submitted to you for your deliberation. You are 1 now excused. You may go with the Bailiff. All 2 3 rise. DANIEL BREWINGTON – JURY TRIAL – OCTOBER 4, 2011 4 COURT: You may be seated. We're here in case number 5 15D02-1103-FD-84, State of Indiana versus Daniel 6 Brewington. Let the record reflect that the State 7 8 appears by Prosecuting Attorney, F. Aaron 9 Negangard, and Deputy Prosecutor, Joe Kisor. The 10 Defendant appears in person and by counsel, Bryan 11 Barrett. The jury is also present. Uh, good morning 12 everyone. First of all, I need to make a correction 13 which was pointed out to me after the jury left last 14 night and I think you've got your preliminary jury 15 instructions in front of you. There's just a minor correction on the date which if you can make a little 16 17 note of it because you'll have those instructions 18 with you later. On instruction #2, Count #1, that's 19 the Intimidation Count as a Class A misdemeanor. 20 when it gets to the dates, it says do present that on 21 or about or between August 1, 2009 through 22 February, that should be August 1, 2007. Okay that 23 was amended and I didn't take note of the amended 24 date. So that's August 1, 2007 through February 27,

2011 and then also in that same instruction #2 if you

25

STATE'S WITNESS - DR. EDWARD CONNOR - DIRECT

, 1		get to Count #4, that attempt to commit obstruction
2		of justice, a D felony, um, that August 1st date
3		should also be 2007 and not 2009. With those
4		corrections made, is the State ready to proceed
5		today Mr. Negangard?
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	Yes your honor.
7	COURT:	And Mr. Barrett.
8	MR. BARRETT:	Yes your honor.
9	COURT:	And the State was presenting their case yesterday.
10		You may proceed.
11	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. The State would be calling
12		Dr. Edward Connor.
13	COURT:	Before you have a seat, if you would just raise your
14		right hand. Do you swear or affirm under the
15		penalties for perjury that the testimony you're about
16		to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
17		the truth?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do.
19	COURT:	Please have a seat.
20	MR. KISOR:	Thank you and your honor for the record, I do have
21		a number of exhibits that I would be discussing
22		through Dr. Connor's testimony this morning. I
23		would like to just ask the record to reflect that
24		before we began today, I have given Mr. Barrett an
25		opportunity to review those.

1	COURT:	So noted.
2	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. Dr. Connor, would you
3		please tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury your
4		name?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, my name is Dr. Edward Connor.
6	MR. KISOR:	And how do you spell your last name?
7	MR. E. CONNOR:	C-O-N-N-O-R.
8	MR. KISOR:	And Dr. Connor, what is your profession?
9	MR. E. CONNOR:	I'm a licensed clinical psychologist.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay and in what states do you hold a license as a
11		clinical psychologist.
12	MR. E. CONNOR:	In the States of Indiana and Kentucky.
13	MR. KISOR:	And could you just briefly tell the ladies and
14		gentlemen what a clinical psychologist does?
15	MR. E. CONNOR:	Um, as a clinical psychologist, I provide a variety of
16		services to children, adolescents, adults and terms of
17		maybe different behavioral problems, mood
18		disorders that they may have. I also provide
19		services to the courts in terms of if someone is
20		being charged with a crime and there's a concern
21		that they might be insane to determine if they are
22		actually insane or if they're responsible for their
23		behavior, if they're competent to stand trial, in other
24		words, do they understand what's going on in a trial
25		and can they assist their attorney in rationally

1		preparing their defense. I conduct um, sex offender
2		risk assessments if a person is charged with some
3		type of a sex crime such as child molestation um, as
4		to evaluate them to determine their risk level. I
5		provide a sex offender treatment program for the
6		federal government as well as the state government.
7		I also um, assess Catholic seminarians who want to
8		become a Catholic priest to determine if they have
9		any type of sexual problem that could be
10		problematic in the future. I conduct child custody
11		evaluations for the courts when parents disagree on
12		who should have custody of the child.
13	MR. KISOR:	Let me just interrupt you just for a moment and I'll
14		let you continue. But as far as custody evaluations,
15		how long have you been doing those for the courts?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	I began doing custody evaluations in the State of
17		Alabama where I was licensed in the mid 90's and
18		came to the Northern Kentucky area in January of
19		1996 and since that time have been doing custody
20		evaluations in the area. I consult with police
21		departments on pre-employment evaluations, fire
22		departments on pre-employment evaluations,
23		dispatch centers. I also consult with them on their
24		promotion exams, if they want to promote someone
25		through the ranks in the police department or fire

1		department. I consult with them on those issues as
2		well. Um, I'm a consultant with the Children's
3		Home of Northern Kentucky. It's about a thirty-five
4		(35) bed facility for children who have psychiatric
5		problems and who are typically without parents or if
6		their parents' rights have been terminated. I consult
7		with that agency as well. I do a variety of different
8		things in my practice.
9	MR. KISOR:	And just let us know, what is your education and
10		training for all the duties that you just described in
11		your practice?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I have a fairly unique background in terms of
13		training. I was first trained as a psychotherapist by
14		the Malmo Institute of Psychotherapy in Sweden
15		and um, returned to the United States in 1987 and
16		completed by Bachelor's degree in psychology at
17		Thomas Moore College. I then went on to the
18		University of Denver in Colorado and earned by
19		Doctorate degree in clinical psychology, um,
20		conducted my forensic internship with the
21		University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill and it
22		was combined with an internship with the Federal
23		Bureau of Prisons in Butler, North Carolina where
24		we did assessments for the federal courts.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay thank you. Now you said that you are

1		licensed in the State of Indiana. When did you
2		obtain that license?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	In July of 2008.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Now previous to that, were you consulted by
5		the courts in southeast Indiana to do either
6		competency or custody type evaluations?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
8	MR. KISOR:	And was there any issue of you at that time, not
9		being specifically licensed here in Indiana?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	No there wasn't. I contacted the Indiana State
11		Board when I was first asked about doing such
12		evaluations and they said as long as I wasn't
13		working in the State continuously that that was not a
14		problem and furthermore with the custody
15		evaluations people come to the office in Kentucky
16		for their evaluation. I conduct other types of
17		evaluations from people as far as North Dakota,
18		Arizona, where they actually come to my office in
19		Kentucky for these evaluations.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Dr. Connor, are you familiar with Daniel and
21		Melissa Brewington?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	I am.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay and do you see Daniel Brewington here in
24		Court today?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes I do.

1	MR. KISOR:	And can you just point him out for the record?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	He is sitting to the, to my right, or to the right of the
3		attorney.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay. And can you tell the ladies and gentlemen
5		how you became familiar with those two (2)
6		parties?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well Mr. Brewington and his attorney together with
8		Mrs. Brewington and her attorney agreed to use me
9		as a custody evaluator when they could not reach an
10		agreement on their own as to how they would raise
11		their two (2) daughters and the Court agreed to me
12		doing the evaluation per their request so I conducted
13		the child custody evaluation.
14	MR. KISOR:	And did your interviews and your testing of Mr. and
15		Mrs. Brewington take place at your office in
16		Kentucky?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes it did.
18	MR. KISOR:	Okay and is that the only office that you maintain
19		and have maintained for the past several years?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes that's my only office.
21	MR. KISOR:	And just so we have an idea, where is that located?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's at #34 Arlinger Road in Erlanger, Kentucky.
23	MR. KISOR:	And that's probably twenty-five (25) to thirty (30)
24		minutes from here?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, approximately, yes.

•

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Um, Dr. you were consulted and it was
2		agreed that you would do a custody evaluation. Did
3		you in fact do all the required steps and complete a
4		custody evaluation?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes I did.
6	MR. KISOR:	And just in brief terms, what did that entail?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	It entails interviewing both parents separately. It
8		entails conducting a number of psychological tests
9		on the parents, um, separately. It entails uh,
10		conducting a parent-child observation. We have a
11		toy room with a one-way mirror where the parent
12		and the children are put in and we observe the
13		interactions with them. Um, it consists of a home
14		visit where I'll actually go to the home and just
15		make sure that, not only is the home safe but I think
16		it's important to see the children in their natural
17		setting instead of our office just to see how they are
18		in a natural setting.
19	MR. KISOR:	Doctor, I'm going to show you what's been marked
20		for identification as State's Exhibit 9 and would ask
21		if you could identify it for the record please.
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is the custody evaluation that I conducted
23		together with my wife. My wife is a psychologist as
24		well and we conduct these evaluations together at
25		our office.

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay and when you began this evaluation, were you
2		working for either side or were you just trying to
3		work for the benefit of the Court and determine the
4		proper custody recommendation?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well the child custody evaluation, um, we are
6		neutral evaluators. We don't work for either parent,
7		uh, if both parents agree to use us, then we will
8		conduct the evaluation as long as the Court is in
9		agreement as well. So we don't work for either
10		side.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay and when did you complete your custody
12		evaluation, at least your initial custody evaluation?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, it's dated August 29, 2007.
14	MR. KISOR:	Okay and is it your understanding it was received by
15		the Court in early September of 2007?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay, and um, generally speaking, final
18		recommendations, what was your recommendation
19		within that report?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Our final recommendation was that um, the mother,
21		Mrs. Brewington, have sole legal custody of the
22		child and that the father have pretty standard even
23		liberal visitation with the child.
24	MR. KISOR:	Okay and did you say that Mr. Brewington
25		shouldn't have visitation?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, we didn't say that he should not have visitation.
2		We said that he could have your standard parenting
3		time. The mother was a nurse and had some
4		unusual work hours which nurses typically do have.
5		So we recommended that when she was working
6		that the children should be with him in his care.
7	MR. KISOR:	Um, was there anything in terms of the
8		psychological profile of either parent that played
9		into their inability to, for you, that you didn't
10		recommend joint custody?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well both, again were tested and they are very
12		different people and very, very difficult to
13		communicate effectively with one another and it's
14		one of the things that we have to look at in child
15		custody is if the parents are able to communicate,
16		cooperate, reach joint decisions and if that's not the
17		case, then one parent needs to have sole decision
18		making and in this case we felt that Mr. Brewington
19		was very difficult to deal with. Um, his profile
20		indicates that if he doesn't get things his way, he
21		doesn't let up and he doesn't see things objectively.
22		He only sees things from his prospective and that
23		makes it difficult to communicate with but more
24		importantly it makes it difficult to have joint
25		custody with a parent and try to raise the children

1		together.
2	MR. KISOR:	Okay, now once you, your honor, at this time I
3		would move the admission of State's Exhibit 1 into
4		evidence.
5	COURT:	That's 1?
6	MR. KISOR:	I'm sorry, State's Exhibit 9.
7	COURT:	9? Okay. Mr. Barrett?
8	MR. BARRETT:	No objection.
9	COURT:	I'll show State's 9 offered and admitted.
10	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. Dr. Connor, once this uh,
11		up to this point where you have completed this
12		evaluation, any difficulties between you and Mr.
13		Brewington?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	None at all.
15	MR. KISOR:	Any animosity between the two (2) of you?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not to my knowledge.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay, how, did it ever come to your attention
18		disagreements that Mr. Brewington had with the
19		report or with you personally?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it wasn't, and I don't know the exact dates, but
21		it wasn't long after that there was, uh, I received an
22		anonymous letter um, that, in my opinion
23	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object your honor. It's anonymous.
24	MR. KISOR:	Let's just hold off on the opinion at least for now
25		and if I could just redirect the witness with a

1		different question your honor.
2	COURT:	Okay, so you'll withdraw that question? I guess
3		we'll stop the answer. I guess I don't know if that
4		was specifically your questions but yell we'll move
5		on then.
6	MR. KISOR:	Yes if I could, let me just get a little more specific.
7		Did you ever become familiar with a yahoo local
8		blog posting?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
10	MR. KISOR:	And how soon after your report was entered did you
11		become familiar with that?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	It was shortly after the evaluation report went out
13		that there was a posting about me on the yahoo
14		local.
15	MR. KISOR:	Okay and did you personally see that?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes I did.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay, I'm going to show you what's been marked
18		for identification as State's 192.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
20	MR. KISOR:	Can you identify that?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is from the yahoo local web-site and um,
22		there's a posting here by Dan Brewington dated
23		10/3/08.
24	MR. KISOR:	And at that point, was he accusing you of
25		misrepresenting the truth and being a criminal?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, he wrote on here, um, that I was very
2		unprofessional, I misrepresented the truth and it
3		says that you're not supposed to lie in a divorce,
4		um, so.
5	MR. KISOR:	So he accused you of being a criminal and a liar on
6		a web-site?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
8	MR. KISOR:	Okay, now Dr. I'm going to show you what's been
9		marked for identification as State's Exhibit 193 and
10		ask if you can identify this document as well.
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is from the, his web-site that he created,
12		it's called "Danhelpskids.com".
13	MR. KISOR:	And whose photo is depicted on that?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	My deceased father.
15	MR. KISOR:	Okay and to your knowledge, would he have had
16		any relationship with your deceased father?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	No.
18	MR. KISOR:	Okay and uh, is there any purpose of that
19		communication as he related to your involvement
20		with the custody evaluation?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it was very hurtful that he would do this, um.
22	MR. KISOR:	How did you, how do you perceive that posting?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I perceived it as intimidating. I wasn't sure at
24		this point now what exactly I was dealing with for
25		someone to go to this length to photograph my

father on his web-site. 1 And you started to mention... MR. KISOR: 2 Hold on, sorry. COURT: 3 Could I get him a cup of water? Thank you. Um, MR. KISOR: you started to mention briefly a moment ago about 5 an anonymous letter that you received in your 6 office. 7 Yes. DR. E. CONNOR: 8 MR. KISOR: And I'm going to show you what's been marked for 9 identification as State's Exhibit 33. 10 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes. 11 MR. KISOR: Does that exhibit contain this anonymous letter that 12 you're referring to? 13 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes it does. 14 MR. KISOR: And uh, what stages in that? 15 DR. E. CONNOR: Well it's addressed to me and it's... 16 MR. KISOR: I should ask you first, when was that sent to you? 17 DR. E. CONNOR: Uh.... 18 MR. KISOR: The letter that's page 2. 19 DR. E. CONNOR: The exact letter, I'm not sure of the exact, I don't 20 recall the exact date off the top of my head but I 21 22 know it wasn't that long after the evaluation went out but I don't remember the exact date. 23 MR. KISOR: Tell us what the letter said. 24 25 DR. E. CONNOR: Well it starts by saying if you fabricated any

information in an evaluation you performed it 1 would be bad if you did it to intentionally harm one 2 of the parents of the evaluation, it would be very 3 bad. If someone secretly recorded the interview sessions you conducted, it would be very, very bad. 5 If the secret recordings which prove that you 6 intentionally lied in order to betray a client in an 7 evaluation, would up with the Kentucky Board of 8 Examiners of Psychology, Senator Mitch 9 McConnell, Senator Jim Bunning, Representative 10 Jeff Davis, Representative Edward Whitfield, 11 Representative Ron Lewis, Representative John 12 Yarmouth, Representative Harold Rodgers, 13 14 Representative Ben Chandler, Governor Ernie Fletcher and then it lists a number of news channels 15 and radio channels. It goes on to list a number of 16 them as well. It goes on to talk about the 17 Smitherman of the Cincinnati Chapter of the 18 NAACP, the local Catholic Archdiocese, the 19 athletic director of Thomas Moore College. I felt 20 that this was very concerning because my father was 21 22 the basketball coach, baseball coach, athletic director at Thomas Moore College, um, and my 23 brother is currently the athletic director there, so 24 that made it very personal. I then began to realize 25

1		that this was a person who was trying to be more
2		intimidating at a more personal level.
3	MR. KISOR:	And they were effectively showing you how much
4		they knew about you and your family and your
5		background.
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well yes because it goes on to say that the um, um,
7		also the organizers of the Coach Jim Connor Classic
8		uh, which is a tournament in memory of my father.
9	MR. KISOR:	Um, did you take any steps with that anonymous
10		letter?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	I did. I sent Mr. Brewington a letter on April the 1st,
12		2008, and asked him if, do you know anything about
13		this and please respond and I got a response where
14		he neither denied or acknowledged sending the
15		letter.
16	MR. KISOR:	Okay, since that written correspondence, have you
17		ever discussed that or brought that up with Mr.
18		Brewington?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	During my testimony during the child custody
20		hearing, I referenced this letter as well. He was
21		representing himself at that time.
22	MR. KISOR:	And at that time, did you reference that you
23		believed he was the one who had sent it?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do believe. It's very similar to his other writings.
25	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object. It's non-responsive, Judge.

1		He asked if he represented it at the time. He didn't
2		ask for his opinion.
3	COURT:	I'll sustain that. Just answer the question.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Could you repeat the question please?
5	MR. KISOR:	Well the question was did you bring that to, did Mr.
6		Brewington indicate to you that he, did he give you
7		any response when you confronted him with the
8		issue of whether he wrote that letter?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	He neither denied or acknowledged.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay and also in the Court testimony?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
12	MR. KISOR:	Nothing was.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	No.
14	MR. KISOR:	Okay, uh, you've indicated that based, you have
15		familiarity with his writings.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	Tell the ladies and gentlemen how you're familiar
18		with his writings.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well on the blog site, his blog site, he writes
20		volumes of information.
21	MR. KISOR:	Volumes?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Volumes of information about me and how unfair
23		he believes that I was. He refers to me as a pervert,
24		a criminal, a liar, um, a dangerous man, uh, many of
25		these types of comments.

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay and based on, and we'll come back to some of
2		that but based on your familiarity with his writings,
3		do you have an opinion as the person that that letter
4		was sent to?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do.
6	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object your honor.
7	MR. KISOR:	I haven't asked the question yet. Do you have an
8		opinion based on that letter as the recipient of that
9		letter, based on your contact with Mr. Brewington
10		and your familiarity with his language, his writings
11		and the difficulties you were having with him at the
12		time of that, do you have an opinion as to whether
13		or not he is likely the writer of that letter?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do.
15	MR. KISOR:	What's that opinion?
16	MR. BÅRRETT:	To which we'll object your honor.
17	MR. NEGANGARD:	Can we approach?
18	COURT:	Yes you may.
19	MR. NEGANGARD:	(inaudible)
20	COURT:	What's the objection?
21	MR. BARRETT:	(inaudible).
22	MR. NEGANGARD:	(inaudible).
23	MR. KISOR:	That's not an issue. This goes to weight, if he wants
24		to argue that on cross-examination, that's fine. He's
25		an expert. Everything in this case is highly

1		prejudicial to Mr. Brewington but he wrote it all.
2		You can't throw everything out under 403, but it is
3		relevant.
4	MR. BARRETT:	(inaudible) 403 (inaudible) irrelevant (inaudible).
5	MR. NEGANGARD:	(inaudible) circumstantial evidence your honor, the
6		time that points to Mr. Brewington that this letter
7		comes out is after the blog posting is consistent with
8		his blog postings and all the comments that he has
9		made throughout the case to which there was
10		numerous documents and the witness is particularly
11		familiar with Mr. Brewington. He has done an
12		analysis, psychological analysis of Mr. Brewington.
13		He's had numerous contacts with Mr. Brewington
14		by way of other letters.
15	COURT:	I'm going to over-rule the objection on the basis; I
16		think it does go to the weight. I think that there is
17		enough circumstantial evidence to link the two (2),
18		whether it is or not, that can be up to the jury to
19		decide.
20	MR. BARRETT:	Can we just note for the record that my objection is
21		based on 403 Judge?
22	COURT:	Yes that was the 403 objection and it's over-ruled.
23		You may re-ask the question.
24	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. Doctor, could you tell the ladies and
25		gentlemen your opinion as to the source of that

1		anonymous letter?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's my opinion that Mr. Brewington wrote the
3		letter.
4	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. Now, in addition to that letter, did you
5		become involved in a series of communications
6		with Mr. Brewington?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well there were a number of correspondences that
8		went back and forth um, he wanted the um, entire
9		case file, for his review and um, I had concerns that
10		if I gave him the mother's information that he
11		would start to write about her information and her
12		private test results as well so I sought guidance from
13		the court and the court said that he does not get to
14		have the mother's information. He's allowed to
15		have his own which we gave to him. We copied it
16		and made sure that he had that but the courts did not
17		want him to have the mother's test results and
18		information so I had to follow the order of the court.
19	MR. KISOR:	And why were you concerned that he might disclose
20		that information?
21	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object, Judge. It's asked and
22		answered. He's already answered that.
23	MR. KISOR:	I don't know that he has your honor.
24	MR. BARRETT:	Well no because he answered a question you didn't
25		ask but he's already said that.

1	COURT:	I'll over-rule. You can answer.
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	My concern was that given his tenancy to write
3		about people who disagree with him or see things
4		differently than he does that he would use that
5		information in the psychological test results against
6		the mother on the internet and I felt that it was my
7		responsibility to 1, seek her permission if she
8		wanted him to have that information and also to
9		seek the guidance of the court if they felt that that
10		was okay for him to have that information. The
11		mother did not want him to have that private
12		information and the court, I believe on two (2)
13		occasions, he requested her information and the
14		court said no twice and I believe the appellate court
15		even said no, so.
16	MR. KISOR:	Okay and mother and her attorney never asked for
17		his private information, did they?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, no.
19	MR. KISOR:	And you didn't withhold that out of spite for him
20		but because that's what the court told you to do.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	I have no jurisdiction over his records in that
22		regards. It's up to the court or the mother whose
23		records these belong to, private.
24	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, I'm going to show you a number of
25		exhibits here, uh, I think in the interest of time, I'm

1		going to bring you a stack of them. While I'm
2		gathering these documents is it fair to say that
3		beginning in 2008, a series of communications
4		began by fax?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
6	MR. KISOR:	Between you and Mr. Brewington?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
8	MR. KISOR:	Concerning his disagreements and complaints?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay. I'm going to hand you a number of exhibits
11		and I'm just going to ask you to identify each of
12		these and as you do that, there is a State's Exhibit
13		number on them and if you could just say, State's
14		Exhibit and this would be beginning with 27 and
15		then describe what this is to the jury.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	And the context. First of all if you would just take a
18		moment just to kind of look through the whole
19		packet. And I'm sorry; I forgot to bring one up.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, these are all correspondence that transpired
21		between uh, Mr. Brewington and I, some went to
22		the court, some went to the mother's attorney.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay what I would like to do
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry.
25	MR. KISOR:	and I'll give you some direct questions to you.

1		Just go through them individually.
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Okay.
3	MR. KISOR:	First of all, we can see that's quite a stack. Is that
4		degree of correspondence common in your
5		experience as a custody evaluator?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not at all?
7	MR. KISOR:	Ever happen?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Never.
9	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Let's just start then with the Exhibits. I
10		believe Exhibit 26 is the first exhibit.
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
12	MR. KISOR:	If you could just tell us, first of all, are these in
13		chronological order?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, I haven't checked.
15	MR. KISOR:	Okay, if you, as you look at them, if you could just
16		tell us what these exhibits are and when they were
17		sent and so forth.
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	The first one is dated March 28, 2008.
19	MR. KISOR:	And that's Exhibit 26?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 26. It's to me from Mr. Brewington and
21		um, and he seems to be in disagreement of Judge
22		Taul's order as to whether he should have the case
23		file. I interpret Judge Taul's order that he should
24		not have it.
25	MR. KISOR:	And when you're a court expert just like if you're a

1		court party, you're supposed to follow a court's
2		order. Is that correct?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay, so he's in disagreement with Judge Taul and
5		then what's he doing in respect to you?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well he argues as to why he should have the
7		mother's private information and results.
8	MR. KISOR:	Does he tell you to limit your communication with
9		Judge Taul?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh yes he does make a comment to that.
11	MR. KISOR:	Does he cite your professional associations, the
12		American Psychological Association code of
13		conduct?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, he does refer to the American Psychological
15		Association wealth of information on the internet
16		that would help you interprets your agreement and
17		consent and release of information practices.
18	MR. KISOR:	So basically he's telling you in document, I'm
19		smarter than Judge Taul, I'm smarter than you and
20		you all need to listen to me.
21	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object Judge. He's characterizing
22		the exhibit.
23	MR. KISOR:	I'll withdraw
24	COURT:	Sustained.
25	MR. KISOR:	I'll withdraw the question. Dr. Connor that was

1		Exhibit 26. What was the next exhibit that you
2		have?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	The next exhibit is Exhibit 28 and this is to me from
4		Mr. Brewington and um, the background of this
5		exhibit is that he wrote in a letter to me that I had
6		uh, had many numerous, that I had numerous errors
7		and oversights in my evaluation. I wrote a letter
8	MR. KISOR:	He wrote that.
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	He wrote that.
10	MR. KISOR:	Do you believe that he was correct in that?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	There were maybe a couple of errors, uh; I believe I
12		referred to his brother as Mark instead of Matt or
13		something to that effect. There were a couple of
14		minor errors in my opinion in all fairness.
15	MR. KISOR:	In terms of the testing though and the evaluation
16		that has been presented here, the findings that you
17		made, do you believe that they were accurate and
18		remain accurate as we sit here today?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, I believe those are accurate based on my
20		clinical judgment.
21	MR. KISOR:	Okay, now again you're back on Exhibit 28 I
22		believe?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
24	MR. KISOR:	I'm not certain we talked about 27 - just to keep
25		them in order.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	I believe that was 27 where he was arguing as to
2		having the information.
3	MR. KISOR:	Okay then let's move on. You were going to tell us,
4		28, identify that for the record, for the jury.
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is a letter dated March 31, 2008 to me from
6		Mr. Brewington. Um, and just quickly the
7		background of this letter, is that he
8	MR. BARRETT:	Judge, to which we'll object. He asked him to
9		identify it and he has.
10	MR. KISOR:	First let's just identify what it is Dr. Connor.
11	COURT:	Sustained.
12	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is a letter from Mr. Brewington to me, um,
14		and explaining in great detail why I won't be
15		participating in the additional evaluation session.
16	MR. KISOR:	And this is how many pages?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, his letter is um, eleven (11) pages.
18	MR. KISOR:	Okay and the date of that?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	March 31, 2008.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay what was the background and context of him
21		now telling you he's not going to participate?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	He wrote at some point that I had many oversights
23		and errors in my custody evaluation report and uh, I
24		wrote a letter to Judge Taul and I quoted Mr.
25	•	Brewington. In other words, I said that Mr.

1		Brewington said that I had many errors and
2		oversights and therefore I would like to offer the
3		opportunity for them to return to my office and
4		correct any misunderstandings in all fairness that he
5		felt that maybe I had made and um, and then he did
6		come to my office but
7	MR. KISOR:	First of all, did Judge Taul grant that request that
8		you asked on Mr. Brewington's behalf?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	I believe so, yes.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay and then go on, Mr. Brewington came to your
11		office subsequent to that?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	He came to my office and he stood in the waiting
13		room and would not come back into my office so he
14		elected not to participate uh, the mother later came
15		to my office and she did participate in updating the
16		evaluation.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay and so at that point that's March the 31st, as
18		far as your professional relationship with Mr.
19		Brewington, was there any further contact regarding
20		the job you were supposed to do and that's to be the
21		custody evaluator?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	No.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay. He never made any efforts to re-initiate that.
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	After that point in time?
25	MR. KISOR:	Yes.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
2	MR. KISOR:	Yes. Alright, if you would then, I believe there's
3		Exhibit 29, can you identify that briefly for the
4		record?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, Exhibit 29, uh, this is a letter from my office to
6		Mr. Brewington, um, I was out of the office on that
7	•	day and um, and we were basically again telling him
8		that his, the wife's attorney did not receive the
9	•	entire case file and she didn't request it, um, other
10		than just the report, um, and that uh, I would be
11		addressing any concerns or issues he had during his
12		appointment that was scheduled with me on March
13		the 31 st at 8:00 a.m.
14	MR. KISOR:	Okay, go on, next exhibit please.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, Exhibit #30, this is a letter to the mother's
16		attorney, Mrs. Loechel, and um
17	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object, Judge. If the letter,
18		correspondence, this is correspondence in a law suit.
19		If it's not directed to this witness, I'm not sure how
20		he could vouch for it.
21	MR. KISOR:	I think it's, not to interrupt Mr. Barrett, I think that's
22		fair. I think that was inadvertently marked.
23	COURT:	Okay thank you.
24	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, I think the next exhibit pertaining to
25		you, or came to you was Exhibit 31. Is that correct?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
2	MR. KISOR:	Could you identify that for the record?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is a fax to me from Mr. Brewington and it
4		states, "Enclosed is a letter with some questions
5		regarding the direction of your evaluation. Please
6		note that Judge Taul confirmed my pro se status so I
7		am entitled to all correspondence, documentation,
8		channels of communication, etc. that opposing
9		counsel would be privy to. A timely response
10		would be greatly appreciated as this deals with the
11		welfare of my children".
12	MR. KISOR:	And again, what is he requesting that you provide?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well um, he wanted to know if I had forwarded his
14		information to the Affinity Center where he had
15		been treated previously and uh that he would also
16		like to have a copy of the case file mailed to his
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	home and again the case file would include the
18		mother's records.
19	MR. KISOR:	The same case file he's repeatedly asked you for
20		already?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, yes.
22	MR. KISOR:	And that Judge Taul's already said that he doesn't
23		get?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay and what then, any further significance of

1		Exhibit 31?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well he goes on to say if I don't send him this, then
3		I've breached my contract.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay and you did have a contract with him.
5		Correct?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
7	MR. KISOR:	And by not sending it, would you be in breach of
8		that contract?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well because again, I would need permission of the
10		court as well as the mother to send that information.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay, alright, if you would then move on to the next
12		exhibit. I believe its Exhibit 32.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 32 and this is a letter from me to Judge Taul
14		who was the presiding Judge over the custody
15		dispute at that time.
16	MR. KISOR:	Okay and basically you were just reporting as was
17		your duty?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes I just stated that he arrived at my office for the
19		scheduled appointment um, but he only stayed long
20		enough to inform me that he would not be
21		participating in the update which in fact he had
22		requested.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Alright, if you would just move on to the
24		next exhibit please.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is Exhibit 34 and this is a letter to me from Mr.

1		Brewington and um
2	MR. KISOR:	The date of that letter?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry.
4	MR. KISOR:	Was that by fax or by mail?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	This was faxed April the 1st of 2008 and um, it's
6		titled, "Dr. Connor's unethical behavior" from Mr.
7		Brewington and he goes on to again talk about
8		giving him the file and he cites the American
9		Psychological Associations ethical principles of
10		psychologists and code of conduct, um, and he
11		states that I um, didn't include members of his
12		family and the contacts in the evaluation and other
13		comments.
14	MR. KISOR:	Did he direct you to do anything in that
15		correspondence?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, I don't recall if there was anything that hehe
17		did ask me why I sent him the anonymous letter and
18		asked him if he knew anything about that in this
19		letter. He asked me, how dare I do that.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, on page 3, does it say here that "I would
21		suggest that you pull the report and get an
22		attorney"?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well the last sentence in this letter says "pull your
24		report now as you have crossed the line as a treating
25		therapist".

1	MR. KISOR:	So he did direct you to do something?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	To pull my report, yes.
3	MR. KISOR:	Okay and by pulling your report, what was he
4		referring to as you understand it?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	As I understand it, the custody evaluation.
6	COURT:	Let's hold off a second here. I've learned to take a
7		train timeout. I think we might be okay. I'm sorry.
8		Go ahead.
9	MR. KISOR:	Um, when he told you to pull the report and get an
10		attorney, how did you interpret that?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	I interpreted it as uh, he wanted me to withdraw my
12		custody evaluation that I had submitted to the court.
13	MR. KISOR:	Which had been by agreed order between him and
14		his wife?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
16	MR. KISOR:	And at that point, you hadn't even testified in the
17		dissolution case. Is that correct?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
19	MR. KISOR:	Um, let's move on – next exhibit.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	The next exhibit is 36, um, and this is to me from
21		Mr. Brewington, um, he asked me to please send a
22		copy of the case file so I can begin preparation of
23		potential depositions, uh, please fax Judge Taul a
24		letter apologizing from misrepresenting and your
25		inappropriate conduct or feel free to contact the

1		Kentucky Board of Examiners of Psychology and
2		make them aware of your actions.
3	MR. KISOR:	And how did you interpret those statements from
4		Mr. Brewington?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well again at this point I'm concerned. I'm not sure
6		exactly why he's continuing. I again, have no
7	.*	jurisdiction over someone's records. That would be
8		up to the Court and the person who those records
9		belong to.
10	MR. KISOR:	Is that concerning to you that someone is effectively
11		threatening to turn you into the psychological
12		authorities?
13	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object to characterization Judge.
14	COURT:	I'll sustain that objection.
15	MR. KISOR:	Was there anything in that letter that was
16		concerning to you as a threat or otherwise?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well when he tells me to contact a Judge and
18		apologize, I didn't feel that I had anything to
19		apologize for and um, but that was his
20		characterization of me and my evaluation.
21	MR. KISOR:	Uh, Dr. Connor, the next exhibit you have, before
22		you identify it, what is the number on it?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	The next one?
24	MR. KISOR:	Yes.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	38.

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay, if you would identify Exhibit 38 for the
2		record please?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 38 is from Mr. Brewington to me. It says
4		please read the following and respond accordingly.
5		Please forward to me a copy of your license from
6		the Indiana State Board of Psychology.
7	MR. KISOR:	Okay now at that time, did you even have a license
8		from the Indiana Board of Psychology?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	I was licensed in July of 2008, so this is dated July
10		of 2008.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay and the context of the custody evaluation and
12		the agreement, was it made clear to him that you
13		were operating under your Kentucky license when
14		you took on this assignment?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well the original court order from Judge, from the
16		Judge states that he has to contact Dr. Edward
17		Connor in Erlanger, Kentucky. We have a contract
18		that they signed and he signed it and right above his
19		signature is my Kentucky license number.
20	MR. KISOR:	And evaluations were done at your Kentucky office.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
22	MR. KISOR:	Um, your understanding of this, your part in the
23		court action at that time, the dissolution of these
24		parties, was there any meaningful purpose in that
25		fax?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Again I um, found it just more of his writings in
2	•	which I found to be more and more concerning as
3		time went on about what exactly, why he was
4		becoming, in my opinion, obsessed with me.
5	MR. KISOR:	Alright if you would move on to I believe Exhibit
6		39.
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is a fax dated July 30, 2008, the same date
8		as the previous fax from Mr. Brewington to me and
9		um
10	MR. KISOR:	It's the same date but it's a separate fax?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct, yes, same date, separate fax.
12	MR. KISOR:	And what is contained in that exhibit?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	It asked me to provide him a copy with the case file.
14	MR. KISOR:	We've heard that before. What else did he ask for?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	He goes on to say the release of the case file would
16		demonstrate your dishonest, malicious and criminal
17		behavior and it would reveal your gross retaliatory
18		behavior against me for trying to expose your
19		wrong-doing, your delusions regarding what you
20		claim to be my inability to communicate will be
21		exposed by the thousands of written words that you
22		have in your possession authored by me which you
23		claimed in the addendum to be intimidating,
24		confusing and hard to follow and he goes on.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay and did he ask you to place your insurance on

1		notice?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, on the second page, he says; please place your
3		malpractice liability insurance carrier on notice.
4		Um, and then
- 5	MR. KISOR:	Based on that, what did you, did you infer some
6		kind of intent on his part if you're supposed to place
7		your insurance company on notice?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I felt in my
9	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object Judge. It invades, he asking
10		him to infer intent. That's at the heart of this case.
11	MR. KISOR:	Judge I think he can infer based on the thousands of
12		words
13	COURT:	I think under these circumstances, I think he can.
14		I'm going to over-rule the objection. You may
15		answer the question.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Again I felt that it was intimidating that um, he was
17		trying to frankly intimidate me, withdraw my report.
18		He had stated previously he wanted me to withdraw
19		my report from the court.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay and alright next exhibit unless there's
21		anything further significant in Exhibit 39.
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	In the second to last paragraph, he says "please
23		don't assume that you nor any persons or employees
24		affiliated with Connor Associates have immunity
25		from civil or criminal liability as the rules and

1 .		statues don't apply when gross negligence is a
2		factor".
3	MR. KISOR:	Okay, thank you. If you would, go on to Exhibit 40
4		please.
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 40 is um, addressed to my wife, Dr. Jones-
6		Connor from Mr. Brewington.
7	MR. KISOR:	And that's inter-office communications, your wife
8		who works with you in the office?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
10	MR. KISOR:	And are you familiar with that as a record keeper
11		within the office?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
13	MR. KISOR:	Okay, can you identify what's contained in that
14		exhibit, further identify it?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	It says, "Please review the following as it may have
16		serious legal implications for you".
17	MR. KISOR:	And this is directed to your wife?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	That is but then it's, here it's addressed to me, so
19		but the letter itself is addressed to me and it's
20		regarding breach of contract law suit and asks for all
21		copies of correspondence I've had with the mother's
22		attorney, Mrs. Loechel, as you have sent her copies
23		of our correspondence, uh, if your correspondence
24		with Mrs. Loechel is considered confidential and
25		part of the case file, then you have violated my

1		patient confidentiality rights.
2	MR. KISOR:	Were you concerned with his patient confidentiality
3		rights as well as his wife's?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
5	MR. KISOR:	And did you violate either?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, no.
7	MR. KISOR:	Okay.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, and he goes on to say, please send me the
9		names of your office staff as they could potentially
10		be named as defendant's for legal action. So now
11		he was starting to threaten my office staff with
12		potential legal action as well.
13	MR. KISOR:	So not just your wife, but your whole office staff.
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
15	MR. KISOR:	Is now at risk.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay, uh, next exhibit.
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is Exhibit 41, uh, dated August 4, 2008, uh to
19		me from Mr. Brewington. It says please read the
20		following and respond accordingly. If Dr. Connor
21		is out of the office today it may be in his best
22		interest to be contacted as the material is time
23		sensitive and the letter reads um, that the contract I
24		signed June 18, 2007 entitles me to a copy of the
25		file. Your refusal to abide by the agreed contract

.

1		has left me no choice but to file a lawsuit for breach
2		of contract where you will be left to explain your
3		actions to a Judge, possibly jury about your actions.
4		Uh, it goes on to say that in a final attempt to
5		resolve matters privately, you have until the end of
6		today, Monday, August 4, 2008, to confirm that you
7		are going to provide me with a copy of the case file.
8	MR. KISOR:	Did he also again ask you to pull your custody
9		evaluation at that time?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	He goes on to say um, in accordance with your
11		contract, APA, American Psychological Association
12		rules and Kentucky Board of Examiners of
13		Psychology or that you are going to pull your report
14		for obvious reasons. He says this is not up for
15		debate and if I do not hear from you today, I will be
16		filing a lawsuit.
17	MR. KISOR:	So if you don't pull the custody evaluation, you
18		were ordered to do, you are going to get sued.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Ordered by him, yes.
20	MR. KISOR:	That's what he told you?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
22	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Okay, go on to the next exhibit please.
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well then he attaches uh, a what appears to be a
24		lawsuit or breach of contract to be filed in the
25		Ripley Circuit Court.

1	MR. KISOR:	Is this in fact in Exhibit 41 you're still referring to
2		or is this the next exhibit?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, this is still Exhibit 41.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay, so in 41, where he tells you to pull it or I'm
5		going to file a breach of contract lawsuit, he
6		attaches what appears to be some court documents
7		of some sort?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct, an unsigned law suit naming myself and
9		my wife and my um, my clinic as defendants.
10	MR. KISOR:	What happened next? Exhibit 42.
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 42, uh, dated August 4, 2008; again this is
12		the same date, different fax. It says please read the
13		following and respond accordingly. Please send the
14		correct contract you referenced in your previous fax
15		and it's more of this type of language, um, one of
16		the statements in here, again states that you still
17		have until the end of today to pull the report, in
18		accordance with the American Psychological
19		Association and the Kentucky Board of Examiners
20		of Psychology, I challenge you to send this letter to
21		the court but in fact you won't, because it would
22		incriminate you in your illegal and unethical
23		practices. Need I remind you to check your March
24		26, 2008 letter stating that you would be happy to
25		release the file as soon as you verify my pro se

1		status with the Court.
2	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, all these allegations and all his opinions
3		that he's throwing into these repeated faxes and
4		letters, is there any basis and truth for anything that
5		he was accusing you of doing?
6	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object, Judge. The State, Judge, has
7		chosen to put all this before the jury. They get to
8		decide now what they believe is true or not true. I
9		mean that's kind of the issue here since we're now
10		into the divorce as well. So I'm not really sure, you
11		know, they can't call a witness and then ask him to
12		vouch for himself.
13	MR. KISOR:	Judge, that's not what I'm doing. I'm asking Dr.
14		Connor who has just testified to this jury dozens of
15		communications and accusations he's received from
16		Mr. Brewington. Part of our case is are they true or
17		are they false and Dr. Connor is the best person to
18		know.
19	COURT:	I'll over-rule the objection. You can answer the
20		questions.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	In my opinion, they are false. Um, and I would add
22		that he concluded the letter with um, saying that
23		because of the situation has elevated from a breach
24		of contract to gross negligence, malpractice, slander
25		and/or liable, I plan to add Dr. Deters and Ms. Davis

1		to the law suit. Dr. Deters and Ms. Davis were two
2		(2) psychologists in my practice at that time and had
3		nothing at all whatsoever to do with this custody
4		evaluation so I had to inform them of what was
5		going on as well.
6	MR. KISOR:	And these were business colleagues?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes they were part of my practice at the time.
8	MR. KISOR:	And how did that affect your, well at least
9		potentially effect your business reputation at that
10		time?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I believe my reputation in the general public
12		was solid enough to, I think whether some of this
13		type of information but they were concerned. They
14		have families and they have concerns about their
15		practicing when someone, through no fault of their
16		own whatsoever and probably didn't even know we
17		did the custody evaluation on this case because they
18		had their own clients or patients, are now all of a
19		sudden being named as potential litigants in this
20		case he, or disagreement he had with me.
21	MR. KISOR:	Okay and in that Exhibit 42, he is telling you about
22		slander and liable. Is that correct?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct, yes.
24	MR. KISOR:	And he's not telling you, you have a first
25		amendment right to do that. He's accusing you of

1		doing that.
2	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object, Judge. He's leading the
3		witness.
4	COURT:	Sustained.
5	MR. KISOR:	Withdrawn. Dr. Connor, if you would then go on to
6		the next exhibit, I believe 43.
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 43 is dated August 5, 2008 and this is the
8		day after the previous two (2) faxes to me from Mr.
9		Brewington. It says please read the following and
10		respond accordingly and um, he wants a copy of
11		um, the different documents that were signed for the
12		agreement to do the evaluation from he and his
13		wife, uh he wanted a copy of his file which he was
14		provided. Um, saying, he says I haven't sent him
15		other copies of correspondence between myself and
16		the mother's attorney.
17	MR. KISOR:	So again he wants the file and he's telling you what
18		you haven't done right.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Right.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, Exhibit 44?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 44 is dated August the 6 th , so this is the day
22		after the previous fax to me, uh, from Mr.
23		Brewington. It says, "Enclosed is the motion to
24		clarify and it says please review the following
25		motion. Please forward the material I requested.

1		Feel free to contact me with any questions or
2		concerns". Signed by Mr. Brewington and then he
3		copied this motion to clarify to me that's dated
4		August 6, 2008.
5	MR. KISOR:	And did he raise any new complaints?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, again it's a lot more of the, in my opinion, the
7		same kind of concerns um, wanting the entire case
8		file once again. Um, and it just goes on about a lot
9		of that and um, cites different statues from the
10		Kentucky Board of Psychology of Examiners and
11		the American Psychological Association – this type
12		of thing.
13	MR. KISOR:	Okay, Dr. Connor are you still looking at 44?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, yes.
15	MR. KISOR:	Is there anything additional or significant to that?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, it's just more information.
17	MR. KISOR:	And can you identify Exhibit 45 for the jury please?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is dated August 20, 2008, to me from Mr.
19		Brewington and it says, "Enclosed is a copy of the
20		verified petition for contempt filed yesterday" and
21		then on the next page there is a letter to me from
22		Mr. Brewington. This is a copy of the petition for
23		contempt filed with the Court yesterday, um; you
24		will be receiving the full contents of the motion by
25		certified mail. Feel free to contact me with any

1		quartiens or concerns and easin it's the varified
1		questions or concerns and again it's the verified
2		petition for contempt citation, um.
3	MR. KISOR:	Did you subsequently receive the other documents
4		by certified mail, if you recall?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, I don't recall if I did or not.
6	MR. KISOR:	Were you ever found in contempt by the Ripley
7		Circuit Court as part of the dissolution
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	no, no.
9	MR. KISOR:	proceedings of Mr. Brewington?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I was never found in contempt of court.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay, had you agreed to withdraw your report or
12		not testify, do you think he would have filed that
13		motion based on your dealings with him?
14	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object your honor. It calls for the
15		operation of Mr. Brewington's mind.
16	COURT:	What was the question?
17	MR. KISOR:	If he believes that had he gone ahead and done what
18"		was being asked which was to withdraw the report
19		and not testify.
20	COURT:	I'll sustain.
21	MR. KISOR:	Okay. I'll move on, thank you, your honor. Uh, Dr.
22		Connor, after the contempt action was filed on
23		August 20 th of '08, uh, let's move on to August 28 th
24		of '08 – Exhibit 46.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, August 28th, Exhibit 46, um, he says if I retain

1		the services of an attorney, will you release the
2		evaluation case file to my legal counsel from Mr.
3		Brewington to me.
4	MR. KISOR:	Okay, alright, anything else significant about that?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	No sir.
6	MR. KISOR:	Okay, just a continuation of the communication?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
8	MR. KISOR:	Okay, uh, I believe the next exhibit will be 47. Can
9		you identify that for the record?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is dated September the 2 nd , 2008, from Mr.
11		Brewington to me, please review the following.
12		Please contact me if you have, if you find any of the
13		information confusing or difficult to understand.
14		Thank you for your cooperation and then the letter
15		says, Dear Edward J. Connor, you still haven't
16		forwarded copies of your office policy statement
17		with my signature on it. Please forward a copy of
18		the case file to me because I am an attorney.
19	MR. KISOR:	He told you he was an attorney?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
21	MR. KISOR:	Because he was representing himself?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm not sure.
23	MR. KISOR:	We don't know, do we? Okay, uh, anything else in
24		Exhibit 47?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, he asked again for correspondence between

myself and Mrs. Loechel, uh and a copy of the 1 verified petition for contempt not yet filed with the 2 court or he says this is enclosed. He sent me a copy 3 of that he was going to ask the court to hold me in contempt, um, as well as a motion to clarify filed 5 September 2, 2008. Feel free to contact me with any questions or if you find my writings to be 7 confusing or difficult to follow. Um, another letter dated September 2, 2008, to Mrs. Loechel from Mr. 9 Brewington but he cc'd me a copy of that letter. 10 11 MR. KISOR: And what was the context of that attachment to the fax? 12 13 DR. E. CONNOR: Please review the enclosed copy of the verified petition for contempt of trying to prevent me from 14 having equal access to evidence was merely an 15 16 oversight on your part, please have your client sign a release of information and consent waiver so Dr. 17 Connor can give me equal access to evidence that 18 19 you already are already entitled to as an attorney. If 20 this is not an oversight and I don't hear from you, II 21 will be filing contempt charge Thursday morning, September 4, 2008 and contacting the Indiana 22 23 Supreme Court disciplinary commission regarding 24 obstructing equal access to evidence and then the 25 verified petition for contempt citation is attached as

1		well as well as a motion to clarify.
2	MR. KISOR:	And that's against Mrs. Loechel. Correct?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
4	MR. KISOR:	But he felt some need to give you a copy of that one
5		as well?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	The next exhibit I believe will be 48. Can you
8		identify that please?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	48. This is September 3 rd , the next day, to me from
10		Mr. Brewington, um, please review the following,
11		contact me if you have any of the information, if
12		you find any of the information confusing or
13		difficult to understand. Thank you for your
14		cooperation and in this letter he tells me again that
15		he is an attorney.
16	MR. KISOR:	Does he go on again to accuse you of all the bad
17		things that you've done?
18	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object Judge. He's leading the
19		witness.
20	COURT:	Sustained.
21	MR. KISOR:	What else, if anything, is in that exhibit, Dr.
22		Connor?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, he says if you have decided to not
24		communicate with me about the office policy that
25		you don't have or refusing to send me, I assume you

1		have terminated your services as a licensed
2		psychologist with me and will take the appropriate
3		measures to withdraw from the case. If you don't
4		provide me with the office policy bearing my
5		signature which you have given to Melissa
6		Brewington and subsequently the court has a copy
7		of or you don't withdraw, it will just add to your
8		numerous violations and infractions of Indiana and
9		Kentucky law, psychology boards of the respective
10		states as well as the American Psychological
11		Association. Please let me know when it would be
12		a good time for us to meet so I can begin with your
13		deposition and we can really get moving on things.
14		Feel free to contact me with any questions or if you
15		find my writings to be confusing or difficult to
16		follow. Have a great day.
17	MR. KISOR:	Previously you have been asked multiple times to
18		pull the report, the custody report, now you're being
19		asked to withdraw from the case entirely.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
21	MR. KISOR:	Or bad things are going to happen to you.
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
23	MR. KISOR:	Let's move on to Exhibit 49.
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, Exhibit 49; this is dated September the 5 th , two
25		(2) days later, to me from Mr. Brewington. Please

1		review the following. Please contact me if you have
2		of the information, if you find any of the
3		information confusing or difficult to understand.
4		Thank you for your cooperation. Uh and this letter,
5		he says that any ignorance of the law or
6		misinterpretations of court rulings on your part are
7		not a defense in not releasing the case file. So he's
8		still wanting the case file and he says as an attorney,
9		referring to himself and a client of Connor
10		Associates, if you still don't intend to release a copy
11		of the case file, I want a written reason why you
12		don't want to release it. Obstructing the release of
13		the case file in order to prevent self incrimination on
14		your part is not a defense in itself. If you do not
15		release the file, I will file a petition for contempt
16		against you for violating this order. Um, and then
17		he goes down, further down to say and this again
18		concerned me for safety of myself and my family
19		where he says the game is over Dr. Connor and it
20		concerned me because I certainly did not see this as
21		a game and I wasn't sure what he was implying but
22		um.
23	MR. KISOR:	In addition to the obvious concern from the face of
24		it, you've done psychological testing of him.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.

1	MR. KISOR:	Is there anything pertaining to the psychological
2		testing coupled with this series of correspondence
3		and ultimately with the game is over that was
4		troubling or concerning to you?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
6	MR. KISOR:	Tell us about that.
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I feel that he does not take no for an answer
8		even from one in a position of authority such as the
9		court. I feel like he takes information and twists it
10		to serve his interest and um, can be very, very
11		aggressive verbally with his written comments, with
12		his continued faxes and including my wife, my
13		office staff, uh, and these threatened law suits. In
14		my opinion that is an act of aggression although it's
15		not necessarily physical, uh, as a psychologist I
16		certainly see where many cases where people step
17		out of their verbal aggression into an act of physical
18		aggression and so I became even more concerned at
19		this point.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, let's move on, the game's over. Let's move
21		on to Exhibit 50.
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 50, please review the following. Contact
23		me if you have any of the information, if you find
24		any of the information confusing or difficult to
25		understand. Thank you for your cooperation. Uh,

1		and then he asked for please be sure to include
2		Melissa Brewington's raw psychological test data
3		and a copy of the case file you are preparing for me
4		since the release of test data has nothing to do with
5		maintaining the security and confidentiality of the
6		psychological tests. And then he cites standards
7		from the American Psychological Association.
8		Please feel free to contact me if you find this to be
9		confusing or difficult to follow.
10	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, you've already testified why it wasn't
11		appropriate to give him the case file that he keeps
12		asking for.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
14	MR. KISOR:	Was it appropriate to give him quote "raw
15		psychological data"?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not at all.
17	MR. KISOR:	And had you given any of his raw psychological
18		data to his wife or her attorney?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, no.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, next Exhibit 51.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 51, this is dated October the 9 th , um, from
22		Mr. Brewington to me. He says please review the
23		following and the letter states that attached is a copy
24		of the motion filed with the court today. Feel free to
25		contact me if you find any of it confusing or hard to

1		follow. Please verify if you are going to continue to
2		communicate with me since the court disagreed
3		with the contention that you are an extension of the
4		court, it would not provide you with protection.
5		Please forward any correspondence you have with
6		Mrs. Loechel. If you plan on continuing to retaliate
7		against me for trying to hold you accountable for
8		your unethical and criminal behavior by sending
9		slanderous letters to the judge, please have the
10		professional courtesy to base your allegations on
11		fact and not try to insult the court's intelligence by
12		proclaiming yourself to be an extension of the court
13		or asking for protection when I'm sure you are very
14		familiar with the proper channels in obtaining
15		various orders of restraint. So I interpreted this as
16		um, he was telling me that I know how to get a
17		protective order or restraining order against him if I
18		chose to do so.
19	MR. KISOR:	If you take the bait.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
21	MR. KISOR:	Okay, alright, um, if I may approach? Dr. Connor is
22		there still an additional exhibit that you have not
23		referred to?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes there is on this um, there is, this is Exhibit 51
25		where he attaches the motion to compel Dr. Connor

1		to honor his contract and release the child custody
2		evaluation case file and um, number 5 on this
3		motion says Dr. Connor refused to provide the
4		respondent which is Mr. Brewington, uh with a
5		copy of the case file after respondent provided Dr.
6		Connor with the court's September 3, 2008 ruling.
7		And again I can't refuse that. It's up to a court and
8		the mother's permission to allow him to have that
9		information at this point. And then there's a letter
10		attached to this dated September 16, 2008 on the
11		same exhibit, um, from Judge Carl Taul where he
12		states I'm unable to provide you with protection and
13		you are free to communicate or not as you choose
14		with Mr. Brewington and he also states that he
15		disagrees that I would be an extension of the court
16		at that point.
17	MR. KISOR:	Judge Taul (inaudible)?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
19	MR. KISOR:	And you were taking the direction from Judge Taul
20		on that?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
22	MR.KISOR:	Do you have one exhibit?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, I have one left here.
24	MR. KISOR:	And that would be exhibit 26?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 26 correct?

. 1	MR. KISOR:	(inaudible)
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
3	COURT:	She's having a hard time picking you up.
4	MR. KISOR:	I'm sorry. Dr. Connor, can you identify Exhibit
5		26?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is Exhibit 26, dated March 28, 2008, and it's
7		addressed to me from Mr. Brewington and he cites
8		the various American Psychological Association
9		ethical principles of psychologists and code of
10		conduct, uh, in this letter and he actually attaches
11		uh, the a copy of the ethics and code of conducts
12		from the American Psychological Association to
13		this letter.
14	MR. KISOR:	Indicating to you what?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Again indicating that I am in violation of the code
16		of conduct for making ethical violations is how I
17		interpret it.
18	MR. KISOR:	Were you?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I was not.
20	MR. KISOR:	Your honor, at this time, the State would move to
21		admit State's Exhibit 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34,
22		and I'm just going to note that there was a sticky on
23		mine on the back of 36, that I'm removing. It's not
24		part of the exhibit and it's not referred to in Dr.
25		Connor's testimony.

1	COURT:	Okay so you were at 34?
2	MR. KISOR:	Yes your honor. The next exhibit we're offering
3		into evidence is 36, also 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44,
4		45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 192, 193. I believe
5		State's 9 is already entered.
6	COURT:	Mr. Barrett?
7	MR. BARRETT:	30 was not included in that list?
8	COURT:	It was not.
9	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, you're not offering 30?
10	MR. KISOR:	That's correct.
11	MR. BARRETT:	We would renew our objection Judge based on
12		relevance and 403.
13	COURT:	I'll show those exhibits admitted over objection.
14		That's 192, 193, 26, 28, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36,
15		38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50 and
16		51.
17	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. Dr. Connor, in addition to the written
18		communications that have just been admitted into
19		evidence, did you become aware of additional
20		blogging and additional communications that Mr.
21		Brewington was making concerning you?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	I did.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay and specifically did you become familiar with
24		a web-site known as Merchantscircle.com?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.

1	MR. KISOR:	I'm going to show you what's been marked for
2		identification as State's Exhibit 53 and ask you to
3		identify this for the record please.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, this is from the merchants circle web-site and
5		it's authored by Mr. Brewington dated October 13,
6		2008.
7	MR. KISOR:	And what does it say, if anything, about you?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, I was involved in a bad custody evaluation that
9		was conducted by Dr. Edward J. Connor of
10		Erlanger, Kentucky. Uh, Dr. Connor who is a
11		forensic psychologist works with civil and criminal
12		courts in Cincinnati, northern Kentucky, Lexington
13		and Louisville. Dr. Connor has refused to give me a
14		copy of the evaluation case file because I don't have
15		an attorney. Dr. Connor continues to attack me in
16		personal letters to the judge because I continue to
17		hold him accountable for his misconduct. Uh, for
18		more information, check out my web-site,
19		www.dansfamilycourtexperience.com. Contact me
20		with any other questions. Dr. Connor is a very
21		dangerous man who abuses his power. I hope this
22		information can help other children and families.
23	MR. KISOR:	Did you ever attack Dan Brewington in any way?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	No.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay, alright let's move on. There have been a

1		number of complaints I think where he brought up
2		the psychology board and so forth.
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes he did.
4	MR. KISOR:	I'm going to show you what's been marked for
5		identification as State's Exhibit 54.
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	Your honor, before I proceed, I would move to
8		admit Exhibit 53 into evidence.
9	COURT:	Mr. Barrett, 53?
10	MR. BARRETT:	No objection.
11	COURT:	I'll show 53 admitted into evidence.
12	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, without going into the detailed
13		statements contained in the exhibits, we can maybe
14		move on a little bit. Uh, just tell us if you can,
15		identify Exhibit 54 for the record.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is a complaint that Mr. Brewington filed with
17		the Kentucky Board of Psychology against me.
18	MR. KISOR:	Was that complaint taken and a decision made on
19		it?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes it was.
21	MR. KISOR:	And how did that come out?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	The complaint was dismissed.
23	MR. KISOR:	Was there anything new in that complaint?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	None, no, not that I recall. It was just more
25		disagreements he had.

1	MR. KISOR:	Your honor, the State moves to admit Exhibit 54.
2	COURT:	Mr. Barrett?
3	MR. BARRETT:	No objection.
4	COURT:	I'll show 54 offered and admitted.
5	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. Uh, Dr. Connor, let me
6		show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 55
7		and ask you to identify it and describe it for the jury.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, this is a fax to me from Mr. Brewington. Uh, it
9		says, please read, send me copies of your notes used
10		to score my psychological testing. Please contact
11		me if you find any of this to be confusing or
12	•	difficult to follow.
13	MR. KISOR:	And what's the date of this correspondence?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry; this is December the 5 th , 2008.
15	MR. KISOR:	Okay and we may have already testified, I'm not
16		certain. What was the date of the complaint that's
17		in Exhibit 54?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, the complaint was received on November the
19		21 st of 2008.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, so then about three (3) weeks or so later you
21		get another fax from Dr. or from Mr. Brewington?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay and uh, I'll show you what's been marked as
24		State's Exhibit 56.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh this is a fax to me from Mr. Brewington dated

1		December the 8 th of 2008, uh, three (3) days later
2		from Mr. Brewington to me. Please read the
3		following, also please provide me with the proper
4		release consent forms needed to obtain a copy of my
5		patient record. If your office policy statement is
6		indeed an adjunct document to the court order, then
7		I am entitled to a copy of my client patient record
8		under Kentucky law in the rules and regulations set
9		forth by HEPA. I'm sorry this has been so difficult
10		for you to understand. Please don't bother to
11		contact Judge Taul as the court has stated that the
12		court wasn't aware of Kentucky law. Please contact
13		me if you find any of this to be confusing or
14		difficult to follow.
15	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, I would move to January 22 nd and I
16		would ask you to look at Exhibit 59 and identify it
17		for the record.
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, this Exhibit 59 is dated January 22, 2009 from
19		Mr. Brewington to me. Um, he's talking about
20		some of the laws that, the test results that I referred
21		to earlier, his diagnosis, um, again he says the game
22		is over Dr. Connor. Uh, don't bother running to
23		another court looking for pity. Um, he references
24		other cases that I have been involved in, in this
25		letter as well. He says, please feel free to contact

1		me if you find any of this confusing or difficult to
2		follow. He closes his letter saying; remember one
3		of the fundamental blocks of rehabilitation is
4		accepting full responsibility for wrong doing while
5		realizing that there shouldn't be any blame placed
6		on the victim.
7	MR. KISOR:	Okay, thank you. Your honor, I would move to
8		admit Exhibits, I believe its 55, 56 and 59.
9	COURT:	55, 56 and 59?
10	MR. KISOR:	I believe so.
11	COURT:	Mr. Barrett?
12	MR. BARRETT:	We would object again Judge, based on relevancy.
13	COURT:	I'll show 55, 56 and 59 offered and admitted over
14		defense objection.
15	MR. KISOR:	Your honor, (inaudible).
16	MR. BARRETT:	I think it's been admitted.
17	COURT:	53 and 54 were also admitted.
18	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, you testified that his complaint to the
19		psychological board was over-ruled.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
21	MR. KISOR:	About how long did that process take?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	I believe it was approximately a week or two (2)
23		where it was dismissed. I'm not sure exactly but it
24		was dismissed.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay, I'm going to show you what's been marked

1		as State's Exhibit 60 and ask you to identify it and
2		describe it.
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, this is a letter from the Assistant Attorney
4		General for the State of Kentucky.
5	MR. KISOR:	A letter from?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry, this is to Mr. Bringleman.
7	MR. KISOR:	And that's from whom?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	From Mr. Brewington. Um, dated February 17,
9		2009 and it's in regards to legal counsel of the
10		psychology board regarding the Daniel Brewington
11		complaint against Edward J. Connor.
12	MR. KISOR:	What does it say regarding legal counsel?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it uh, he criticizes the board's dismissal of the
14		complaint against me. He cites different um, um,
15		content in their dismissal of the complaint that he
16		says is wrong. Um, he says he finds it troubling that
17		the board didn't see any apparent violations in a
18		complaint consisting of two hundred and thirty
19		seven (237) pages. So his complaint against him is
20		two hundred and thirty seven (237) pages and he is
21		saying that the board didn't find anything in any of
22		those pages wrong against me and that's troubling.
23		Um, and he goes on to cite different statutes and
24		why he feels that the board made a wrong decision
25		in dismissing the complaint he filed against me.

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay, I'm going to show you Exhibit 61. It has a
2		date, the same date, February 17th of '09 and ask if
3		you can identify 61 for the record.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is a letter to me from Mr. Brewington, um,
5		where he talks about again the different Kentucky
6		statutes, um, about how the investigator shall make
7		available to counsel and any party not represented
8		by counsel the investigator's file of underlying data
9		and reports, complete tests of diagnostic reports
10		made to the investigator pursuant to provisions of
11		subsection and then he goes on to list the different
12		laws about um, again why he should have that case
13		file.
14	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. I would move to admit 60 and 61 your
15		honor.
16	MR. BARRETT:	No objection.
17	COURT:	We'll show 60 and 61 offered and admitted.
18	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. Dr. Connor, to your
19		knowledge, other than the documents you just
20		testified about, were there further letters to the
21		attorney general?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, I believe there was. He filed a second
23		complaint against me with the board and um, that
24		complaint was dismissed as well.
25	MR. KISOR:	When was that filed?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall the exact date of the second complaint.
2	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Was the substance of the second complaint,
3		for some reason I don't have a copy of it, was it
4		similar to the original complaint?
5	DR. E .CONNOR:	Similar.
6	MR. KISOR:	Any new allegations that you recall?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not that I recall.
8	MR. KISOR:	Now let's move on. You already testified a little bit
9		about some of the voluminous I think you said,
10		postings, the blogs
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	yes
12	MR. KISOR:	that Mr. Brewington was doing.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
14	MR. KISOR:	I think you've already testified about some of the
15		things he called you.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	There's others. Is that correct? Other postings that
18		you observed?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Other postings?
20	MR. KISOR:	Yes.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's hard to say because it seems that there was so
22		many postings and I'm not sure where they all are.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay, well I've got a few exhibits here if I can sort
24		out here what Iif I could just have a moment your
25		honor. I apologize. Doctor, I'm going to show you

1		two (2) exhibits - exhibits 194 and 195 and uh ask
2		you to look at these, identify them and explain how
3		they relate to one another.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exhibit 194 is dated September 1, 2009 from Mr.
5		Brewington to me, um.
6	MR. KISOR:	What's he telling you?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	He says please see the enclosed information. I have
8		sent some of this information to local lawyers and
9		other professionals. I have included a copy of the
10		mailing list of people to whom I have sent the
11		information. I will continue to send the information
12		to other professionals in the Greater Cincinnati area.
13	MR. KISOR:	Do all those attachments appear in that exhibit?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, there's, his first page of his web-site, um, some
15		of his information and his um, disagreements and
16		concerns he has with me, uh in my evaluation of he
17		and his ex-wife. Um, and then he also attaches a
18		mailing list that is basically three (3) columns with I
19		think ten (10) names in each column so thirty (30)
20		on one page and there are one, (1), two (2), three
21		(3), three and a half (3 ½) pages of attorneys and
22		other people and I'm not sure who a lot of these
23		people are in this area which he sent this
24		information to.
25	MR. KISOR:	And what was he telling all these recipients in his

1		correspondence?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well he says here, um, it says according to Dr.
3		Connor's testimony, this is, he's referring to Judge
4		Humphrey's statement that according to Dr.
5		Connor's testimony, husband's writings are similar
6		to those individuals who have committed
7		horrendous crimes against their families. Please
8		forward copies of these alleged writings along with
9		the case file as I plan to contact a professional to
10		determine if there is any truth to your testimony.
11		Providing me with a copy of the case file prior to
12		any civil or criminal investigation will be viewed as
13		an act of good faith on your part. Feel free to
14		contact Judge James Humphrey about the situation.
15		I believe Judge Humphrey's interpretation of your
16		testimony is inaccurate. I would advise you to
17		contact the advisor of the Ethics and
18		Professionalism commission of the Indiana
19		Supreme Court, then he gives me the name and
20		address of this being the Judge's wife. The advisor
21		is located in Dearborn County, Indiana. Please send
22		concerns and comments to Heidi Humphrey and
23		then lists what I believe to be a home address but
24		I'm not certain.
25	MR. KISOR:	For who?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	For Mrs. Heidi Humphrey, Judge Humphrey's wife.
2	MR. KISOR:	Okay and in terms of what he wrote to the attorneys
3		on those, I think three (3) pages of mailing labels,
4		what did he tell them? Anything more specific?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well he again cites as many complaints about me
6		and my um, evaluation, um.
7	MR. KISOR:	Does he state things in the citing that they are true
8		or untrue?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well some of it may be true as perhaps he sees it but
10		some statements such as I was punished for holding
11		a dangerous psychologist accountable for his
12		actions, I'm not a dangerous psychologist so I don't
13		believe that to be true.
14	MR. KISOR:	And that's what he told all those lawyers and other
15		people?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	Professional people?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, yes.
19	MR. KISOR:	If they are foolish enough to believe that, could that
20		harm your business reputation?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well absolutely it could, yes.
22	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Could it subject you to hatred or contempt in
23		the community?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, one of the concerns in this type of writing as
25		well is that I deal with people who are mentally ill

1		and who have various mental illnesses that have
2		committed various crimes and to incite these types
3		of people as well, um, could be very, I think,
4		detrimental and possibly dangerous to myself.
5	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object and ask to be stricken Judge.
6		He is speculating wildly on, there's no evidence to
7		indicate any of that. I mean we've gone beyond his
8		opinion about the documents to speculation.
9	MR. NEGANGARD:	Your honor
10	COURT:	I'll sustain, I'm going to sustain the last comments
11		about the other patient's reactions. The jury is
12		instructed not to, to uh, to disregard the testimony.
13	MR. BARRETT:	Thank you, your honor.
14	COURT:	You may proceed, Mr. Kisor.
15	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. May I approach? Dr.
16		Connor I don't think we've talked about #195.
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
18	MR. KISOR:	Can you identify that for the record please?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	this was on his web-site, um, and it's dated Friday,
20		July 2, 2010, um, where people are responding to
21		him uh, but they are using the name of anonymous.
22	MR. KISOR:	Does he mention anything in that posting about the
23		mass mailing letter?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, yes, he says I sent a message to lawyers and
25		professionals in Dearborn County, Indiana, that they

1		are going to be in trouble if they continue to use Dr.
2		Edward J. Connor. Uh, Dr. Connor should not be
3		allowed to abuse children.
4	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. Your honor, I would move to admit
5		Exhibits 194 and 195 please.
6	COURT:	Mr. Barrett?
7	MR. BARRETT:	No objection your honor.
8	COURT	I'll show 194 and 195 offered and admitted.
9	MR. KISOR:	Thank you, your honor. Dr. Connor, are you
10		familiar with a web-site of yahoo local in your
11		community?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes I am.
13	MR. KISOR:	And uh, I'm going to show you what's been marked
14		as State's Exhibit 196. Does this exhibit refer to
15		some yahoo local postings?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes it does.
17	MR. KISOR:	And other information?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
19	MR. KISOR:	Can you just tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
20		jury uh, what exactly went on on this particular
21		web-site and how you dealt with it?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well there were, uh, our business was listed on
23		yahoo local which is not uncommon for a business
24		to be listed but uh, there were manipulations within
25		this web-site that seemed to be um, being done by

1		someone other than myself or my wife because we
2		never initiated an account with yahoo local and it is
3		um, our, it was our concern that it was Mr.
4		Brewington so we then contacted yahoo local.
5	MR. BARRETT:	Judge we would object at this point and ask
6		permission to ask a preliminary question.
7	COURT:	You may.
8	MR. BARRETT:	Do you have any evidence Dr. Connor, that Mr.
9		Brewington is the one that did that?
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	From what we understand from yahoo local, it was
11		his IP address.
12	MR. BARRETT:	It was what?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	His address that initiated the account.
14	MR. BARRETT:	Initiated what account?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	To and or to be able to go in and manipulate the
16		yahoo local um, negative comments and things.
17	MR. BARRETT:	And do you have that document with you?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is what we have from yahoo local, yes.
19	COURT:	That's the exhibit you're looking at?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Thank you, your honor.
22	MR. KISOR:	And in that exhibit, they removed that posting for
23		your reported business listing. Is that correct?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, we contacted them and said we have not
25		authorized this and therefore asked that it be

1		removed and it was removed within two (2) days.
2	MR. KISOR:	Your honor, we would move to admit Exhibit 196.
3	COURT:	196 Mr. Barrett?
4	MR. BARRETT:	I need to see that if I could Judge.
5	COURT:	Okay, sure.
6	MR. BARRETT:	Please.
7	COURT:	I'm sorry. I thought you had a copy. Any response
8		to that?
9	MR. BARRETT:	Judge I would object to State's 196 (inaudible).
10	COURT:	What's the response?
11	MR.KISOR:	Judge, the document is in direct response to a
12		question. He's asking the witness do you have any
13		documentation of that. It's subject to interpretation
14		(inaudible) and identified by the witness as
15		correspondence he had and it's been discussed as
16		part of Mr. Barrett's questions, do you have a
17		document.
18	MR. BARRETT:	Judge, they are the ones that provided him with that
19		document. (inaudible) well in the courtroom.
20	MR. NEGANGARD:	(inaudible)
21	MR. BARRETT:	(inaudible) that's my objection.
22	COURT:	That it's not a business record?
23	MR. BARRETT:	It isn't a business record. There's not been a proper
24		foundation. (Inaudible)
25	MR. NEGANGARD:	(inaudible).

1	COURT:	I'm going to sustain the objection.
2	MR. KISOR:	So Doctor, just to recap, you didn't set up a local
3		yahoo account for your business.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I did not.
5	MR. KISOR:	And when you got in touch with yahoo local, they
6		took that account down.
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
8	MR. KISOR:	That much we know for sure.
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay, now you mentioned the children's home.
11		Tell us a little bit about your involvement with the
12		Children's Home. I believe that's in Kentucky.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	There is approximately a thirty (30) bed um, facility
14		in Northern Kentucky. It's called the Children's
15		Home of Northern Kentucky where we treat
16		children with various behavioral problems,
17		psychiatric problems and who have been removed
18		from their homes and I'm their consultant, their
19		clinical consultant. I train the staff. I also do
20		evaluations with the children, uh to determine what
21		the diagnosis may be and what type of treatment
22		plan they may need and uh, when Mr. Brewington
23		put something on his blog
24	MR. KISOR:	Before we go there, I just want to ask you, uh and I
25		have something I want you to look at.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Okay.
2	MR. KISOR:	But how long have you maintained your business
3		relationship with the Children's Home?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	I started I believe in 1998 or '99, so um, at this
5		point, twelve (12) years or so.
6	MR. KISOR:	Is it a volunteer or paid position?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's a paid position, yes.
8	MR. KISOR:	Is it something you believe strongly in?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well very much. Over the years we've treated
10		hundreds and hundreds of children with various
11		psychiatric problems.
12	MR. KISOR:	Okay, alright and you've eluded at some point there
13		were postings about the children's home
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	correct
15	MR. KISOR:	made by Mr. Brewington.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. KISOR:	Can you describe those for the jury, what you
18		observed?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well uh, what I recall is that he was threatening to
20		contact their donors. The Children's home, we rely
21		very heavily on donations and things to sustain the
22		facility and the treatment for the children and he
23		was threatening to contact their donors and their
24		board members and saying that I was a dangerous
25		man and other comments uh, and that they should

1		stop their association with me and their attorney, uh,
2		from the Children's home contacted me and said
3		what is this, you know, what do we need to do here
4		and were very supportive of me and understood that
5		this was not accurate.
6	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object. He's testifying about the
7		operation of somebody else's mind at this point,
8		some attorney that we don't know.
9	MR. KISOR:	That's fine. Dr. Connor, I'm just going to show you
10		what's been marked for identification as State's
11		Exhibit 197 and ask if you can identify it please.
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Okay. Yes, um, this is from Mr. Brewington's web-
13		site and it's dated Friday, December 18, 2009. Um,
14		he states that the Indiana trial courts will not protect
15		my civil rights and the Kentucky Board of
16		Examiners of Psychology and the Attorney General,
17		Jack Conway will not protect my right to access my
18		own health records so I'm going to leave the writing
19		of complaints up to the attorneys while I focus on
20		telling people what goes on inside Dr. Connor's
21		world and that people and organizations whom are
22		affiliated with Dr. Connor, consider it blogumentry.
23		I'm going to send letters to people like the board
24		members and donors of the Children's Home in
25		Northern Kentucky where Dr. Connor oversees the

1		psychological staff. Places like the Children's
2		Home of Northern Kentucky can disregard my
3		concerns but I will be posting the letters on this blog
4		on my web-site so the public can see how they are
5		affiliated with Dr. Connor. Um, it goes on to make
6		other comments and then on the next page which is
7		dated January 20, 2010, it says uh, Dr. Edward J.
8		Connor may be a pervert um, this is very disturbing
9		of course, because we deal with children at the
10		Children's Home, um, many have been sexually
11		abused so to even make this kind of comment and
12		they were monitoring this web-site as well.
13	MR. KISOR:	So you at least had to explain to them what was
14		going on.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes. Yes I did.
16	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Um, Dr. Connor, at any point did Mr.
17	,	Brewington ever involve himself in any of your
18		other court cases?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes he showed up to a case in Campbell County uh,
20		where I was there to testify. Um, I believe it was in
21		November of 2010.
22	MR. KISOR:	Okay and what was the context of that court
23		appearance that you had?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I was testifying in a child custody case and
25		um, he showed up at that hearing.

1	MR. KISOR:	And I'm going to show you what's been marked for
2		identification as State's Exhibit 200.
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes. This again was on his web-site dated
4		November 17, 2010, um, where he talks about me
5		testifying in a child custody hearing in Campbell
6		County, Kentucky and he says Dr. Connor seemed
7		rather surprised to see me and after we first made
8		eye contact, he made a conscious effort not to look
9		at me again. He seemed a little nervous from a
10		psychological standpoint and he probably should
11		have been.
12	MR. KISOR:	So you seemed a little nervous and he said you
13		should have been.
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
15	MR. KISOR:	At some point, did you ever see any postings about
16		pyromania or pyromaniac?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	He did make a comment that he was a, uh, I forget
18		his exact words but I believe a self proclaimed
19		pyromaniac.
20	MR. KISOR:	Was that in context of his postings and bloggings
21		about you in his divorce case or something else?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it was on the same web-site where he was
23		posting about all this information about me and the
24		divorce issues and everything.
25	MR. KISOR:	Okay and how did you perceive his self proclaimed

1		pyromania as it relates to you?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well again, I take that very seriously, um, I was
3		concerned. I knew that I needed to pay attention,
4		not only to for myself but for my family, my
5		children, the office, our house. To make this type of
6		comment, I wasn't sure this was a threat or just an
7		attempt of intimidation or taunting or what have you
8		but it concerned me enough to really pay a lot of
9		attention.
10	MR. KISOR:	Okay and we'll get to some of the things hopefully
11		that you did in response, but just to move on, at
12		some point in his postings, did you observe what
13		you perceived to be physical threats?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	There was one definite blog where he tells a story
15		about wanting to beat the custody evaluator
16		senseless.
17	MR. KISOR:	Let me interrupt me briefly and show you what's
18		been marked as State's Exhibit 198. Dr. Connor is
19		198 the posting that you were just starting to talk
20		about?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes it is.
22	MR. KISOR:	Okay, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
23		jury what that posting says?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's dated Tuesday, May 11, 2010, and um,
25		throughout the writings and again he's talking about

1		um, how I was wrong and so forth and then there's a
2		paragraph that says "that lousy son-of-a-B-I- and
3		then different symbols H, Dr. Custody evaluator lied
4		in his report. He made me so mad I wanted to beat
5		him/her senseless. The dirty piece of S symbol,
6		symbol, T, would not honor his/her contract and left
7		me in a worse situation even though he/she took my
8		money. Every time I think about the evaluation
9		report that contained 'numerous errors and
10		oversights', it makes me want to punch Dr. Custody
11		evaluation in the face".
12	MR. KISOR:	And other than you was there any other Dr. Custody
13		evaluator that had been involved in his divorce case
14		up to that point?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, my wife.
16	MR. KISOR:	Your wife – so his and her.
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
18	MR. KISOR:	Do you take that seriously?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Very much, yes.
20	MR. KISOR:	Why?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it was a, in my opinion, a direct threat of
22		aggression toward myself and my wife.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay, Dr. I'm going to show you what's been
24		marked for identification as State's Exhibit 199 and
25		ask if you can identify and review that with the jury.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	This again was on his web-site dated Saturday, June
2		26, 2010, and again it talks about my wife and I and
3		that he, in fact, he's starting a campaign to warn
4		people in the Greater Cincinnati, Northern
5		Kentucky areas about the troubles in the family
6		court system. And then he goes on to say "Dr.
7		Connor probably needs the money. I'm sure his
8		mortgage with Fifth Third Bank on his house in
9		Triple Crown Subdivision in Union, Kentucky isn't
10		cheap.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay, did you at that time, have a mortgage with
12		Fifth Third Bank?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
14	MR. KISOR:	On your house in Triple Crown Subdivision in
15		Union County Kentucky?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, that's where we live.
17	MR. KISOR:	Okay, did you ever tell him that?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, never, never.
19	MR. KISOR:	Okay, was that ever any part of the case file of the
20		dissolution?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, no.
22	MR. KISOR:	Did that concern you that he knew all that?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Very much.
24	MR. KISOR:	Tell us why.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well he goes on to say that there are some nice

1		houses on his street and that of course, um
2	MR. KISOR:	his being you, correct?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Please?
4	MR. KISOR:	Not his street, but I mean he says there's some nice
5		houses on his street referring to your street?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Referring to my street, yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	Okay.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, he says I have family that lives a couple of
9		streets over from Dr. Connor. I wonder if I should
10		warn my family's neighborhood about the troubles
11		within the family court system. Sometimes you just
12		have to grab the reigns but he spells reigns R-E-I-G-
13		H-N-S. The name of my street is Reigh – R-E-I-G-
14		H.
15	MR. KISOR:	And that document is R-E-I-G-H capitalized?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes it is. It's all in capital letters.
17	MR. KISOR:	So he's telling you he knows not just the
18		subdivision but he knows what street you live on?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
20	MR. KISOR:	Okay, anything else in that document that was
21		concerning to you?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	When he says about contacting my neighbors and
23		things like this.
24	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, at some point, did you attend a family
25		wedding in the State of Pennsylvania?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, approximately three (3) years ago, my niece's
2		wedding in Hershey, Pennsylvania.
3	MR. KISOR:	And apparently were there some photographs of that
4		wedding?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
6	MR. KISOR:	And how were they distributed if you know?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, they were distributed to family members and
8		other people who had attended that wedding.
9	MR. KISOR:	Okay, were they distributed or through some other
10		means?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Through e-mail.
12	MR. KISOR:	Let me ask you this, I've got an Exhibit here that's
13		201 which appears to me to have your picture as
14		part of it.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
16	MR. KISOR:	I want to show it to you and ask if you can identify
17		the document whether that is you in the picture and
18		we'll go from there.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Thank you. This is dated Wednesday, February 2,
20		2011.
21	MR. KISOR:	And what is it?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	This is a picture of me dancing at my
23	MR. KISOR:	Where does document originate? What is it?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Oh, I'm sorry. It's on his, Mr. Brewington's web-
25		site.

1	MR. KISOR:	Okay. And the picture is?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well it's a picture of me dancing at my niece's
3		wedding. I certainly don't profess to be a good
4		dancer but it was at my niece's wedding at the
5		reception.
6	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Do you have any idea where he got that
7		picture?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	I have no idea and we have tried to figure out how
9		he could have gotten a hold of this and have not
10		been able to.
11	MR. KISOR:	Have you searched the internet for that picture?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes we have.
13	MR. KISOR:	Did you find it?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	We weren't able to find how he could have gotten a
15		hold of this picture.
16	MR. KISOR:	Do you know who took the picture?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	There was a professional photographer at the
18		reception who was taking pictures.
19	MR. KISOR:	And how, if you know, how would family members
20		have access to that picture if they didn't physically
21		have it?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well through Facebook perhaps or some other type,
23		you know, between family members, maybe sharing
24		the photographs.
25	MR. KISOR:	Was it on your Facebook account?

I don't have a Facebook account. DR. E. CONNOR: 1 Okay, so it certainly wasn't then. MR. KISOR: 2 3 DR. E. CONNOR: No. MR. KISOR: And you couldn't find it period. 4 DR. E. CONNOR: No. 5 So you don't know how he got it. MR. KISOR: I do not. DR. E. CONNOR: 7 Now how did he depict that photograph in the MR. KISOR: 8 posting? 9 It's entitled "the dangerous dancing Dr. Edward J. DR. E. CONNOR: 10 Connor". 11 MR. KISOR: And what, if anything, does he tell the public to do? 12 DR. E. CONNOR: Um, it says if you happen to see this man out in 13 public, keep your distance. The best thing a person 14 can do is forward this photo to as many people as 15 possible to make sure that everyone stays clear of 16 the very dangerous Dr. Edward J. Connor. For 17 more information on Dr. Connor's unethical and 18 illegal activities go to www.danhelpskids.com. 19 MR. KISOR: Dr. Connor, thank you, just one moment your 20 honor. Your honor at this time, I believe and I 21 22 apologize, 194 and 195 have been admitted. Is that 23 correct? COURT: 194 and 195. 24 MR. KISOR: At this time your honor, the State would move to 25

1		admit State's 197, 198, 199, (inaudible).
2	COURT:	Okay.
3	MR. KISOR:	200, and 201.
4	COURT:	Any objection to 197 and 198, 199, 200 and 201?
5	MR. BARRETT:	No objection.
6	COURT:	We'll show 197, 198, 199, 200 and 201 offered and
7		admitted.
8	MR. KISOR:	Thank you your honor.
9	MR. NEGANGARD:	Your honor may we approach?
10	COURT:	You may.
11	MR. NEGANGARD:	Was 192 and 193 admitted?
12	COURT:	Yes, let me double check here. I show 192 and 193
13		were. They were early on. They were right after #9,
14		and then 192 and 193. They are right here in the
15		bottom of that stack.
16	MR. NEGANGARD:	The one exhibit 61
17	COURT:	Yes, came in (inaudible). There was an objection to
18		53, 54, 55, 56.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Right now, I don't object to that (inaudible).
20	COURT:	Were you looking for 61?
21	MR. NEGANGARD:	Yell, I found it.
22	COURT:	Oh, okay. Are you um, just for time, we've got two
23		(2) hours; I want to give a break. Are you just about
24		done? Are you about to finish? Do you have a
25		problem taking a break right before your cross?

1	MR. BARRETT:	No.
2	COURT:	Okay.
3	MR. KISOR:	Dr. Connor, um, a lot of evidence right now of
4		things that Mr. Brewington told you, you should do.
5		Is that a fair statement?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	As a result of all his actions that you've just
8		testified to this jury about his postings and his
9		letters and things he sent to other people, um, what,
10		if anything, have you had to do in your personal and
11		your professional life in response to his actions?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well as I've stated previously, um, we had to
13		instruct the Children's Home about what was going
14		on and the front office if he would show up, to call
15		the police immediately. Um, I had to um, contact
16		our local police department and have them review
17		some of the information to see if they felt you know,
18		what I could do, you know, to protect our office
19		staff, our building if necessary. Um, the, uh, Mr.
20		Smith in the Boone County attorney's office. I also
21		contacted him just to make sure because I certainly
22		was concerned. Um, in um, had to tell the kids.
23	MR. KISOR:	Had to tell your kids? How old are your kids?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, at the time ten (10) and fourteen (14) I believe.
25		You know, show his picture to them in case, just in

1		case, because there was so much information, some
2		of it was so bizarre and some of it was just so, what
3		I felt potentially threatening, um, and um, had to
4		inform our staff if he should show up to call the
5		police immediately. We had an agreement with the
6		local police department to call them right away if he
7		would show up at the office because again I wasn't
8		sure and I didn't want to take chances. It's uh, quite
9		a bit research about mental health professionals who
10		do get attacked and so I wanted to um, be cautious.
11	MR. KISOR:	Okay.
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	After all these writing and everything, I felt that it
13		warranted appropriate caution and consideration.
14	MR. KISOR:	Okay, so you acted to protect yourself, your family
15		and your business and the people that work for you.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well primarily my children and my family and my
17		staff and myself, yes.
18	MR. KISOR:	And in your umpteen decades of practice, have you
19		ever experienced anything like this?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Nothing has, no one has even come close to
21		something like this in my entire career as a mental
22		health professional. I've worked in various
23		countries, um, and different states and nothing like
24		this has ever even approached this type of behavior.
25	MR. KISOR:	Thank you. I have no additional questions at this

STATE'S WITNESS – DR. EDWARD CONNOR - CROSS

1		time your honor.
2	COURT:	Before cross-examination, we're a little over two (2)
3		hours, let's take a ten (10) minute break to stretch
4		your legs and we'll be back. If all will rise. (Jury
5		returns). You may be seated. We're back on the
6		record with 15D02-1103-FD-84, the State of
7		Indiana versus Daniel Brewington. The parties
8		appear in person and with counsel and the jury is
9		also present. We just, I believe we did direct
10		examination of the witness. Mr. Barrett, do you
11		wish to cross-examine at this time?
12	MR. BARRETT:	Thank you, your honor. Good morning, Doctor.
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Good morning.
14	MR. BARRETT:	As I understood your testimony with regard to the
15		correspondence, letters and faxes from Mr.
16		Brewington, um, your interpretation of those was
17		that they were all seeking the case file.
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Many of them, yes.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Is that correct?
20	DR. CONNOR:	Yes.
21	MR. BARRETT:	That seemed to be an over-riding theme. Is that fair
22		to say?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes. Yes sir.
24	MR. BARRETT:	Tell, if you would, tell the jury, what is a case file?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well the case file in a child custody evaluation

1		contains all of the psychological test results, all the
2		information that was gathered throughout the course
3		of the evaluation whether it be from other people,
4		school records, medical records, um, all the
5		interviews, the observations and things of this
6		nature. That pretty much constitutes the case file.
7	MR. BARRETT:	And it is from those documents that you write your
8		report.
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
10	MR. BARRETT:	And as I understand the time-line in this case, you
11		were engaged by the original attorneys on behalf of
12		Mr. Brewington and Mrs. Brewington to perform a
13		custody evaluation.
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
15	MR. BARRETT:	And you have testified I think that you were to be a
16		neutral evaluator. Correct?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct, yes.
18	MR. BARRETT:	Um, and you did that.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir.
20	MR. BARRETT:	And you provided a custody evaluation report to the
21		Court.
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
23	MR. BARRETT:	And as I understand the testimony from at least
24		yesterday and I spoke, well it was admitted into
25		evidence and considered by the court.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Um, I also took it Doctor from your testimony that
3		you frequently do that - that you frequently perform
4		custody evaluations. Correct?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
6	MR. BARRETT:	Um, what is your office policy with regarding case
7		files to attorneys licensed to practice law?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well we will provide the case file to an attorney,
9		um, to both attorneys if it's requested as long as we
10		have the person's permission. Um, it's part of the
11		law that they do have the right to review that
12		information.
13	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, so the notion that someone might want the
14		case file is not a foreign one.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
16	MR. BARRETT:	Um, have you in the past provided case files to
17		attorneys?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	I have.
19	MR. BARRETT:	And you, at some point at least, became licensed to
20		practice psychiatry in Indiana.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
22	MR. BARRETT:	You testified?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
24	MR. BARRETT:	Um, have you provided case files to attorneys in
25		cases such as this in the State of Indiana?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall doing any in the State of Indiana. I
2		may have, but I don't recall for sure.
3	MR. BARRETT:	Okay. Um, and obviously Mr. Brewington, well I
4		shouldn't say obviously, I believe the evidence has
5		been that Mr. Brewington had retained at least two
6		(2) or three (3) attorneys to do that for a business,
7		professional attorneys in the course of his
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	I understood that he had um, a number of attorneys
9		before he began to represent himself, yes.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, and have you been involved in custody um,
11		evaluations before where a person has chosen to
12		represent themselves?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	I believe one a few years ago.
14	MR. BARRETT:	Um, would it be fair to say that if a person is
15		representing themselves in a law suit that they
16		would be entitled to what an attorney would be
17		entitled to have?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	I think that's fair to say within reason, yes.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, and obviously you put a cavity out on there.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Um, do you recall ever advising Mr. Brewington
22		that in fact that if you could validate or confirm his
23		pro se status in his divorce that you would provide
24		the case file to him?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do.

And you, I believe, put that in writing. 1 MR. BARRETT: 2 DR. E. CONNOR: I'm sorry? MR. BARRETT: You put that in writing. 3 I believe I did, yes. DR. E. CONNOR: 4 Do you recall when that was? MR. BARRETT: 5 DR. E.CONNOR: I don't recall the exact date, no. 6 MR. BARRETT: So you have testified that and again I'm not 7 8 referring to the blogs, I'm referring to the 9 correspondence or the faxes and you made a reference that there were um, I think you called 10 them voluminous... 11 DR. E. CONNOR: ...volumes... 12 ...voluminous, okay. MR. BARRETT: 13 Yes sir. DR. E. CONNOR: 14 MR. BARRETT: But from Mr. Brewington to you. 15 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes. 16 He's not a licensed attorney. Correct? MR. BARRETT: 17 DR. E. CONNOR: Well he said he was. 18 19 MR. BARRETT: Okay, he said I'm an attorney on at least one 20 occasion that I know you referred to. DR. E. CONNOR: Yes sir. 21 MR. BARRETT: Okay, you are not an attorney. Correct? 22 DR. E. CONNOR: No, I'm not. 23 Okay, do you know the difference between an MR. BARRETT: 24 attorney at law and an attorney in fact? 25

No. 1 DR. E. CONNOR: An attorney in fact is someone representing 2 MR. BARRETT: themselves. 3 Well I understand pro se if that's what in fact DR. E. CONNOR: 4 means. I do understand pro se. 5 Okay, exactly. MR. BARRETT: 6 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes sir. 7 8 MR. BARRETT: Okay, um, I take it from your testimony that Mr. Brewington didn't say he was an attorney at law. 9 He just said I'm an attorney. 10 Correct. DR. E. CONNOR: 11 Okay, that is correct? MR. BARRETT: 12 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes, that's correct. 13 MR. BARRETT: Um, and as I understood your testimony and this is 14 cross-examination so I'm certainly characterizing it 15 and if you disagree with my characterization, please 16 do so. 17 18 DR. E. CONNOR: Okay. 19 MR. BARRETT: Um, but I understood your testimony to be that your 20 concern with giving the case file to Mr. Brewington was the psychological information about his ex-21 wife. 22 DR. E. CONNOR: Correct. 23 Did you provide the rest of the case file to him? MR. BARRETT: 24 DR. E. CONNOR: Yes. 25

1	MR. BARRETT:	Um, would you, have you ever provided the
2		psychological information of an opposing party to
3		counsel for the opposing party?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	I have.
5	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, so that's not something that's absolutely
6		forbidden.
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
8	MR. BARRETT:	And would it be fair to say that at least in your
9		opinion, you don't think Mr. Brewington
10		understood the distinction in his case?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm not sure what he understood.
12	MR. BARRETT:	Sure, but I mean from the letters he repeatedly
13		asked for the case file.
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, yes.
15	MR. BARRETT:	Um, now he also, I think in some of that
16		correspondence referred to office policies.
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
18	MR. BARRETT:	And you have an office policy form that you have
19		folks sign?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	We do.
21	MR. BARRETT:	And it deals with, well just tell us what it deals
22		with, I understand you don't have one with you, I
23		assume.
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I don't have one with me, no. Basically just
25		covering some procedures of the evaluation and

1		what to expect and the fee arrangement, a waiver
2		giving us permission to release the final report to
3		the Court, uh, information or waiver allowing us to
4		contact perhaps a Grandma or a Grandpa or Aunt or
5		Uncle to interview them as well as we feel would
6		help with our evaluation.
7	MR. BARRETT:	Um, did Mr. Brewington receive one of those when
8		he started this process?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Do you recall corresponding with him indicating
11		that he was inadvertently not given that policy?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	There was a mistake by my secretary at the time that
13		I believe that he didn't get one of the, there's a
14		number of different documents, um, when you come
15		into the office that you have to fill out for various
16		reasons and one of the documents, what we
17		discovered later, he did not get, but the mother did
18		and I forget exactly which document that was um,
19		but the major contract agreement, I know he
20		received because his signature is on the contract.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Sure. Um, and did you ever seek a protective order
22		against Mr. Brewington?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I did not.
24	MR. BARRETT:	I'm assuming by that answer, not in the
25		commonwealth of Kentucky either.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I did not.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Um, and you've obviously told us some of the other
3		steps you took. Why did you not seek a protective
4		order?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well in thinking through this, um, one of the things
6		that I was concerned about is any kind of contact I
7		had with him would just escalate him and incite him
8		more to send more documents, write more about me
9		on his web-site and I thought in order not to incite
10		him more or escalate him more, I didn't want to
11		take that step unless I absolutely had to. Um, I was
12		advised to hopefully he would just die down when
13		he realized I'm not keeping him from his children.
14		Um, and so that's what I had hoped and decided
15		unless I could see an actual some type of direct,
16		physical action that perhaps just let it go, that he
17		would stop.
18	MR. BARRETT:	And you never did see any direct, physical action.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, to the best of our knowledge, he didn't come on
20		the street or around the office, um, to the best of our
21		knowledge.
22	MR. BARRETT:	And I think you indicated you had made the, I
23		believe you said the Erlanger
24	DR. E .CONNOR:	Erlanger police department, yes
25	MR. BARRETT:	police aware of that and

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	yes.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, um, and did you ever file any kind of a civil
3		action besides a protective order or a restraining
4		order?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I did not.
6	MR. BARRETT:	No action for liable or slander or?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	No I did not.
8	MR. BARRETT:	Um, the uh, there were, you made references from
9		the documents that have been admitted to um, Mr.
10		Brewington referring to your behavior as criminal
11		and unethical.
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
13	MR. BARRETT:	Um, do you recall him inquiring of you whether or
14		not you were sending him and providing him with
15		copies of all the documents that you were sending to
16		other counsel?
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	He did inquire about that, yes.
18	MR. BARRETT:	Were you, did you?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	As far as I recall, he had what he was allowed to
20		have through the court order.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, but you understand, I guess my question is
22		this; you, my understanding, you were not hired
23		specifically by one of the attorneys.
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
25	MR. BARRETT:	And you weren't working for an attorney.

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
2	MR. BARRETT:	You do that sometimes.
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, no, well not in child custody cases.
4	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, but in other matters.
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	In criminal cases, yes.
6	MR. BARRETT:	Okay and you don't necessarily provide all the
7		information in those kinds of cases to the opposing
8		counsel. You provide reports to whoever has hired
9		you and leave that to them.
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	In a criminal case?
11	MR. BARRETT:	Yes.
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir, sometimes the um, opposing counsel will
13		want a copy of the case file or the reports for their
14		expert to review them.
15	MR. BARRETT:	Did you um, so there was communication, written
16		communication with Mrs. Brewington's attorney
17		that you did not provide to Mr. Brewington when he
18		was representing himself?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall that ever providing any type of
20		communication just to her without him receiving it
21		as well. This was more about the mother's private
22		information and psychological test results and data
23		that he wanted that I was not permitted to give.
24	MR. BARRETT:	And you were not permitted to give why?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well Judge Taul ruled that uh, he was not to have

1		that. Judge Humphrey ruled the same and if I
2		understand it correctly it was appealed and the
3		appellate court ruled the same; that he was not to
4		have the mother's test data.
5	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, so basically you didn't give it to Mr.
6		Brewington in this particular case because you
7		didn't have a court order saying you should.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	That as well as I did not have the mother's
9		permission to do so.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Okay. So in the other instances when you release
11		that information to opposing counsel you had those
12		things?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	I had the other parties' permission to release the file.
14	MR. BARRETT:	Okay.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	To the opposing attorney, yes.
16	MR. BARRETT:	Okay. Your view is that what led to this? The fact
17		that he didn't get this information that he felt for
18		whatever reason, that he felt he was entitled to
19		whether it was because he was trying to represent
20		himself or your theory that he wished to put it on
21		the internet, is that basically what led Mr.
22		Brewington to uh, continue to communicate with
23		you on a repetitious basis, I guess?
24	DR. E. CONNOR:	No I don't believe it was just that, no.
25	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, what else?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well I think he was very bothered by the
2		recommendation that the mother have sole custody
3		of the two (2) little girls, um, he was very bothered
4		by, he felt that I um, acted criminally and
5		unethically in my report. Um, I think he just has a
6		very difficult time if he doesn't get his way and this
7		is the type of thing he resorts to.
8	MR. BARRETT:	And as a psychologist, did you form an opinion as
9		to why he had difficulty in the areas you have
10		described?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
12	MR. BARRETT:	As a psychologist did you form an opinion as to
13		why he had problems in those areas that you just
14		enumerated?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Right, I think he's the type of person that doesn't
16		think he has any fault or does anything wrong,
17		blames other people when things don't go his way,
18		takes little to no accountability for his contribution
19		to conflict in a relationship.
20	MR. BARRETT:	And those were also some of the reasons that, as I
21		understood it and I'm not a psychologist, but as I
22		understood it from your custody evaluation, those
23		were some of the reasons that you didn't
24		recommend joint custody.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.

1	MR. BARRETT:	Or legal, joint legal custody.
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, yes.
3	MR. BARRETT:	Um, is that a mental illness? What you've
4		described.
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I don't think he's mentally ill in the legal sense
6		of insanity by any means.
7	MR. BARRETT:	And there's a distinction, certainly.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry?
9	MR. BARRETT:	There is a distinction.
10	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir. But I do believe there's personality patterns
11		and characteristics that make him very difficult,
12		again, if he doesn't get his way.
13	MR. BARRETT:	And are those, do you know, are those treatable?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes. If, ifthe person has to be willing to be
15		treated for that
16	MR. BARRETT:	Sure.
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	attitude and behavior.
18	MR. BARRETT:	Sure.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	But if they don't think there's anything wrong with
20		them, of course, that's not going to work.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Um, did you ever recommend to Mr. Brewington
22		that he needed to seek treatment?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, I don't recall if I did in 2008 or not in the
24		initial custody evaluation. I would have to go back
25		and look.

1	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, so I'm sorry, you said, I didn't understand.
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall if I did in the initial 2008 custody
3		evaluation. I would have to go back and look or
4	MR. BARRETT:	okay
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	whatever year that evaluation came out. That was
6		approximately three (3) to four (4) years ago.
7	MR. BARRETT:	Okay and since then have you recommended that to
8		him?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't know. I don't believe I've had any contact
10		with him in that manner.
11	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, when was your um, has your contract been
12		completed in that case, in the Brewington matter?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, well, when the, I, that's a difficult question to
14		answer because if a case is appealed, I feel like I
15		still have some um, I guess level of obligation but I
16		haven't had any contact with the case since I
17		testified in Judge Humphrey's court.
18	MR. BARRETT:	And excuse me, and that level of obligation to who
19		or whom?
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	I guess primarily the Court, if they would want to
21		hear more testimony or if the case were appealed
22		and they wanted to bring me back into it at some
23		point.
24	MR. BARRETT:	What is uh, Merchant's Circle - the Yahoo, I think
25		you referred to?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Merchant's Circle is a web-site that lists various
2		businesses in the area and their location address and
3		the type of business.
4	MR. BARRETT:	And there was some posting on there?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
6	MR. BARRETT:	So is it, I mean is that the purpose of it, so people
7		can post?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	People are permitted to put comments on the
9		posting, yes.
10	MR. BARRETT:	About the businesses?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
12	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, and that's I apologize, I'm a little, I think I do
13		have it actually, there it is, State's Exhibit 53, the
14		Merchant's Circle October 13, 2008 posting - that's
15		where Mr. Brewington posted something.
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. BARRETT:	Was um, were there other postings or do you know
18		from other people that have been patients of yours?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	There may have been, yes.
20	MR. BARRETT:	Is it inappropriate for someone to make a complaint
21		to the Kentucky Board of Psychology?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	It's not inappropriate, no.
23	MR. BARRETT:	You mean, okay go ahead, I'm sorry, I didn't mean
24		to cut you off.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry?

1	MR. BARRETT:	It's not inappropriate?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I wouldn't say that was inappropriate.
3	MR. BARRETT:	Okay. Did you find that intimidating?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	That in the word self by itself I would not.
5	MR. BARRETT:	Okay. Um, and that took place, when? You don't
6		recall?
7	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall the exact date.
8	MR. BARRETT:	Okay.
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	I know it's
10	MR. BARRETT:	February of 2008, I believe?
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	Okay.
12	MR. BARRETT:	No I'm sorry, I'm looking atand then there was a
13		complaint made to the Kentucky Attorney General
14		that was introduced as State's Exhibit 60 and the
15		way I understood it, and I'm not licensed in
16		Kentucky but the Kentucky Attorney General's
17		office is basically legal counsel for the psychology
18		board?
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well yes sir, for the division, I believe it's called
20		Professions and Occupations or something of that
21		nature.
22	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, we have a similar situation here in Indiana.
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
24	MR. BARRETT:	So again, it would not necessarily be inappropriate
25		for somebody to send a complaint to that, to the

1		attorney?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
3	MR. BARRETT:	To the Attorney, Kentucky Attorney General's
4		office?
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
6	MR. BARRETT:	So your concern as far as that communication and
7		those communications is just the volume of them,
8		the amount?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	No sir, not just the volume. I believe that some of
10		them were intimidating. I think that they were uh,
11		with the intent to uh, be intimidating toward me.
12	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, he asked you to withdraw yourbut he did
13		that directly. He directly, Mr. Brewington directly
14		asked you to withdraw your custody evaluation.
15		Correct?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
17	MR. BARRETT:	And that was during the course of the litigation?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Correct.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, because he didn't agree with it.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Has anyone else ever asked you to withdraw your
22		custody evaluation?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	No sir.
24	MR. BARRETT:	Have you ever done it?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	No sir.

1	MR. BARRETT:	Are there circumstances that would cause that that
2		you can think of?
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, I don't think so. I would never withdraw my
4		evaluation. I might write an addendum if it were
5		necessary, but I don't believe that I would just
6		withdraw the evaluation, um, so.
7	MR. BARRETT:	How did you become aware of Mr. Brewington's
8		blogging activities?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, someone told us and I don't remember who or
10		how or if he put it in one of his letters, I don't recall
11		how I became aware of it originally.
12	MR. BARRETT:	Did you make a complaint to the Prosecutor's office
13		here about that?
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Here?
15	MR. BARRETT:	Yes, in this County, Dearborn County?
16	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, I was interviewed by the Prosecutor's office
17		and again I forget when about all the bloggings and
18		comments.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, but, I didn't ask that question very good. Did
20		you initiate that contact?
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't believe so, no.
22	MR. BARRETT:	Do you know how this investigation was started?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't know all the, no I don't know all the details
24		of how this all was initiated.
25	MR. BARRETT:	But you personally never made a complaint to the

1		Dearborn County Prosecutor's office.
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	When I was interviewed, I'm sure I did express my
3		concerns.
4	MR. BARRETT:	No, I understand. They were already investigating
5		though.
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. BARRETT:	Okay.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	But I don't recall making a formal complaint
9		myself.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Do you recall making a formal complaint to any law
11		enforcement agency in Dearborn County?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not formally, I don't recall that, no.
13	MR. BARRETT:	Alright and you're using formally and I'm using
14		formally. Did you ever ask that any law
15		enforcement agency in this county, in Dearborn
16		County, initiate an investigation of Mr.
17		Brewington?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall ever asking any law enforcement
19		agency to initiate an investigation of Mr.
20		Brewington.
21	MR. BARRETT:	Alright, thank you. What do you um, do you read
22		other blogs?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	I'm sorry?
24	MR. BARRETT:	Do you read other blogs?
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do, um, but nothing other than any kind of media

1		type things.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, what is your understanding of the purpose of
3		blogs?
4	MR. KISOR:	Judge, I think we're getting way beyond the scope
5		of cross-examination.
6	COURT:	I'm going to allow a little bit – overruled – go ahead
7		and answer the question.
8	MR. BARRETT:	Did you hear the question?
9	DR. E. CONNER:	What is my understanding of a purpose of a blog?
10	MR. BARRETT:	Mm hmm.
11	DR. E. CONNOR:	I believe it's for people to express themselves.
12	MR. BARRETT:	Express opinions?
13	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
14	MR. BARRETT:	Views, things of that nature?
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, yes.
16	MR. BARRETT:	Okay and certainly Mr. Brewington did that.
17	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes he did.
18	MR. BARRETT:	And it's your view that it's over the line, I take it.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	Absolutely yes,
20	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, for the reasons you've told us about.
21	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes sir.
22	MR. BARRETT:	Do you recall um, your testimony about I don't
23		think I wrote the date down but I believe it was
24		State's Exhibit 50 or 51, 51 I think, um, any way, it
25		was a letter from Mr. Brewington to you dated

1		September 5, 2008 and there was discussion you
2		read part of that letter, talking about the game is
3		over Dr. Connor?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, I remember that.
5	MR. BARRETT:	And what had, I mean that goes on to say the game
6		is over and the Court has ordered that "delivery to
7		counsel representing a party is the same as delivery
8		to a party personally".
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, and so but as I understood your testimony,
11		you took the reference to the word the game as
12		being something to be directed to intimidate you in
13		some way.
1.4	DD E CONNOD	77
14	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
15	MR. BARRETT:	Yes. But isn't it just additional discussion about the case
15		But isn't it just additional discussion about the case
15 16		But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game
15 16 17	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file.
15 16 17 18	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file. Well it's my interpretation, again based on all the
15 16 17 18 19	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file. Well it's my interpretation, again based on all the other information together that that was more
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file. Well it's my interpretation, again based on all the other information together that that was more intimidating, had that sentence by itself with
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file. Well it's my interpretation, again based on all the other information together that that was more intimidating, had that sentence by itself with nothing else around it or before it or after it, then I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BARRETT:	But isn't it just additional discussion about the case file? I mean he thought you were playing a game with him about the case file. Well it's my interpretation, again based on all the other information together that that was more intimidating, had that sentence by itself with nothing else around it or before it or after it, then I would maybe see it differently but it's the

STATE'S WITNESS - DR. EDWARD CONNOR - REDIRECT

1		that to be disturbing.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, but, and again, you didn't make any
3		complaint to law enforcement here.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
5	MR. BARRETT:	Or seek a protective order or file any kind of action.
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, that's correct.
7	MR. BARRETT:	Did you contact the FBI about this?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	I spoke with an agent who had worked with us
9		previously about this because it was across state
10		lines, yes.
11	MR. BARRETT:	Did they, what did they tell you?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	Um, basically to, you have to be careful, watch the
13		uh, observant, suggest that if you feel like it is an
14		immediate threat, if you see him in your
15		neighborhood, um, around the office, or what have
16		you, then contact the police and get a restraining
17		order.
18	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, but you never did any of those things.
19	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, again I didn't want to escalate him. I didn't
20		want to excite him even more.
21	MR. BARRETT:	I don't have any other questions your honor.
22	COURT:	Does the State have any redirect?
23	MR. KISOR:	Yes, briefly Judge. Now I think you just answered
24		the question about why you didn't seek a protective
25		order but I know there was also a question about

1		that you never filed a civil law suit against Mr.
2		Brewington for slander or liability. Why didn't you
3		do that?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	For the very same reason. Um, I did not want to
5		incite this man any more because I was under the
6		impression that he simply would not stop regardless
7		and in fact, when something like that incurs, I think
8		he gets more excited and he gets more challenged
9		and goes even more to extremes and uh, my uh,
10		wife and I discussed it and felt like let's just see if
11		maybe um, this would stop because again we felt
12		like we didn't really have anything to do with him
13		not seeing his children.
14	MR. KISOR:	Now just so I'm clear, I think I heard you say that
15		Mr. Brewington got the entire case with the
16		exception of psychological data and confidential
17		medical information for his wife Melissa.
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	That's correct.
19	MR. KISOR:	Okay and I think you also testified that in your
20		Indiana custody evaluations you can't recall any
21		situation where you gave an entire case file to the
22		attorneys.
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	I don't recall.
24	MR. KISOR:	And I'm not saying it didn't happen.
25	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yell I do quite a few of these evaluations. I don't

1		recall that.
2	MR. KISOR:	Okay. If you were to provide those
3	DR. E. CONNOR:	yes
4	MR. KISOR:	that type of data, would you need a consent or an
5		agreement between the parties?
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	And you did not have that in this case.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, and I would seek the agreement or the consent
9		and if one party did not, then the attorneys or the
10		court would have to make that decision whether I
11		should release it or not. That is simply not my
12		decision.
13	MR. KISOR:	And I'm not going to ask you to speculate but in
14		your dealings with Mr. Brewington and his wife,
15		Melissa, did you understand without telling us what
16		you understood, did you understand why she might
17		not want him to have that information?
18	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes, absolutely I did.
19	MR. KISOR:	Okay. Now you've dealt with attorneys and
20		courtrooms for decades, do you understand that I, as
21		an attorney, have an obligation of truth and candor
22		to the court?
23	DR. E. CONNOR:	I do.
24	MR. KISOR:	Do you feel that Mr. Brewington as his pro se
25		attorney would have that same obligation?

1	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object Judge.
2	COURT:	I'll sustain that.
3	MR. KISOR:	In any event, you didn't try to withhold anything
4		from him for spite, for any other reason, you sought
5		the guidance of the Judge of the dissolution court.
6	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
7	MR. KISOR:	And followed that order explicitly.
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	Exactly.
9	MR. KISOR:	And in fact this Exhibit 50 that Mr. Barrett was just
10		talking about and Mr. Brewington then referred to
11		an order of Judge Taul, that order said that custody
12		evaluation was delivered to Tom Blondell, that
13		means it's delivered to Mr. Brewington and it also
14		said Mr. Brewington obviously has that evaluation.
15	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
16	MR. KISOR:	Okay, and as far as you completing your contract,
17		did Mr. Brewington ask you to do anything else
18		other than his demands of giving him this case file,
19		has he asked you to do any other work in regard to
20		evaluating his custody situation since March 31,
21		2008?
22	DR. E. CONNOR:	Not other than what we have discussed here today.
23	MR. KISOR:	Okay, so had you been allowed to, you would have
24		completed the addendum with both parties
25		cooperation?

1	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
2	MR. KISOR:	And you reported what was going on to the local
3		law enforcement where you live.
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Yes.
5	MR. KISOR:	And just because you didn't necessarily start this
6		investigation, do you think we shouldn't have
7		initiated this investigation?
8	DR. E. CONNOR:	No, I do not. I think it was a wise move.
9	MR. KISOR:	Okay, do you believe, based on what's happened to
10		you, did Mr. Brewington intimidate you, try to stop
11		you from testifying an putting your report into
12		evidence?
13	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll object, Judge. The State's filed a
14		motion asking that these kind of questions not be
15		asked whether witnesses believe that Mr.
16		Brewington's guilty essentially of what he's charged
17		with.
18	COURT:	I'll sustain that.
19	MR. KISOR:	Thank you and your honor, I have no additional
20		questions at this time. I do reserve the right to recall
21		Dr. Connor.
22	COURT:	With that, do you have any other questions Mr.
23		Barrett?
24	MR. BARRETT:	Just a couple Judge. Um, Doctor, do you recollect
25		as you said here this morning, how big the case file

1		was in the Brewington matter?
2	DR. E. CONNOR:	How big?
3	MR. BARRETT:	How thick, how many pages?
4	DR. E. CONNOR:	Well we had to, I guess buy a file cabinet this size
5		type thing to contain all the documents.
6	MR. BARRETT:	Do you recall having correspondence with
7		somebody saying it was about nine hundred and
8		forty (940) pages?
9	DR. E. CONNOR:	Uh, that's possible, yes.
10	MR. BARRETT:	Do you know how many of those pages you gave to
11		Mr. Brewington?
12	DR. E. CONNOR:	What was in the case file pertaining to the custody
13		evaluation that he was allowed to have, I don't
14		know how many pages that is. The other whatever
15		hundred (100) pages are his blog. We copy, print
16		off the blog comments because sometimes
17		something was said that in my opinion, was more
18		inflammatory than what we have and then removed.
19	MR. BARRETT:	Okay.
20	DR. E. CONNOR:	So we thought it would be better to be sure to copy
21		every time something new comes up so we didn't
22		lose a potential threat.
23	MR. BARRETT:	Thank you Doctor. I don't have any other questions
24		your honor.
25	COURT:	Does the State?

STATE'S WITNESS - DR. SARAH JONES-CONNOR - DIRECT

1	MR. KISOR:	No additional questions but again your honor, I
2		would ask that we have the right to recall if
3		necessary.
4	COURT:	Okay.
5	DR. E. CONNOR:	Thank you Judge.
6	COURT:	The State may call your next witness.
7	MR. NEGANGARD:	Thank you, your honor.
8	COURT:	Bailiff? (inaudible).
9	MR. NEGANGARD:	Your honor, the State would call Dr. Sarah Connor.
10	COURT:	Come on up here ma'm. Before you get seated,
11		raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm under
12		the penalties for perjury that the testimony you are
13		about to give is the truth, the whole truth and
14		nothing but the truth?
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I do.
16	COURT:	You may proceed.
17	MR. NEGANGARD:	Thank you, your honor. Dr. Connor, would you
18		please state your name for the record please?
19	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Dr. Sarah Elizabeth Jones-Connor.
20	MR. NEGANGARD:	And um, do you want to be called Dr. Jones-Connor
21		or Dr. Connor?
22	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Whatever is easier.
23	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay. Um, if you could, briefly state your
24		background and education.
25	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I graduated from Bowling Green State University

1		with a Bachelor's in Journalism, from Florida
2		Atlantic University with a Master's Degree in
3		Psychology and from the University of Miami in
4		Florida with a P.H.D. in Counseling Psychology and
5		I've been in practice for about fifteen (15) years.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	Okay and are you married to Dr. Edward Connor?
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I am.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	And do you guys have a practice together?
9	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes we do.
10	MR. NEGANGARD:	And how long have you had a private practice
11		together?
12	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	He established the practice in 1996. I joined it in
13		2002.
14	MR. NEGANGARD:	And um, what type of work does the practice do?
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	A variety of things. We do sort of traditional
16		therapy with individuals, families, couples, children
17		but we also do some forensic work including
18		custody evaluations, evaluations for the courts,
19		other court ordered matters.
20	MR. NEGANGARD:	And did you um, when you do a custody evaluation,
21		do you frequently do those together as a team?
22	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes we do.
23	MR. NEGANGARD:	I'm going to show you what's been admitted into
24		evidence as State's Exhibit 9 and ask if you
25		recognize that.

1	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I do.
2	MR. NEGANGARD:	And what is that?
3	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	It's the custody evaluation report for the Brewington
4		vs. Brewington custody case.
5	MR. NEGANGARD:	And did you work with your husband, Dr. Connor,
6		in preparing that confidential custody evaluation?
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I did.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	And do you agree with the conclusions in that?
9	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I do.
10	MR. NEGANGARD:	Did you agree that if wasn't, did you agree that joint
11		custody would not have been an acceptable
12		arrangement in that relationship?
13	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I did.
14	MR. NEGANGARD:	And why is that?
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	The test results, background history, information
16		that we gather from the variety of different sources
17		indicated that Mr. Brewington had a difficult time
18		communicating with Ms. Brewington and that he
19		could be um, it would be very difficult to try to
20		come to agreements, mutual agreements,
21		compromises and that sort of thing in a co-parenting
22		arrangement and it's very necessary when two (2)
23		parents are divorced and they are sharing co-
24		parenting decisions and so forth, that they be able to
25		have some semblance of communication and ability

1		to recognize when the other person may have a
2		point or be correct about something and our
3		impression was that he could be very difficulty for a
4		variety of reasons for her to try to work with
5		regarding the children.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	Did you guys determine Dan Brewington, he's not
7		one who takes no for answer?
8	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	That was our impression, yes.
9	MR. NEGANGARD:	That he would not um, never really recognizes when
10		someone else has a point, does he?
11	MR. BARRETT:	Asked and answered Judge.
12	COURT:	I'll sustain, disregard that answer. Go ahead and
13		ask the question.
14	MR. NEGANGARD:	And without getting into any more detail on that, is
15		Mr. Brewington the Mr. Brewington that you
16		evaluated in State's Exhibit 9? Is he present in the
17		courtroom here today?
18	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes he is.
19	MR. NEGANGARD:	Could you indicate to the Court and the Jury where
20		he is located?
21	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Right there.
22	MR. NEGANGARD:	What is he wearing?
23	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	A dark suit with a striped burgundy tie and it looks
24		like a light gray shirt.
25	MR. NEGANGARD:	Let the record reflect the witness has identified the

1		Defendant.
2	COURT:	It shall reflect.
3	MR. NEGANGARD:	Now as a result of your evaluation, you and your
4		husband's evaluation, um, did you become aware of
5		correspondence between your husband and Mr.
6		Brewington?
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I did.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	Did you find that correspondence unsettling or
9		disturbing in any way?
10	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes, in a number of ways.
11	MR. NEGANGARD:	In what ways?
12	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Well first of all the volume of correspondence. We
13		were getting almost daily faxes, sometimes as many
14		as four (4) faxes in one day and um, he was
15		basically making threats to pull the custody
16		evaluation or he would file a law suit or do various
17		other things in what seemed to be a threat of
18		retaliation, um, it was also concerning and because
19		some of his, the basis for his thinking seemed to be
20		distorted in terms of the reality of the situation,
21		what he was accusing Dr. Connor of, um, was
22		misguided and um, the, it was concerning in that it
23		has persisted for over four (4) years without any
24		indication of letting up.
25	MR. NEGANGARD:	Were you, did you also during this timeframe

ı		become aware of postings on the internet from Mr.
2		Brewington?
3	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Numerous postings on the internet, yes.
4	MR. NEGANGARD:	And um, did you find those postings disturbing in
5		any way?
6	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Very much so.
7	MR. NEGANGARD:	In what way?
8	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Um, well again the volume of postings was quite
9		remarkable. The number of different sites that he
10		posted on, we can't even keep track of how many
11		sites he has been on to post negative comments and
12		reviews about Dr. Connor and a few in there about
13		myself as well. Um, some of the comments that he
14		made were very derogatory, factually inaccurate,
15		threatening, perceived by us as threatening, um, and
16		it was just, you know, very concerning, the extent
17		that he would go to and it was also very concerning
18		that he would go to the extent of pulling pictures of
19		Ed's deceased father from where we're not sure,
20		also from a family wedding in 2007 of Ed dancing
21		with his brother and how he got that we don't know
22		and so just the fact that he would spend that much
23		time and energy trying to track down things about
24		Ed and posting them on the internet was very
25		concerning.

1	MR. NEGANGARD:	May I have just a moment your honor? I'm
2		showing you what's been admitted as State's
3		Exhibit 201. Is that a photograph of your husband
4		at the wedding that you're referring to?
5	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes it is.
6	MR. NEGANGARD:	And that was a family wedding. Correct?
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	And you uh, found it disturbing that he was able to
9		track down. Have you been able to determine how
10		he would have gotten that photo?
11	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	No, we actually tried to find it ourselves by you
12		know, googling, by going to various Facebook
13		pages and that sort of thing of family members that
14		we knew, um. I have not been able to find it. He
15		has not been able to find it himself. We can only
16		speculate that he's either somehow gone on to
17		somebody's cite, although I highly doubt that he
18		knows anyone who was in attendance at that
19		wedding. It was in Hershey, Pennsylvania and Ed's
20		family members here in Northern Kentucky that
21		attended do not know Mr. Brewington. So our
22		speculation is that he either found some
23		unauthorized means to gather it from someone's
24		Facebook page or possibly that he somehow hacked
25		into our e-mail because I had saved an e-mail that

l		my niece sent to us with a password that you had to
2		use to get into the photographer's web-site in order
3		to be able to view the photographs of the wedding.
4		Otherwise, we're not really sure how he got it.
5	MR. NEGANGARD:	But it's disturbing that someone spent that much
6		time trying to get a photo of your husband.
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes it is.
8	MR. NEGANGARD:	Based upon the actions of the Defendant and the
9		voluminous correspondence that transpired during
10		the course of this, the continued uh, the continued,
11		uh, blog postings with regard to Dr. Connor, um,
12		have you had to take actions among your family um,
13		if you have, what were those?
14	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	We have sat down with our children, my step-
15		children, Ed's children from a prior marriage and
16		told them about this. We have shown them Mr.
17		Brewington's photograph. We've done the same
18		with our office staff, um, we've contacted various
19		authorities who have advised us to call 911
20		immediately if he ever shows anywhere near either
21		property, either our office or our home address.
22		Um, in contrast, I've avoided, we've avoided
23		talking, you know, with other family members, his
24		mother, my parents, who are elderly because we're
25		afraid that it would alarm them too much, that they

1		would worry, so we really haven't shared that this is
2		going on because I think it would be something that
3		would be a real cause for anxiety for them.
4	MR. NGANGARD:	And has this let up? I mean has he still continued to
5		um, I'll strike that. Um, I'll withdraw that question.
6		Um, has the actions of the Defendant impacted you
7		and your family?
8	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes.
9	MR. BARRETT:	To which we'll, Judge this, we've been through this.
10		That's what he just asked her.
11	COURT:	I'll overrule. It's related but I'll allow the question.
12	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes it has.
13	MR. NEGANGARD:	Have you been placed in fear because of the actions
14		of the Defendant?
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes we have.
16	MR. NEGANGARD:	Why is that?
17	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Well again looking at the totality of the case, the
18		extent to which this individual is willing to go to
19		track down information, to try to retaliate against
20		my husband for an opinion that he gave in a custody
21		evaluation is disturbing in it of itself and it
22		suggestive of some serious psychological issues that
23		anyone would spend that much time, that much
24		energy and that much effort to focus on one
25		individual like this and among the information that

STATE'S WITNESS – DR. SARAH JONES-CONNOR - CROSS

1		he has posted, um, there are certain comments,
2		blogs, that have been particularly frightening to us,
3		namely when he posted the information about our
4		street name and remarked that there were nice
5		looking houses on our street and he knew the bank
6		through who we have our mortgage for the home
7		and when I read that, I remember just, my heart just
8		sank. I just thought, Oh my gosh, he's been on our
9		street. My first thought is the kids, you know if
10		they're out playing in the yard, what, to what extent
11		is this individual willing to go and we don't know
12		the answer to that. Um, another particular blog
13		where he talked about beating the custody evaluator
14		senseless was extremely frightening and concerning
15		because there's only one custody evaluator involved
16		in this case that I'm aware of and it was not a very
17		thinly veiled threat in my opinion.
18	MR. NEGANGARD:	Thank you. I don't have anything further.
19	COURT:	Mr. Barrett, any questions?
20	MR. BARRETT:	A few Judge. Um, do you recollect how you
21		became aware of the postings, the blogging?
22	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Um, gosh, that's been so far back I really can't say
23		for sure.
24	MR. BARRETT:	Um, your function in the custody evaluation was
25		what?

. 1	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Basically a supporting role. I do the parent-child
2		observations and provide the information on those
3		informations for the report and if there are older
4		children and in this case they weren't old enough, I
5		will interview the children individually about their
6		experiences with the parents and so forth and then I
7		also go through at the end um, and discuss with Ed
. 8		about recommendations and review our thoughts
9		together and so forth.
10	MR. BARRETT:	So you observed Mr. Brewington interact with his
11		children?
12	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes I did.
13	MR. BARRETT:	And what were those observations? Do you
14		recollect?
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Uh, he interacted appropriately with the children.
16		As I recall, there were no major concerns from those
17		observations at the time.
18	MR. BARRETT:	How often did you observe him interact with his
19		children?
20	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Twice.
21	MR. BARRETT:	You didn't observe anything inappropriate?
22	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	No, I did not.
23	MR. BARRETT:	And apparently didn't observe anything that
24		wouldthere was no recommendation that
25		visitation or contact be limited with the children?

1	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Um, no.
2	MR. BARRETT:	The issue was who should be the custodial parent.
3	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes.
4	MR. BARRETT:	And as I understood your testimony that had more
5		to do with Mr. Brewington's ability to get along
6		with his then wife, now ex-wife.
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	As far as?
8	MR. BARRETT:	The recommendation about custody not being
9		joined.
10	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Yes that and among others.
11	MR. BARRETT:	It didn't have anything to do with Mr. Brewington's
12		ability to parent.
13	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Well
14	MR. BARRETT:	That you witnessed.
15	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I mean there was some concerns noted. Ed did a
16		home visit and he was concerned about the
17		environment and some dangers in the environment
18		and um, things of that nature. Um, but I think our
19		primary concern in terms of recommending that she
20		have sole custody was the ability or the lack thereof
21		to communicate with Ms. Brewington regarding the
22		children in an objective manner.
23	MR. BARRETT:	Was Mr. Brewington ever directed to stop
24		communicating with your office?
25	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I believe at one point after we started having the

1		barrage of letters and so forth that he was.
2	MR. BARRETT:	Okay, do you remember when that was?
3	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I can't tell you for sure.
4	MR. BARRETT:	As I understood your testimony about the
5		photograph of your husband dancing, you don't
6		know how Mr. Brewington got that.
7	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	I'm not exactly sure.
8	MR. BARRETT:	So you, alright nothing further Judge.
9	COURT:	Any redirect from the State?
10	MR. NEGANGARD:	No your honor.
11	COURT:	Okay, you may step down.
12	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Okay.
13	COURT:	Actually, could you hand me those exhibits?
14	DR. JONES-CONNOR:	Sure.
15	MR. NEGANGARD:	Your honor, may we approach?
16	COURT:	Yell, please. Would counsel approach please?
17	MR. NEGANGARD:	I know the Court likes to break around noon and we
18		don't have anything (inaudible).
19	COURT:	I say we break (inaudible). Okay. We're going to
20		break for lunch and again before you leave the
21		courtroom to the jury, uh, during the times that you
22		are out of the courtroom you may discuss the case
23		amongst yourselves, however you are not to
24		deliberate or to actually, I missed the ball on that
25		witness. Does the jury have any questions for that

1		witness? Thank you. Uh, during the times that you
2		are out of the courtroom you may discuss the case
3		amongst yourselves, however you are not to
4		deliberate or begin making decisions as to a verdict.
5		Do not talk to any of the parties, the attorneys or
6		witnesses. Should anyone attempt to talk to you
7		about the trial, you should refuse and report the
8		attempt to me at your first opportunity. There may
9		be publicity and various media concerning this trial.
10		You should not read or listen to those accounts but
11		should confine your attention to the Court
12		proceedings, listen attentively to the evidence as it
13		comes from the witnesses and reach a verdict solely
14		upon what you hear and see in this court. You
15		should keep an open mind. You should not form or
16		express an opinion during the trial and should reach
17		no conclusion about the case until it is submitted to
18		you for your deliberation. Okay, we're going to
19		recess until 1:00. If the Court will rise. You may
20		be seated. We are back on, on 15D02-1103-FD-84,
21		the State of Indiana versus Daniel Brewington. The
22		parties are present and the jury is also present. Is
23		the State ready to continue with their case? Mr.
24		Negangard and Mr. Kisor?
25	MR. NEGANGARD:	Yes your honor.