR. KREINHOP:	That's correct.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	I think 213 is the envelope that that came in.
7	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	Um, 214, is that one of the letters that was
9		collected?
10	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes it is.
11	MR. NEGANGARD:	216, was that one of the letters?
12	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes it is.
13	MR. NEGANGARD:	Oh, I'm sorry, that's Dan Brewington's letter.
14	MR. KREINHOP:	That was contained in one of the letters.
15	MR. NEGANGARD:	This was contained in one of the letters sent
16	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
17	MR. NEGANGARD:	to
18	MR. KREINHOP:	per his instructions. As you recall on the internet,
19		he instructed people to copy this letter and send it
20		on with their own comments and opinions. This
21		was enclosed with one of the letters.
22	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay. And then Exhibit 217 and 218 is the third
23		(3 <sup>rd</sup> ) letter that you received. Is that correct?
24	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes it is, including the envelope, the letter and the
25		envelope. There were three (3) letters sent to Mrs.

1		Humphrey.
2	MR. NEGANGARD:	I'm showing you Grand Jury Exhibit 225. Do you
3		recognize that?
4	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
5	MR. NEGANGARD:	And what is that?
6	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes. This is a motion to grant relief from the
7		judgment and order that was filed by Dan
8		Brewington. It was filed in response to the divorce
9		decree by Judge Humphrey.
10	MR. NEGANGARD:	And this was the motion the judge was referring to
11		um, that when, that was one of the ways that he was
12		tipped off to this situation.
13	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes, that's correct.
14	MR. NEGANGARD:	And this, one of the problems, was our previous
15		exhibit was missing the attachment.
16	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
17	MR. NEGANGARD:	This is the attachment that was included in the
18		original motion. Is that correct?
19	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
20	MR. NEGANGARD:	And you obtained that directly from the Court?
21	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes I did.
22	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay, I'll show Grand Jury Exhibit 225 is admitted.
23		This is Grand Jury Exhibit 226. Is that a posting on
24		the blog about Tom Blondell?
25	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes it is.

1	MR. NEGANGARD:	We'll show that 226 is admitted. And during the
2		course of your investigation, did Dan Brewington
3		try to allege a criminal complaint against Dr.
4		Conner?
5	MR. KREINHOP:	He made statements that Dr. Conner had committed
6		criminal acts.
7	MR. NEGANGARD:	I'm going to show you Grand Jury Exhibit 227. Is
8		this a letter that was sent to Tommy Grills of Ripley
9		County and the Prosecutor of Ripley County, myself
10		and David Lusby, who was then Sheriff, alleging a
11		criminal complaint against Dr. Conner?
12	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
13	MR. NEGANGARD:	And again, this was his whole issue about license to
14		practice in Indiana?
15	MR. KREINHOP:	That was part of it, yes.
16	MR. NEGANGARD:	I'll show that 227's admitted. Again, did he make
17		that same complaint again in May of 2009 as shown
18		by Grand Jury Exhibit 228?
19	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes he did.
20	MR. NEGANGARD:	That was, the first one was May 2 <sup>nd</sup> and then he
21		followed up again on May 6th, 2009.
22	MR. KREINHOP:	Yell, this one was a complaint to the Kentucky
23		Board of Psychology.
24	MR. NEGANGARD:	And he was copying us.
25	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.

1	MR. NEGANGARD:	Uh, his complaint to the Board of Psychology.
2	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
3	MR. NEGANGARD:	And then again in October of 2009, did he then also
4		allege another criminal fraud complaint?
5	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	And that's as shown by Grand Jury Exhibit 229.
7	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	And then Grand Jury Exhibit 230, on February 24,
9		2011, which was right before he was to testify, or
10		right before this case was brought to Grand Jury, he
11		sent another letter to myself following up on the
12		complaints that he had made previously with
13		regards to Dr. Conner.
14	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
15	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay and that's shown by Grand Jury Exhibit 230.
16	MR. KREINHOP:	Yes.
17	JUROR:	Didn't we see this one this morning?
18	MR. NEGANGARD:	That's a different one. That is what you saw this
19		morning but it didn't have the attachment.
20	JUROR;	Oh, okay.
21	MR. KREINHOP:	Different in that it didn't have the attachment, or
22		does have the attachment.
23	MR. NEGANGARD:	I don't have any further questions at this time.
24		Okay one of the Grand Jurors has a question for
25		Sheriff Krieinhop.

JUROR: Sheriff, in your long law enforcement career, you've I obviously been involved in many different cases, 2 have you ever seen this many motions filed or 3 testimony? MR. KREINHOP: Not even on murder cases. This is by far in the way 5 of motions, that I've ever seen as far as motions and 6 this only is part of it. There's several internet 7 postings that uh, or many internet postings that are 8 not displayed here today. But as I was going to 9 mention, I was a detective for over twenty-five (25) 10 years with the State Police. In my thirty-four (34) 11 years and also spent a year as a detective with the 12 13 Crimes unit, so altogether I have twenty-six 14 criminal investigative years of experience and I've never encountered an individual, even on murder 15 cases where they filed motions for appeal and so for 16 the and never had anybody file this many. I think 17 these were all attempts by the individual in this case 18 19 to delay the process and I don't think, of course it's my opinion, but I don't think he wanted to really be 20 21 with his children. I think he's using that as a platform to exercise his first amendment right to 22 free speech and there is limits as I'm sure you'll be advised, or told at some point, to free speech and I think he's went beyond that but that's my opinion.

23

24

25