

1 SHERIFF: Michael Kreinhop. Kreinhop is spelled K - R - E -
2 N - H - O - P.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And what's your current position?

4 SHERIFF: Sheriff of Dearborn County.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: And how long have you held that position?

6 SHERIFF: Since January 1st of this year.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay and um, if you could, uh, give the jury a brief
8 review of your background and experience in law
9 enforcement?

10 SHERIFF: I began my law enforcement career with the
11 Dearborn County Sheriff's department in June of
12 1973 after graduating from college. After about
13 fifteen (15) years, or fifteen (15) months of service
14 with the Sheriff's department, I applied and was
15 accepted as an officer with the Indiana State Police
16 where I remained for thirty-four (34) years. My last
17 twenty-five (25) years with the State Police, I was a
18 detective and the last twelve and a half (12 ½) years,
19 of the twenty-five (25) years, I was a detective
20 sergeant. I was a supervisor of detectives. I retired
21 from the State Police in December of 2008. After
22 having done so, I was then hired by the Dearborn
23 County Sheriff's Department as a Detective and
24 assigned to the Special Crimes unit where I
25 remained until November of 2009 when I was

1 selected then as the Chief Deputy by then Sheriff,
2 David Lusby. Subsequent to that appointment I was
3 a candidate for Sheriff and was elected in November
4 of last year for the position of Sheriff.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: And when you were a detective with the Sheriff's
6 department you were also, um, that was a joint hire
7 when at the time you were actually also employed
8 as an investigator with the Dearborn-Ohio County
9 Prosecutor's office. Is that correct?

10 SHERIFF: That's correct.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: And then you left that to become Chief Deputy, ran
12 for Sheriff and was elected.

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And you had, it was a contested election in both the
15 primary and the general?

16 SHERIFF: That's correct.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, at some point in your law enforcement
18 career, were you asked to investigate um, the
19 Defendant, Daniel Brewington?

20 SHERIFF: I was.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: And what date did you start that investigation?

22 SHERIFF: August 24, 2009.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, how did you come about to investigate Mr.
24 Brewington?

25 SHERIFF: The Prosecuting Attorney had requested that I

1 conduct the investigation and at the time, he
2 provided me with a document titled "dan's
3 adventure in taking on the family courts". Uh, from
4 that document that led me to subsequent interviews,
5 several individuals and collect documents and
6 information from these individuals during the
7 course of the investigation.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, so you were asked to investigate uh, Daniel
9 Brewington. Is that correct?

10 SHERIFF: That's correct.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: And that you were asked by myself to investigate
12 Daniel Brewington. Is that correct?

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And what did you do in the investigation of Dan
15 Brewington?

16 SHERIFF: Uh, the first individual I contacted was Judge
17 Humphrey, conducted an interview of the Judge and
18 also received documents from him. The next
19 interview conducted was that of Angela Loechel. I
20 also collected documents from her as well. I also
21 interviewed Melissa Brewington and then also my
22 final interview was with Dr. Edward Connor and I
23 also collected documents from Dr. Connor as well.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: Did you ever have any contact with Dan Brewington
25 during the investigation?

1 SHERIFF: Yes.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: Did he give you a statement?

3 SHERIFF: He did make a statement, yes.

4 MR. NEGANGARD: How long did he talk to you?

5 SHERIFF: A little over three (3) hours.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Did he tell you why he didn't want to get a mental
7 health evaluation?

8 SHERIFF: Yes.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: What did he say?

10 SHERIFF: He said he would not submit to a mental health
11 evaluation because he felt that that would be further
12 evidence used against him to keep his children from
13 him.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
15 State's Exhibit 89 and ask if you can recognize that
16 document.

17 SHERIFF: I do.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is that?

19 SHERIFF: This is a letter addressed to whom it may concern,
20 authored by Daniel Brewington.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: And is that addressed to the Sheriff's department at
22 the time of Dearborn County?

23 SHERIFF: Yes it is.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: And the Prosecutor's office of Dearborn County?

25 SHERIFF: Yes.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And there's other addresses but those are two (2)?
2 SHERIFF: Yes there are.
3 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, does it allege that Dr. Connor committed
4 criminal acts?
5 SHERIFF: Yes.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. So what was the date of that document?
7 SHERIFF: October 30, 2009.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright, that was an effort by Mr. Brewington to
9 allege some sort of, have criminal charges brought
10 against Dr. Connor. Is that correct?
11 SHERIFF: That's correct.
12 MR. NEGANGARD: Is there anything, first I would move to admit
13 State's Exhibit 89 your honor.
14 COURT: Any objection to 89?
15 MR. BARRETT: No your honor.
16 COURT: I'll show 89 offered and admitted.
17 MR. NEGANGARD: Was there anything of merit in State's Exhibit 89
18 that supported the criminal charges?
19 SHERIFF: Not that I can see.
20 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked State's Exhibit 67
21 and ask if you can identify that document.
22 SHERIFF: This is also a lettered authored by the Defendant,
23 dated May 2, 2009, concerning a criminal complaint
24 against Dr. Connor with attachments.
25 MR. NEGANGARD: And is this another effort to get criminal charges

1 filed against Dr. Connor?

2 SHERIFF: I would consider it yes, as such, yes.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And that actually, State's Exhibit, what number is

4 that again, 67, I believe?

5 SHERIFF: Yes.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: That actually precipitated 89. Is that correct?

7 SHERIFF: That's correct.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 67 your

9 honor.

10 COURT: Any objection to 67?

11 MR. BARRETT: No your honor.

12 COURT: I'll show 67 offered and admitted.

13 MR. NEGANGARD: Now were you present when Mr. Brewington

14 testified before the Grand Jury?

15 SHERIFF: I was.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, did he admit during the course of his

17 testimony of the Grand Jury that he had accused

18 Judge Humphrey of abusing children?

19 SHERIFF: Yes.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, your honor may I approach?

21 COURT: Yes. What I'm attempting to do is direct um,

22 Sheriff Kreinhop's attention to specific statements

23 that he made that are relevant to the charges. What

24 I was attempting (inaudible) his Grand Jury

25 testimony. Um, I wasn't going to admit the entire

1 exhibit but just so that he could refer to certain
2 pages.
3 COURT: Do you have any problem with that Mr. Barrett?
4 MR. BARRETT: (inaudible).
5 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible).
6 COURT: I know. We had a bond hearing and I read that
7 thing.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible) and as you noticed I was scrambling a
9 bit and as I picked it up I realized that I didn't have
10 the complete (inaudible) the last few pages
11 (inaudible). They'll run down and get those but
12 what I'm suggesting is if I can go ahead and refer to
13 some of the things and as the papers come up
14 (inaudible).
15 COURT: That will be fine. Okay.
16 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
17 State's Exhibit 212 and if you could briefly review
18 that for the purposes of identifying it. I'm not
19 asking for any specific content at this moment.
20 SHERIFF: This is a transcript of the testimony given by the
21 Defendant, Mr. Brewington, at the Grand Jury
22 hearing.
23 MR. NEGANGARD: And you were present during that testimony?
24 SHERIFF: Yes I was.
25 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm directing your attention um, to page 163, line

1 14. I believe um, you um, if you could read line 14.
2 I believe it's a question by me to Mr. Brewington.
3 SHERIFF: Question or the statement is you posted his wife's
4 name on the internet.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: And what was his response?
6 SHERIFF: I didn't know it was his wife. She was the Ethics of
7 Professionalism Committee Advisor for the Indiana
8 Supreme Ethics and Professionalism Committee.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. And then I'm directing your attention to page
10 165.
11 SHERIFF: I'm sorry. What page?
12 MR. NEGANGARD: 165.
13 SHERIFF: Okay.
14 MR. NEGANGARD: Have you found that page?
15 SHERIFF: Yes.
16 MR. NEGANGARD: Uh, line 13.
17 SHERIFF: Question by yourself which reads, so where did you
18 get her address.
19 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is Mr. Brewington's answer?
20 SHERIFF: The response was on the Indiana Dearborn County
21 tax assessor web-site.
22 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor may we approach?
23 COURT: You may.
24 MR. NEGANGARD: I want to show that State's Exhibit 212 was
25 originally presented to the witness from page 112 to

1 237 and now adding pages 238 and 239. Okay?

2 COURT: Okay, I'll note that.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you. I'm sorry. In all the commotion, um,

4 having directed your attention to page 165, line 13,

5 um, you stated what was the question again that was

6 asked by me?

7 SHERIFF: The question was, so where did you get her address?

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And what was Mr. Brewington's answer?

9 SHERIFF: Response was on the Indiana Dearborn County Tax

10 assessor web-site.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay now I want to direct...the train's coming

12 through.

13 COURT: I think we're clear.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright, um, I'm showing you what's marked, your

15 honor may we approach?

16 COURT: Yes. Mr. Barrett?

17 MR. NEGANGARD: For purposes of the record I would like to have this

18 as part of the Court's record but not of the jury

19 record so that it's clear that it's you know, the

20 transcript can be authenticated.

21 COURT: Okay.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: Typically uh, I would offer it and then it would not

23 be admitted. I think that that would not be fair to

24 offer it in front of the jury and then not admitted

25 knowing that it's not going to be admitted so I

1 would just ask if we could by agreement, agree that
2 this can become part of the record but not the record
3 for the jury.
4 MR. BARRETT: Sure. (inaudible). I think it is (inaudible) Grand
5 Jury (inaudible).
6 COURT: I think so. Did they get admitted at the bond
7 hearing?
8 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell, they got admitted at the bond hearing.
9 MR. BARRETT: (inaudible).
10 COURT: But I don't really know how they, if this goes up on
11 appeal...
12 MR. BARRETT: (inaudible).
13 COURT: Okay, because I don't know if they're going to get
14 the whole bond hearing transcript. I mean I don't
15 know if they're going to include that.
16 MR. BARRETT: (inaudible).
17 COURT: Okay.
18 MR. NEGANGARD: Just in case there's an appeal your honor.
19 COURT: Thank you. I'll show 212 offered for the Court
20 record.
21 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright I'm showing you State's Exhibit 160, um, is
22 that one of the initial blog postings that was brought
23 to your attention during the course of this
24 investigation?
25 SHERIFF: Yes.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, referring to, may I approach the
2 witness your honor?
3 COURT: You may.
4 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could read the sentence on the last page
5 (inaudible).
6 SHERIFF: The paragraph?
7 MR. NEGANGARD: Just the last sentence of that paragraph right before
8 the (inaudible).
9 SHERIFF: I want people to understand how Judge James
10 Humphrey has abused my children.
11 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could, move to the last page
12 (inaudible) starting at my job is to hold people
13 accountable if you could read that sentence.
14 SHERIFF: My job is to hold people accountable for doing
15 mean things to my children and my family and to
16 make sure that these people do not have the
17 opportunity to hurt others. Please pass my message
18 on to everyone that you can. Tell people to go to
19 www.danbrewington.blogspot.com and
20 www.dadsfamilycourtexperience.com for more
21 information. Copy this letter and send this letter
22 along with your own personal comments and
23 opinions to the Ethics and Professionalism
24 Committee Advisor located in Dearborn County,
25 Indiana. Please save a copy of the letter for future

1 use if necessary. Send the information to Heidi
2 Humphrey, 1406 Indian Woods Trail,
3 Lawrenceburg, Indiana, 47025. Please feel free to
4 contact me. The time for bullying is over. Please
5 help me take a stand. Pass it on. Dan...okay.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: At this time I would move to admit State's Exhibit
7 160.

8 MR. BARRETT: No objection.

9 COURT: I'll show 160 offered and admitted.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. Um, referring to State's
11 Exhibit 212, um, on the first page of that exhibit.
12 What is the first page of that Exhibit?

13 SHERIFF: I say it, yes.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes, what is it?

15 SHERIFF: 112? I'm sorry, number 112.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm referring to 112 and 113, um, when, um, let me
17 get mine so I can direct you to it. I'm referring to
18 112, line 25, um, the foreman is speaking to Mr.
19 Brewington and he states uh, if you could read,
20 starting with and do you and it goes on to the next
21 page, lines 1 through 5. Could you read that for the
22 jury?

23 SHERIFF: And do you further solemnly swear or affirm that
24 you will not divulge any portion of your testimony
25 before this Grand Jury except when legally called

1 upon to do so.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: And then referring to page 238 um, line 19, starting

3 with now, um, I believe I'm speaking to Mr.

4 Brewington at that time. Could you state that?

5 SHERIFF: Your question begins on 18, line 18?

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Line 19.

7 SHERIFF: Uh, line 19 reads done...

8 MR. NEGANGARD: No, start with now.

9 SHERIFF: Okay.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: Start with the word now and then read.

11 SHERIFF: Now I will remind you that you cannot disclose any

12 contents of what occurred in this proceeding.

13 MR. NEGANGARD: And the response is?

14 SHERIFF: His response was yes.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: You understand what that, I'm sorry. If you could

16 continue reading.

17 SHERIFF: Your statement to him or question was, do you

18 understand what this means. Right. His response

19 was yes sir.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: And then continue with line 25 on 238 into the

21 next...

22 SHERIFF: Uh, your statement or question to him, okay you

23 understand what that means that you can't post

24 about this on the internet. His response was yes sir.

25 MR. NEGANGARD: And then proceed going on until the conclusion of

1 Mr. Brewington.

2 SHERIFF: Your response was alright. You don't have freedom
3 of speech to post about what happened here. The
4 Defendant's response was yes and Mr. Negangard,
5 in this proceeding you understand that. Mr.
6 Brewington, I understand that, yes sir. Mr.
7 Negangard, Okay.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you State's Exhibit 10. Could you
9 identify that?

10 SHERIFF: Uh, this is a posting on the Dearborn County Public
11 Forum by Dan Brewington.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: Does he discuss the Grand Jury Proceedings in that?

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: Then I also want to direct your attention back to
15 well; I would move to admit State's Exhibit 160.

16 COURT: 160 was, yell, I think this is 10.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: State's Exhibit 10.

18 COURT: Any objection to 10?

19 MR. BARRETT: No. No objection.

20 COURT: I'll show 10 offered and admitted.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright um, Now again I want to refer to page 112
22 of State's Exhibit 212. I don't believe I got t his in
23 here. Um, if you could start with line 20 and read to
24 um, line 25.

25 SHERIFF: This is Mr. Negangard, okay, now I will call Daniel

1 Brewington. Well go ahead and swear him in.
2 Foreman, do you solemnly swear or affirm that the
3 testimony you are about to give in the matter now
4 under consideration by the Grand Jury will be the
5 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: And on line, um, on page 113, line 5, how does Mr.
7 Brewington answer?
8 SHERIFF: He responds, yes.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: Now um, I'm directing your attention to page 196,
10 line 18. Would you read from line 18 to 25 on page
11 196 and line 1 um, through 24?
12 SHERIFF: Through 24 on 197?
13 MR. NEGANGARD: On 197, yes.
14 SHERIFF: Uh, it begins with yourself, Mr. Negangard, and you
15 admitted you posted on your facebook page, this is
16 like playing with gas and fire and anyone who has
17 seen me with gas and fire knows that I'm quite the
18 accomplished pyromaniac. Mr. Brewington, that's a
19 tongue and cheek thing. I mean, because I had, you
20 know we had, have friends in Kentucky. Mr.
21 Negangard, you posted that on the internet. Mr.
22 Brewington, what. Mr. Negangard, you posted that
23 on the internet. Mr. Brewington, yes, the other day I
24 posted a web poll that you know, what people
25 preferred, mayonnaise or Miracle Whip. I mean, I

1 just posted, you know, crazy things, sometimes.
2 Mr. Negangard, okay, well you weren't, no one's
3 supposed to take anything from that. Mr.
4 Brewington, well that's, correction, well what's.
5 Mr. Negangard, that you state that you're quite the
6 accomplished pyromaniac. Mr. Brewington, well
7 my, we used to build big fires, camp fires at our
8 friend's house in Kentucky. Mr. Negangard, so are
9 you quite the accomplished pyromaniac. Mr.
10 Brewington, I can build a good camp fire. I've
11 never set anything. Mr. Negangard, you responded
12 playing with gas and fire and anyone who has seen
13 me with gas and fire knows that I'm quite the
14 accomplished pyromaniac. Mr. Brewington, yes,
15 where was that. Mr. Negangard, you posted that. I
16 don't know. You posted it on your facebook page.
17 Mr. Brewington, on my facebook page. Mr.
18 Negangard, yes. Mr. Brewington, yes, see that's,
19 yes, that's another thing. Mr. Negangard...
20 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. I'm sorry line 24. May we approach?
21 COURT: You may. Mr. Barrett?
22 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor I don't care how the Court wishes to
23 proceed. I still have quite a bit to get into and I
24 thought this was, it's 10:40. I didn't know if the
25 Court wanted to take its morning break now or if

I you just want me to proceed.

2 COURT: If you want to take a break until uh, well I would
3 just as soon do as few as we can. Fifteen (15)
4 minutes about 5 'til 11.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell that's fine.

6 COURT: When we come back. Okay, alright. We're going
7 to take a brief recess and let everyone take about a
8 fifteen (15) minute break. It's now 10:40. We'll be
9 back at 10:55. Okay. All rise. Well actually let me
10 admonish the jury. During the times that you are
11 out of the courtroom you may discuss the case
12 amongst yourselves, however you are not to
13 deliberate or begin making decisions as to a verdict.
14 Do not talk to any of the parties, the attorneys or
15 witnesses. Should anyone attempt to talk to you
16 about the trial, you should refuse and report the
17 attempt to me at your first opportunity. There may
18 be publicity and various media concerning this trial.
19 You should not read or listen to those accounts but
20 should confine your attention to the court
21 proceedings, listen attentively to the evidence as it
22 comes from the witnesses and reach a verdict solely
23 upon what you see and hear in this courtroom. You
24 should keep an open mind. You should not form or
25 express an opinion during the trial and should reach

1 no conclusion about the case until it is submitted to
2 you for deliberation. You may be seated. Mr.
3 Negangard you may proceed with your direct.
4 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. With regard to the Ethics
5 and Professionalism Committee and there's been
6 testimony about that here today. With regard to his
7 web-site, what is the stated purpose of the Ethics
8 and Professionalism Committee on the internet?
9 SHERIFF: According from the internet, the purpose of the
10 Ethics and Professionalism Committee meets at the
11 request of the Supreme Court to give a judicial
12 perspective on ethical issues and to address judicial
13 wellness and judicial families. In addition, this
14 committee makes recommendation for education
15 programs on ethics topics, judicial wellness and
16 dealing with stress.
17 MR. NEGANGARD: Is there anywhere in there that it indicates that this
18 is where complaints about judges are to go?
19 SHERIFF: No, it does not.
20 MR. NEGANGARD: Does it list its members on the website?
21 SHERIFF: Yes it does.
22 MR. NEGANGARD: Does it list their home address?
23 SHERIFF: No it does not.
24 MR. NEGANGARD: Now um, in the course of your investigation, did
25 you find that uh, Dan Brewington had set up two (2)

1 web-sites and a facebook page to put comments on?

2 SHERIFF: He had several internet postings and web-sites, yes.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what was previously marked for

4 identification as State's Exhibit 211 and ask if you

5 can identify that.

6 SHERIFF: This uh, 211 is entitled "Dan's adventure in taking

7 on the family Courts".

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And take a minute and review, kind of briefly

9 review some of the documents in that so that you

10 can recognize that. Um, Sheriff, I'm not going to

11 ask you to look through all those pages, um, but is

12 that, is it fair to say that that exhibit indicates that

13 there was significant blog postings on his web-site,

14 Dan's adventures on taking on the family courts?

15 SHERIFF: Yes.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: And throughout the course of your investigation, it

17 was determined that he had set up that web-site.

18 Correct?

19 SHERIFF: Yes, this is the document that was originally given

20 to me by yourself or at least part of it.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could look at State's Exhibit 210.

22 SHERIFF: 210 is also several internet postings by Dan

23 Brewington and the top page is titled "Dan helping

24 kids, Dan helps kids.com

25 MR. NEGANGARD: In your investigation, you had determined that Mr.

1 Brewington had set up that web-site as well?

2 SHERIFF: Yes.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, did he also, during the course of your

4 investigation, determine that he posted comments

5 on other um, public forums and blog sites?

6 SHERIFF: Yes.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: Is it fair to describe that his internet writings were

8 voluminous?

9 SHERIFF: Very voluminous.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: I want to talk about a few of those postings. I'm

11 showing you what's marked for identification as

12 State's Exhibit 191. Can you take a moment and

13 look at that? State's Exhibit 191, is that a blog

14 posting that you obtained as a result of your

15 investigation from Dan's Adventures in taking on

16 the family courts?

17 SHERIFF: Yes.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: And directing your attention to the second page of

19 that exhibit. Um, the middle of the second

20 paragraph with ask yourself, could you read the two

21 sentences there in the middle of that paragraph?

22 SHERIFF: Ask yourself why Dr. Connor is working so hard to

23 stay involved in this case. He could have easily said

24 that he felt threatened by me so he was withdrawing

25 from the case.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, if you could turn to the next page please. Uh,
2 the second paragraph, starting with Judge Carl H.
3 Taul, could you read that paragraph? The second,
4 it's not the...
5 SHERIFF: Subpoena of Judge Taul?
6 MR. NEGANGARD: Judge Carl Taul.
7 SHERIFF: Because, there's a couple of various where it begins
8 with Judge Taul. Oh, this one, okay. Judge Carl H.
9 Taul of the Ripley Circuit Court gave numerous
10 conflicting answers as to why Dr. Connor didn't
11 have to release the evaluation case file and failed to
12 hold Dr. Connor accountable for misleading the
13 Court and parties of the child custody evaluation.
14 My former attorney, Thomas Blondell, of Zerbe,
15 Garner, Miller and Blondell, kept saying I don't
16 know if it's a bad evaluation. I'm not a
17 psychologist. Mr. Blondell charged me several
18 thousand dollars for listening to me and then fired
19 me citing communication problems when it came
20 time to question Dr. Connor. Dr. Connor said I
21 wasn't entitled to the evaluation case file because I
22 was representing myself and then said that it was
23 against the law for him to release the case file to me.
24 The Kentucky Board of Examiners of Psychology
25 ruled that there were no apparent violations of the

1 law in my 237 page complaint against Dr. Connor
2 and declined to even investigate it. The Assistant
3 Attorney General of Kentucky, Mark Bengleman,
4 tried to convince me to only deal with his office and
5 to not contact the board directly. Now Mr.
6 Bengleman deletes my e-mails before he reads them
7 because I have asked too many questions.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And could you read the first four (4) sentences of
9 the next paragraph?

10 SHERIFF: I may have re-written the rules of thinking outside
11 the box. How do you think you battle powerful
12 entities that don't follow the rules. You make up
13 your own. I got the judge to remove himself from
14 the case and then I subpoenaed him to appear to
15 testify at the final hearing.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you would read also, no take that back.
17 If you could read the last sentence of that paragraph.

18 SHERIFF: I may be subpoenaing the whole Kentucky Board of
19 Examiners of Psychology to testify what laws Dr.
20 Connor is allowed to break when conducting child
21 custody evaluations.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could turn to the page um...

23 SHERIFF: I'm sorry, what page?

24 MR. NEGANGARD: It's at the top of the page, it says, Dan versus the
25 State of Kentucky and they just spotted me thirty

1 (30) points.

2 SHERIFF: Okay and which portion?

3 MR. NEGANGARD: The very first sentence.

4 SHERIFF: I found out...

5 MR. NEGANGARD: ...the first two (2), the first two (2) sentences.

6 SHERIFF: The first two (2). I found out today what I hoped

7 wouldn't be true. The Kentucky Board of

8 Examiners of Psychology is corrupt.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could turn to page, it should be

10 page 67 of 74, I believe.

11 SHERIFF: Okay.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could read the last paragraph up until

13 um, on to the next page up until many of my

14 friends.

15 SHERIFF: Sometimes I think that I am the luckiest person

16 alive. I have been representing myself for a year in

17 a divorce/custody hearing that most people don't

18 question me any more. I have picketed law firms,

19 filed legal pleadings and appeared in court by

20 myself, taken on a crocket, forensic

21 psychologies/custody evaluator, Dr. Edward J.

22 Connor, PHD, caused honorable Judge Carl H. Taul

23 to recuse himself from my case and I have

24 subpoenaed Judge Taul to testify at the final hearing

25 of my divorce proceeding. People used to say that I

1 couldn't do it and that and/or that I shouldn't do it.

2 Some people said that because they worried about

3 me and others said it was because they didn't want

4 to deal with me.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: And then page 69 of 74, um, the first one, two, the

6 first two sentences.

7 SHERIFF: The board reviewed the complaint...

8 MR. NEGANGARD: No the second paragraph.

9 SHERIFF: Second paragraph. One of the things that I believe

10 separate me from Robert Kerns is that I'll

11 understand when the fight is doing more damage

12 than cause I'm fighting for. I believe in my heart

13 that my little girls need both their parents but if

14 fighting for a cause somehow damages my little

15 angels, then the cause isn't worth fighting.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: At this time, I would move to admit State's Exhibit

17 191.

18 COURT: Any objection to 191?

19 MR. BARRETT: No your honor.

20 COURT: I'll show 191 offered and admitted.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you State's Exhibit 190 and ask if you

22 can identify that.

23 SHERIFF: This is also a posting on Dan's Adventure in taking

24 on the family courts titled "letter to Kentucky

25 Attorney General, Jack Conway".

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, it's uh, so when uh, Kentucky State Board
2 of Law Examiners didn't do what Mr. Brewington
3 wanted, he then sent a letter to the Attorney
4 General, Jack Conway. Is that correct?
5 SHERIFF: Yes.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 190.
7 COURT: Any objection to 190?
8 MR. BARRETT: No objection.
9 COURT: I'll show 190 offered and admitted.
10 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
11 State's Exhibit 187 and ask if you can identify that.
12 SHERIFF: This is a posting on the Dearborn County Forum.
13 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, that is the uh, directing your attention to
14 the last page or the second to last page, that is the
15 posting where he tells everyone to send comments
16 to Heidi Humphrey. Is that correct?
17 SHERIFF: The last two pages do.
18 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 187.
19 MR. BARRETT: No objection, your honor.
20 COURT: I'll show 187 offered and admitted.
21 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
22 State's Exhibit 188 and ask if you can identify that.
23 SHERIFF: This is a letter to the State, titled "letter to the State
24 of Kentucky" that's posted on Dan's Adventure in
25 Taking on the family courts.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, if you could, referring to the, and that's dated
2 May 21, 2009?
3 SHERIFF: This document is dated May 21st.
4 MR. NEGANGARD: 2009?
5 SHERIFF: Yes.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, that's from the blog site Dan's Adventures
7 in Taking on the family courts?
8 SHERIFF: Yes.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: Could you read the paragraph, I believe it's the
10 second you could maybe count it as the third
11 paragraph beginning with some of Judge
12 Humphrey's?
13 SHERIFF: Some of Judge Humphrey's actions are rather
14 questionable. I would think that any of the people
15 associated with the State of Kentucky who have
16 dealt with me would believe that I am competent. I
17 would say that Judge Humphrey would assume the
18 same. The problem that Judge Humphrey has is Dr.
19 Connor stated that I could just not comprehend the
20 policies and the law pertaining to health records no
21 matter how many times he patiently explained it to
22 me. If Judge Humphrey says that I am competent to
23 represent myself, then he would have to answer to
24 why he protected Dr. Connor for so long. If he even
25 rules on the above motion it will be on the public

1 record that Judge Humphrey is aware that Dr.
2 Connor is a liar. If you combine this with the fact
3 that Judge Humphrey just found out that the
4 psychologist he regularly appoints as a professional
5 expert was not licensed to practice psychology in
6 the State of Indiana until July 8, 2008. Judge
7 Humphrey could be subjected to disciplinary action.
8 MR. NEGANGARD: And the first sentence of the second paragraph on
9 the next page...
10 SHERIFF: This is not going to end well.
11 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 188.
12 COURT: Any objection?
13 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.
14 COURT: I'll show 188 offered and admitted.
15 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
16 State's Exhibit 186.
17 SHERIFF: Yes sir?
18 MR. NEGANGARD: And going down to the first paragraph, um, one
19 crooked judge on it's about the second to the last,
20 third to the last sentence on the first paragraph of
21 that page.
22 SHERIFF: Shall I read it?
23 MR. NEGANGARD: Please.
24 SHERIFF: One crooked judge like James D. Humphrey doesn't
25 get to decide the whole case. It's decided by a jury

1 of my piers. As Clint would say, go ahead, make
2 my day. The day Dr. Connor sues me...

3 MR. NEGANGARD: That's enough.

4 SHERIFF: Okay.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Sorry. I would move to admit State's Exhibit 186.

6 COURT: Any objection to 186?

7 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

8 COURT: I'll show 186 offered and admitted.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: I'll show, I've given you what's marked for
10 identification as 186A. Could you identify that?

11 SHERIFF: This is another posting on Dan's Adventure on
12 taking on the family courts titled "if you refer, retain
13 and/or appoint the service of Dr. Edward J. Connor,
14 you should take note".

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, starting um, about the second or
16 third sentence in that first paragraph which says the
17 Indiana Trial Courts, if you could start reading
18 there.

19 SHERIFF: The Indiana Trial Courts would not protect my civil
20 rights and the Kentucky Board of Examiners of
21 Psychology and Attorney General Jack Conway will
22 not protect my right to access my own health record,
23 so I'm going to leave the writing of complaints up
24 to the attorneys while I focus on telling people what
25 goes on inside Dr. Connor's world and the people

1 organizations whom are affiliated with Dr. Connor.
2 Consider it a blogumentary. I'm going to send
3 letters to people like the board members and donors
4 of the Children's Home of Northern Kentucky
5 where Dr. Connor oversees the psychological staff.
6 Places like the Children's Home of Northern
7 Kentucky can disregard my concerns but I will be
8 posting the letters on this blog or my web-site so the
9 public can see how they are affiliated with Dr.
10 Connor. I will be posting letters that I receive from
11 the Kentucky Board of Examiners of Psychology
12 and Kentucky Assistant Attorney General, Mark
13 Bringelman, to give people an understanding of how
14 the board protected Dr. Connor who testifies on
15 behalf of the State of Kentucky in criminal and civil
16 cases. I lost all visitation with my children based on
17 the child custody evaluation of Dr. Edward J.
18 Connor. Dr. Connor claimed he had a hard time
19 understanding me and claimed my writings were
20 confusing and difficult to follow. Dr. Connor will
21 probably tell you that the remarks I've made about
22 him are untrue and slanderous and Dr. Connor will
23 use his authority to claim that I suffer from a
24 plethora of psychological disorders.
25 MR. NEGANGARD: That's good. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 184

1 and ask if you can identify that.

2 SHERIFF: This is a posting on Dan's Adventure on taking on

3 the family courts titled "Yahoo reviews removed

4 Dr. Connor's business listing".

5 MR. NEGANGARD: And uh, that article makes reference to the fact that

6 Yahoo business reviews pulled Dr. Connor's

7 business profile from the web?

8 SHERIFF: Yes.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 184.

10 COURT: Any objection to 184?

11 MR. BARRETT: No your honor.

12 COURT: I'll show 184 offered and admitted.

13 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked as State's Exhibit

14 185 and ask if you can identify that.

15 SHERIFF: This is also a posting on the web-site Dan's

16 Adventure in Taking on the family courts.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

18 State's Exhibit 181. Is that a blog posting from

19 Dan's Adventures in taking on the criminal courts?

20 SHERIFF: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: Is that a blog posting from Dan's Adventures in

22 taking on the family courts?

23 SHERIFF: Yes.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is the title of that article?

25 SHERIFF: Dr. Edward J. Connor may be a pervert.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And referring to um, the last paragraph of that
2 exhibit, um, the first sentence, if you could read the
3 first two sentences.

4 SHERIFF: If Dr. Connor does conduct evaluations with women
5 this way he is nothing more than a sexual predator.
6 Dr. Connor abuses his power and punishes people
7 who question him and the worse abuse of power is
8 submitting people to uncomfortable sexual
9 questions in a vulnerable environment.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, go to the next page, um, if you
11 could read the second, if you could, just read that
12 first paragraph there.

13 SHERIFF: At the, beginning at the top?

14 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell.

15 SHERIFF: This is probably one of the most disturbing issues I
16 have come across in my dealings with Dr. Connor:
17 profiting from custody evaluations while exploiting
18 children is disgraceful. Using the evaluations as a
19 mean to gain some kind of perverted sexual
20 stimulation while asking the children's mothers
21 explicit questions about their sex lives is
22 unthinkable. How do you make it stop? If someone
23 raises the issue Dr. Connor attacks them. Dr.
24 Connor accuses people of being paranoid and
25 suffering from reality distortion. The Judge takes

1 away your kids; you're left with nothing because
2 you spoke out as a victim. That's why I do what I
3 do. They took away my little girls so my main job
4 in life is to work to get my children back and to hold
5 people accountable for criminal conduct.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: And the last sentence of that paragraph or the last
7 sentence of the next paragraph. It starts it may take.

8 SHERIFF: Uh, let's see, it looks like, it begins with the fear of
9 rocking the boat?

10 MR. NEGANGARD: No that's the second to last, the last sentence.

11 SHERIFF: Oh okay I'm sorry. It may take some time but
12 honesty and virtue will prevail and the officials who
13 continue to turn their backs on Dr. Edward J.
14 Connor and the people who protect Dr. Connor will
15 have to take responsibility and protect children and
16 parents for abuse while officials like Judge James
17 D. Humphrey and Dr. Edward J. Connor.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 181.

19 COURT: Any objection to 181?

20 MR. BARRETT: No objection.

21 COURT: I'll show 181 offered and admitted.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you State's Exhibit 183 and ask if you
23 can identify that.

24 SHERIFF: This is a posting on the web-site Dan's adventure in
25 taking on the family courts.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And I'm directing your attention to um, the last
2 paragraph if you could uh, well first of all, what's
3 that titled?
4 SHERIFF: It's titled "Happy Birthday Audrey".
5 MR. NEGANGARD: And the first sentence is?
6 SHERIFF: Of the first paragraph?
7 MR. NEGANGARD: Of the first paragraph.
8 SHERIFF: Tomorrow is my daughter's fourth (4th) birthday.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, read the last paragraph.
10 SHERIFF: So what do I do? I keep working. I keep fighting to
11 keep pressure on Dearborn County officials who
12 abuse children or allow the abuse to happen. I have
13 people working on the appeal to try to undo the
14 damage that Judge Humphrey did. I'm going to
15 miss a year of my children's lives because Judge
16 James D. Humphrey is a nasty evil man. I'm going
17 to be sure that the year will be spent trying to
18 prevent this kind of thing from happening again.
19 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 183.
20 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.
21 COURT: I'll show 183 offered and admitted.
22 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
23 State's Exhibit 182 and ask if you can identify that.
24 SHERIFF: This is also a posting on the web-site Dan's
25 adventure in taking on the family courts.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, directing your attention to um, the second
2 to the last, or the second paragraph, second
3 sentence. What does that say?

4 SHERIFF: Dr. Connor is the bully on the playground who runs
5 to the teacher the first time someone stands up to
6 him, a true coward.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could uh, that's it. I'm going to
8 show you what's marked for identification as
9 State's Exhibit 180. Do you recognize that
10 document?

11 SHERIFF: This is also a posting on the web-site titled "Dan's
12 adventure in taking on the family courts".

13 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, what's the title of that article or posting?

14 SHERIFF: Join the group. Help Dan Brewington see his girls
15 on facebook.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could, read um, the uh, third
17 paragraph starting with please.

18 SHERIFF: There's just one complete paragraph. Do you want
19 the third sentence?

20 MR. NEGANGARD: Oh, I'm sorry, the third sentence beginning with
21 please, I'm sorry. Read please help me.

22 SHERIFF: Please help me send a message to Dr. Edward J.
23 Connor that children should not be used as
24 prostitutes for the financial gain of so many who
25 profit off of divorce and child custody cases.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay that's sufficient. I would move to admit
2 State's Exhibit 180 and 182.
3 MR. BARRETT: No objection.
4 COURT: I'll show 180 and 182 offered and admitted.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
6 State's Exhibit 179.
7 COURT: Hold on a second. The jurors have indicated that
8 they didn't hear the last couple of numbers of
9 exhibits that were admitted. Is there any objection
10 for either of the parties just going through here in
11 the last few moments what those numbers were?
12 MR. NEGANGARD: No your honor.
13 MR. BARRETT: No Judge, that's fine.
14 COURT: The last one was number 180, 182, 183, 181, 184,
15 186, 188, 187, 190, 191 – that's been the last
16 several admitted. You may go ahead, Mr.
17 Negangard.
18 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. Alright I'm showing you
19 what's marked for identification as State's Exhibit
20 179. Could you identify that?
21 SHERIFF: This is a posting on the web-site titled "Dan's
22 adventure in taking on the family courts".
23 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor, can I have just a moment? Alright uh,
24 State's Exhibit 179, if you could, referring to the
25 second page, um, the last two sentences.

1 SHERIFF: Of the last paragraph?

2 MR. NEGANGARD: Of the last paragraph.

3 SHERIFF: Beginning with I wish...

4 MR. NEGANGARD: Read the...

5 SHERIFF: ...or I washed it all?

6 MR. NEGANGARD: 179 correct?

7 SHERIFF: Yes.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: No, read where it says read the Wall Street Journal

9 article. I think that's the last sentence.

10 SHERIFF: Uh, no, I don't see that. Oh, okay.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible)

12 SHERIFF: Read the Wall Street Journal article, Dr. Edward J.

13 Connor, ADHD patients do not harm children,

14 parents, correction; ADHD parents do not harm

15 children. People like Dr. Edward J. Connor hurt

16 children. Question to the Children's Home of

17 Northern Kentucky – how long do you plan on

18 allowing a child abuser to play a major role in your

19 organization?

20 MR. NEGANGARD: That's sufficient. I would move to admit State's

21 Exhibit 179 your honor.

22 MR. BARRETT: No objection.

23 COURT: I'll show 179 offered and admitted.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you State's Exhibit 178. Can you

25 identify that?

1 **SHERIFF:** This is a posting on Dan's Adventure in taking on
2 the family courts.

3 **MR. NEGANGARD:** And read the, what's the title of that blog posting?

4 **SHERIFF:** It's titled "Maybe the time has come to sue
5 everybody who enables Mr. and Mrs., Dr. Edward J.
6 Connor's abuse of children".

7 **MR. NEGANGARD:** And if you could, read the beginning of the
8 paragraph, here's what people need to do and read
9 that whole paragraph there going on to the next
10 page.

11 **SHERIFF:** Here is what people need to do: If children are
12 harmed by Dr. Edward J. Connor, adults need to
13 focus on the entities that may have knowingly
14 allowed Dr. Connor to continue to harm children. If
15 a child is harmed by Dr. Connor during the course
16 of a school related psychology matter, the parents
17 should file a law suit against the school. If a child
18 happens to be neglected by the Children's Home of
19 Northern Kentucky as a result of Dr. Connor's
20 actions, the Children's Home of Northern Kentucky
21 should be held accountable. Dearborn County
22 children that are victims of Dr. Connor's abuse
23 should hold Dearborn County law enforcement
24 responsible for turning a blind eye to Dr. Ed
25 Connor's malicious behavior. Democratic Senate

1 candidate, Jack Conway, says he protects families.
2 But the office of the Attorney General only protects
3 families when it is convenient. Jack Conway and
4 the Kentucky Board of Examiners have an interest
5 in protecting Dr. Edward Connor because Dr.
6 Connor testifies in death row trials and often
7 testifies on behalf of the State. Last but not least,
8 we should not forget to hold Dr. Sarah Jones-
9 Connor accountable as well. Her name was signed
10 at the bottom of my custody evaluation report that
11 her husband claimed to contain numerous errors and
12 oversights.

13 MR. NEGANGARD: And then read the first two sentences of the next
14 paragraph.

15 SHERIFF: I am not a person who is out to sue everyone but if
16 everyone is going to turn their backs on the Connor
17 family child neglect ring maybe it's time that people
18 start suing everyone. I encourage people to start
19 filing lawsuits against anyone connected to Connor
20 and Associates, PLLC who have looked the other
21 way while enabling Dr. Edward J. Connor and his
22 wife, Dr. Sarah Jones-Connor to continue to harm
23 children.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could read the next sentence um,
25 down um, so stand up, speak out and sue them,

1 about mid way through the paragraph. Do you see
2 that there?

3 SHERIFF: Yes.

4 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could read that sentence please.

5 SHERIFF: Alright. Dr. Sarah Jones-Connor signed the report
6 so I am sure she was aware of the numerous errors
7 and oversight in the evaluation report before the
8 report was filed with the court. If we direct our
9 attention away from the problem, the husband and
10 wife combo of Dr. Edward J. Connor and Dr. Sarah
11 Jones-Connor and the focus on the entities that have
12 an obligation to report such abuse, people will stop
13 looking the other way. If people start suing
14 attorneys, public officials, psychologists, mental
15 health organizations, school systems, etc., who have
16 a duty to report the illegal actions of the husband
17 and the wife team of Edward and Sarah Connor,
18 people won't be so quick to look the other way,
19 when the Connor family is neglecting children and
20 their families.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could read that next sentence.

22 SHERIFF: So stand up, speak out and sue them because we
23 will never be able to stop the Connor family from
24 hurting children unless we can deter people from
25 protecting Mr. and Mrs. Dr. Edward J. Connor.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 178.

2 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

3 COURT: I'll show 178 offered and admitted.

4 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

5 State's Exhibit 177 and ask if you can identify that.

6 SHERIFF: It is a posting on the web-site, Dan's adventure in

7 taking on the family courts, May, 2010.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, directing your attention to the second page of

9 that exhibit, second paragraph down, starting with

10 that lousy son-of-a.

11 SHERIFF: Those lousy sons-of and the word is spelling B – I.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: Is it that? I'm sorry.

13 SHERIFF: Those lousy sons.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: Isn't the word that? I'm sorry.

15 SHERIFF: Um, no I don't see the word that.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible).

17 SHERIFF: Oh, okay. That lousy son-of-a and then the word us

18 B – I - # - \$ - H, Dr. Custody evaluator lied in his

19 report. He made me so mad; I wanted to beat

20 him/her senseless. The dirty piece of S - * - @ - T,

21 would not honor his/her contract and left me in a

22 worse situation even though he/she took my money.

23 Every time I think about the evaluation report that

24 contained numerous errors and oversights it makes

25 me want to punch Dr. Custody evaluator in the face.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 177.

2 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

3 COURT: I'll show 177 offered and admitted.

4 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

5 State's Exhibit 176.

6 SHERIFF: Yes sir?

7 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could read the title of that?

8 SHERIFF: The title of this?

9 MR. NEGANGARD: The blog posting.

10 SHERIFF: Of this posting, the titled is "Call for the resignation

11 of the cowardly Dearborn County Judge, James D.

12 Humphrey".

13 MR. NEGANGARD: And directing your attention to the paragraph that

14 says one, where it says and this is what he did, 1 and

15 read that first sentence there.

16 SHERIFF: Terminated all my parenting time with my three (3)

17 and five (5) year old daughters. Prior to Judge

18 Humphreys....

19 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, that's it, just that part. And then if you could,

20 go to the last paragraph of that page.

21 SHERIFF: First page?

22 MR. NEGANGARD: No, I'm sorry, go to page, the third page, it says

23 page 3 of 9.

24 SHERIFF: Okay.

25 MR. NEGANGARD: And read starting with etc...read it starts out this

1 isn't.

2 SHERIFF: Okay. This isn't the cherished pillar of the

3 community everyone looks up to. He's a vindictive

4 evil villain who will stomp on the lives of children

5 to etch out a personal vendetta to protect one of his

6 cronies. Judge Humphrey tried to destroy my life.

7 When he found out that he could not destroy my

8 integrity and dignity, he ran like the scolded dog

9 that he is. Hey James, if you think any of this is

10 slanderous, sue me. If it's true, retire. I am not

11 going away. Dearborn County deserves better. If

12 you believe Judge Humphrey's actions are

13 deplorable, please contact the Dearborn Circuit

14 Court at 812-537-8865 and leave a message with

15 the office staff calling for the resignation of Judge

16 James D. Humphrey.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 176.

18 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

19 COURT: I'll show 176 offered and admitted.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

21 State's Exhibit 175. Can you identify that?

22 SHERIFF: It's a letter on the uh....

23 MR. NEGANGARD: Was it a blog posting?

24 SHERIFF: Yes. Dan's adventure in taking on the family

25 courts.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And directing yourself to the last paragraph of that
2 blog posting on the second to the last page.
3 SHERIFF: Second page, last paragraph?
4 MR. NEGANGARD: Mm hmm.
5 SHERIFF: I would hope James would go ahead and step...
6 MR. NEGANGARD: Wait a minute.
7 SHERIFF: Second page, last paragraph?
8 MR. NEGANGARD: No second to the last, I'm sorry (inaudible). The
9 second paragraph of the...
10 SHERIFF: ...the last page?
11 MR. NEGANGARD: The third page, yell.
12 SHERIFF: When James steps down, this all ends. I do not
13 want to see him have the ability to abuse more
14 children in his courtroom.
15 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay and then if you could, go to the um, third
16 sentence um, from the bottom, well actually just
17 continue reading.
18 SHERIFF: If you feel like you're getting angry – don't. It
19 clouds your judgment. If you think you're going to
20 be able to convince other law enforcement officials
21 that I am a danger or a criminal, you may want to
22 reconsider. Ripley County Sheriff, Thomas Grills is
23 horrified about the situation. The Norwood City
24 police department is aware that Kreinhop snuck in
25 to do his secret investigation of me. Hamilton

1 County Judge Dwayne Mallory understands how
2 Dr. Edward J. Connor lies about the release of his
3 records. Every attorney in the world would look at
4 my divorce decree and say “man this Judge really
5 hated you”. What are you going to do? Arrest me.
6 Let’s find a jury of parents and grandparents and tell
7 them what James did. Let them read all eighty
8 thousand (80,000) words of my internet postings.
9 They will be shocked that I remained cool and calm
10 after reading how Judge James Humphrey punished
11 two (2) little girls because he sought revenge on
12 their father because their dad picked on Judge
13 Humphrey’s precious little Dr. Connor. See how far
14 your trumped up charge will go with the people who
15 have experienced domestic court. Please don’t
16 forget James will be the first one that I will call to
17 testify. Judge Humphrey once told me that he was
18 not going to let me turn his courtroom into a circus.
19 He will be thinking that I brought Ringling
20 Brothers and Barnum and Bailey with me if he ever
21 has to take the stand. I will never fear you. You
22 make the call.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State’s Exhibit 175.

24 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

25 COURT: I’ll show 175 offered and admitted.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
2 State's Exhibit 174 and ask if you can identify that.
3 SHERIFF: That's a posting on the web-site, Dan's adventure in
4 taking on the family courts.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: And what's it titled?
6 SHERIFF: Happy Father's Day, Judge James D. Humphrey.
7 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, in the interest of time if you could go to
8 the sentence um, in the second paragraph right after
9 www.danhelpskids.com, I explained in great detail
10 if you could, um, start and just read that sentence
11 there. Right after www.danhelpskids.com. Did you
12 find it?
13 SHERIFF: Oh, okay. I explained in great detail how you
14 continued to allow Dr. Ed Connor to abuse children.
15 The following is a list...
16 MR. NEGANGARD: Just that sentence.
17 SHERIFF: Okay.
18 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, and then go to the last um, paragraph and uh,
19 read that last paragraph.
20 SHERIFF: There's nothing like a father's day gift of
21 information. I know the information in this letter
22 may be a little dry but now you have a better
23 understanding that the more key words I use only
24 serves to increase the visibility of the problems with
25 the Dearborn County Courts. This is my gift to you

1 on this father's day. I am giving you the gift of
2 knowing that your children and/or grandchildren
3 will be able to see how their father/grandfather
4 punishes defenseless children for his own personal
5 gain. Next time one of your kids/grandkids and/or
6 one of their friends does a search on a combination
7 of the above key words, there is a good chance that
8 they will be directed to a web-site that will give
9 them a better understanding of how you abuse
10 children in your courtroom. Every child and
11 grandchild should have the opportunity to
12 understand who their father/grandfather, grandpa,
13 correction, really is. No thanks needed. It's the
14 least I can do. As long as you are a judge, I will
15 continue to help people understand how a, how big
16 of a cancer you are to the family court system.
17 Happy Father's Day, Judge James D. Humphrey,
18 mine just got a little better. If people want more
19 information about how evil a person Judge James
20 Humphrey is, go to www.danhelpskids.com.
21 MR. NEGANGARD: And that was, that blog posting was directed to
22 Judge James Humphrey. Is that correct?
23 SHERIFF: Yes.
24 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 174.
25 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

1 COURT: I'll show 174 offered and admitted.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
3 State's Exhibit 173 and ask if you can identify that.

4 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site titled "Dan's
5 adventure in taking on the family courts".

6 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm sorry. And um, if you could, read the last
7 posting um, it starts, you'll get an understanding of
8 how Dukes of Hazard'ish, could you read starting at
9 that?

10 SHERIFF: You'll get an understanding of how Dukes of
11 Hazard'ish a modern day county government can be.
12 It's the perfect place for a judge like James D.
13 Humphrey to abuse children who are a part of the
14 family court system.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 173.

16 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

17 COURT: I'll show 173 offered and admitted.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm sorry. I'm showing you what's marked for
19 identification as State's Exhibit 172 and ask if you
20 can identify that.

21 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site Dan's adventure in
22 taking on the family courts, July 2010.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: And it says uh, what's it titled?

24 SHERIFF: It's titled "The Indiana Appellate Court doesn't tell
25 the truth".

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And could you read the first paragraph?

2 SHERIFF: I think the Indiana Court of Appeals is under the

3 impression that we live in a place like Russia or

4 China. I got a ruling, it says to click here to see the

5 appellate decision in parenthesis, from the Indiana

6 Court of Appeals and apparently they are in the

7 business of abusing children and taking away first

8 amendment rights as well. Rather than deny my

9 appeal because I did not preserve my right to appeal

10 matters while representing myself or claim that my

11 lawyer did not make the correct judge, argument,

12 correction, the Appellate Court did just what the

13 two (2) trial court Judges did – they lied.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And read the first sentence of the next paragraph.

15 SHERIFF: Dearborn Circuit Judge James D. Humphrey

16 terminated my ability to see my children on August

17 18, 2009 based primarily on the testimony and

18 evaluation of child custody evaluator, Dr. Edward J.

19 Connor.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: And in this, he basically accuses the Indiana Court

21 of Appeals to be in the business of abusing children.

22 Correct?

23 SHERIFF: Yes.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 172.

25 COURT: 172, any objection?

1 MR. BARRETT: No your honor.

2 COURT: I'll show 172 offered and admitted. Would counsel
3 approach please? I think we'll break right now.
4 Okay. We're going to recess for lunch at this time.
5 We'll reconvene, would 1:00 be okay?

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.

7 COURT: We'll do our best to back at 1:00. During the times
8 that you are out of the courtroom you may discuss
9 the case amongst yourselves, however you are not to
10 deliberate or begin making decisions as to a verdict.
11 Do not talk to any of the parties, the attorneys or
12 witnesses. Should anyone attempt to talk to you
13 about the trial, you should refuse and report the
14 attempt to me at your first opportunity. There may
15 be publicity and various media concerning this trial.
16 You should not read or listen to those accounts but
17 should confine your attention to the court
18 proceedings, listen attentively to the evidence as it
19 comes from the witnesses and reach a verdict solely
20 upon what you see and hear in this court. You
21 should keep an open mind. You should not form or
22 express an opinion during the trial and should reach
23 no conclusion about the case until it is submitted to
24 you for your deliberation. Would the Court please
25 rise? You may be seated. We're back in 15D02-

1 0803-FD-84, The State of Indiana versus Daniel
2 Brewington. The parties appear in person and by
3 counsel and the jury is also present. Mr. Negangard
4 you may resume your direct examination.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor. Sheriff Kreinhop, I'm
6 going to show you what's marked for identification
7 as State's Exhibit 171 and ask if you can identify
8 that.

9 SHERIFF: This is, excuse me, this is a posting on the web-site,
10 Dan's adventure in taking on the family courts.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: And I'm directing your attention to the first, well
12 what is the title of this particular blog posting?

13 SHERIFF: It's titled "A year without my daughters; here's to
14 you Judge James D. Humphrey.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: Would you please read the first paragraph of that?

16 SHERIFF: I want to dedicate this blog post to Dearborn County
17 Circuit Court Judge James D. Humphrey. A year
18 ago today, Judge Humphrey delivered a devastating
19 blow to two (2) precious little girls. Judge
20 Humphrey took away their daddy out of vengeance
21 and spite for their father. Judge Humphrey
22 punished the three (3) and five (5) year old girls
23 because their dad questioned the ethics of the court
24 and the illegal actions of their expert, Dr. Edward J.
25 Connor. Unfortunately for many families in the

1 Southeastern Indiana area, one of the biggest child
2 abusers is wearing a black robe and holding a gavel.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: If you could, go to the third page of that exhibit, uh,
4 first sentence of the first paragraph.

5 SHERIFF: Beginning with I don't?

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Well actually the last sentence of the first paragraph
7 and the first sentence of the next paragraph.

8 SHERIFF: The only danger that I could not protect my children
9 from was Judge James D. Humphrey. I don't have
10 to go into what a vital and despicable man that
11 Judge Humphrey is because the fact that he
12 deprived my children of the above life experiences
13 speaks for itself.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: And then on the next page, the first full sentence of
15 the top paragraph there, starting with the reason.

16 SHERIFF: The reason Judge Humphrey went to the extremes
17 to hurt me and my children is because he thought
18 that it was his only chance at making me snap
19 because he knew I wasn't unstable or dangerous.
20 Now Judge Humphrey....

21 MR. NEGANGARD: That's fine, that's good. And if you could, um, go
22 to the third paragraph, second sentence starting with
23 people think that.

24 SHERIFF: People think that I am going after Judge James D.
25 Humphrey because I am out for revenge. I am

1 going after Judge Humphrey because it is the right
2 thing to do. Child abusers should play no role in
3 deciding what is best for children. Irony and
4 oxymoron can't even begin to describe the fact that
5 Judge Humphrey is in a position to decide what is
6 best for children when he is willing to punish
7 innocent children by seeking vengeance on their
8 parents.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay and then if you could, uh, start with the very
10 last sentence that goes on to the next page.

11 SHERIFF: My efforts have helped to bring attention to the
12 broken domestic courts in Dearborn County, Indiana
13 and have shed light on the criminal actions of Dr.
14 Edward J. Connor. Greater Cincinnati...

15 MR. NEGANGARD: That's enough. That's okay.

16 SHERIFF: Okay.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could go to the last sentence
18 beginning with I hope.

19 SHERIFF: I hope that the Indiana Court system understands
20 that there is no way that they can outlast this
21 father's fight to see his children.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, thank you. I would move to admit State's
23 Exhibit 171 your honor.

24 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

25 COURT: I'll show 171 offered and admitted.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
2 State's Exhibit 170 and ask if you can identify that.

3 SHERIFF: This is a posting on Dad's adventure taking on the
4 family courts.

5 MR. NEGANGARD: And it's uh, apparently part of a letter addressed
6 Dear Dearborn County officials?

7 SHERIFF: Yes.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could read the first sentence of that
9 paragraph?

10 SHERIFF: In case there is any doubt about the unethical illegal
11 behavior of Judge Humphrey and Dr. Edward J.
12 Connor, the following is Dr. Edward J. Connor's
13 professional opinion of how my conduct negatively
14 impacts my children.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could, go mid way through um, that
16 Judge James Humphrey is a, it's right after my
17 daughters period.

18 SHERIFF: The part where he talks about a child abuser?

19 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.

20 SHERIFF: Okay. Judge James D. Humphrey is a child abuser
21 who is worse than ninety-five percent (95%) of the
22 criminals that he puts in jail. Aaron Negangard
23 has...

24 MR. NEGANGARD: That's good.

25 SHERIFF: Okay.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: And then the last sentence.

2 SHERIFF: Thank you for your time and I hope that you will

3 help put pressure on Prosecutor Aaron Negangard to

4 put a stop to said, correction, to child abusers like

5 Judge James D. Humphrey and Dr. Edward J.

6 Connor.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 170. Your

8 honor, may we approach?

9 COURT: Okay.

10 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

11 COURT: We'll show 170 offered and admitted. Counsel if

12 you would approach please?

13 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor, I just want to note for the record that

14 this is the second time there's been laughing, some

15 commentary from the back of the courtroom. I

16 don't know, I'm not saying you need to deal with it

17 or anything at this point.

18 COURT: And I've noticed it. It's just not been too vocal so

19 I've not said anything. From that side, there's a

20 woman in particular and then there's a man in the

21 third row that they think a lot of this is funny. I

22 mean, but they haven't been laughing out loud but

23 they have huge smiles on their face and then

24 chuckling for most of the last three (3), two (2),

25 days so, but um...

1 MR. NEGANGARD: I just, I mean I don't want them saying anything that
2 the jury would overhear. I think that was the second
3 time that (inaudible). I don't know if you just want
4 to do a general admonishment that if you can't...
5 COURT: At this point, I'm not going to bring any special
6 attention to it. The jurors haven't seemed to react to
7 it in anyway and I don't think it's been too vocal. I
8 agree. You probably could have heard it the last
9 time but um, I'm not going to do anything at this
10 point.
11 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. I'm showing you what's marked for
12 identification as State's Exhibit 169 and ask if you
13 can identify that.
14 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site Dan's adventure in
15 taking on the family courts.
16 MR. NEGANGARD: Um, how is that titled?
17 SHERIFF: It's titled "How Dr. Edward J. Connor conducts
18 himself in an unethical and illegal manner and the
19 people who enable him to do so".
20 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you would, read the last paragraph of the
21 first, or read the, I'm sorry, the last sentence of the
22 first paragraph.
23 SHERIFF: In 2008, Ms. Dinkle was arrested...
24 MR. NEGANGARD: ...no, no, no. I'm sorry the last sentence of the first
25 paragraph.

1 **SHERIFF:** Yes, okay. Dr. Edward J. Connor is not only a
2 danger to children Dr. Connor presents a real danger
3 to the integrity of criminal and civil trials to which
4 Dr. Connor is involved with.

5 **MR. NEGAGNARD:** And then if you could, read on the next page, um,
6 starting with the second sentence of that paragraph,
7 if you could, read that to the conclusion of the
8 paragraph.

9 **SHERIFF:** Uh, the first paragraph?

10 **MR. NEGANGARD:** No, the last paragraph.

11 **SHERIFF:** The last paragraph?

12 **MR. NEGANGARD:** The second sentence, starting with the second
13 sentence of the last paragraph, to the conclusion of
14 that paragraph on that second page.

15 **SHERIFF:** When Judge Humphrey asked Dr. Connor how my
16 behavior reflected my ability to parent and the
17 safety of my children, Dr. Connor stated my concern
18 is that if he, it might not be necessary, the physical
19 safety but the psychological well being of the
20 children if he were to attempt to coach them in any
21 way against the mother. If he doesn't get what he
22 wants and his way, my concern is, he'll start to
23 work on the children, try to coach them, or
24 influence them in some, in some, and I think the last
25 portion is missing.

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Continue on the next page.

2 SHERIFF: It goes on to say about that on the next page.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: Just go on.

4 SHERIFF: Shall I continue?

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.

6 SHERIFF: When Judge Humphrey asked Dr. Connor to

7 describe what Dr. Connor observed that led him to

8 that conclusion, Dr. Connor replied, "his

9 manipulative-ness, his tendency not to see things

10 objectively from another's perspective, pretty much,

11 if he doesn't get what he wants, then he'll go to

12 many different extents or means to try to get his

13 way, such as I said, all of the information that he

14 puts up on the internet, he's threatened. I feel he's

15 threatened the courts". I feel like he's made threats

16 to me. He's made very negative comments about

17 myself publicly. There's a lot of manipulation on

18 his behalf that I think would predispose him then to

19 trying to manipulate the children as well if he does

20 not get what he wants.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. I would move to admit State's Exhibit 169.

22 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

23 COURT: I'll show 169 offered and admitted.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

25 State's Exhibit 168 and ask if you can identify that.

1 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site Dan's adventure in
2 taking on the family courts.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And how is, uh, directing yourself, directing you to
4 the bottom of the page to the date. What's the date
5 on that posting?

6 SHERIFF: At the bottom of the page?

7 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell.

8 SHERIFF: September 1, 2010.

9 MR. NEGANGARD: And what's it entitled?

10 SHERIFF: At the bottom of the page, the title is "complaint
11 against Judge James D. Humphrey".

12 MR. NEGANGARD: And then if you could read the first sentence to that
13 page.

14 SHERIFF: If anyone has doubts about Dearborn County Circuit
15 Judge James D. Humphrey being abuser of children,
16 I soon will be posting my complaint. Shall I
17 continue?

18 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.

19 SHERIFF: I am going to file against Judge Humphrey and the
20 State of Indiana.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: And then go ahead and just read the last sentence of
22 the second paragraph.

23 SHERIFF: If you live in the Greater Cincinnati area, please feel
24 free to share this information with people involved
25 in the Domestic courts to help protect children from

1 being abused by Dr. Edward J. Connor and Judge
2 James D. Humphrey.
3 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. I would move to admit State's Exhibit 168.
4 MR. BARRETT: No objection.
5 COURT: I'll show 168 offered and admitted.
6 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
7 State's Exhibit 167. Can you identify that?
8 SHERIFF: Yes.
9 MR. NEGANGARD: And is that a, what is it?
10 SHERIFF: This is also a posting on Dan's, the web-site Dan's
11 adventure in taking on the family courts.
12 MR. NEGANGARD: And again I would just direct your attention to the
13 last sentence, last two (2) sentences of that blog
14 posting on the last page.
15 SHERIFF: Shall I read it?
16 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes please.
17 SHERIFF: Child abuse by the judicial system is still child
18 abuse. Unfortunately, elected officials turn their
19 heads when judges abuse little children. I cannot
20 bring myself to vote for people who turn their backs
21 on children.
22 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 167.
23 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.
24 COURT: I'll show 167 offered and admitted.
25 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

1 State's Exhibit 166 and ask if you can identify that.

2 SHERIFF: I can.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is that?

4 SHERIFF: It's a blog posting containing the photograph of Dr.

5 Connor on the web-site Dan's adventure in taking

6 on the family courts.

7 MR. NEGANGARD: And what's it entitled?

8 SHERIFF: It's titled "the dangerous dancing Dr. Edward J.

9 Connor".

10 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, read the first two (2) sentences,

11 first three (3) sentences.

12 SHERIFF: Well here he is, Dr. Edward J. Connor, PHD.

13 People who read or hear about my story are always

14 asking, what does this guy look like. I think people

15 expect to see more of an evil looking person.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: Continue.

17 SHERIFF: This is what helps make Dr. Edward J. Connor one

18 of the most dangerous psychologists in the Ohio,

19 Indiana, Kentucky area. By just looking at him you

20 may not think that he would actively work to hurt

21 children and parents but he does.

22 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. I'm showing you what's marked for

23 identification as State's Exhibit 165 and ask if you

24 can identify that.

25 SHERIFF: This is a blog posting on Dan's adventure in taking

1 on the family courts.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, directing yourself to the second

3 page, um, just read that last paragraph.

4 SHERIFF: The very last paragraph?

5 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell.

6 SHERIFF: Stay tuned as I hoped to get a copy of the

7 transcript...

8 MR. NEGANGARD: No. Page 165.

9 SHERIFF: Up here?

10 MR. NEGANGARD: Yell.

11 SHERIFF: To get an idea of the lengths that some Indiana

12 Judges will go to, to punish people who oppose

13 them, check out the motions my mom and brother

14 were forced to file because Humphrey took their

15 rights without giving them the ability to vent

16 themselves. Click here to see my mother's motion

17 to vacate order. Click here to see my brother's

18 motion to vacate order. If you live in Indiana and

19 you have a trust and also have married children, you

20 need to bolt to your lawyer because you can lose

21 your rights to your own property. If you did not

22 believe that Humphrey was an evil and vindictive

23 man for what he did to my children, just click the

24 above links to see how Dearborn Circuit Court

25 Judge James D. Humphrey and Appellate justices,

1 Margaret Robb, John Baker, and the rest of the
2 Indiana Court of Appeals made every effort possible
3 to bring financial harm to my entire family because
4 I publicized a criminal behavior of the court and the
5 court's expert, Dr. Edward J. Connor. The judges
6 chose to ignore the fact that a person's rights cannot
7 be infringed upon by a legal proceeding. The
8 person is not a party, laws and rules do not matter to
9 people like Judge James D. Humphrey and Chief
10 Justice, Margaret Robb, as they will abuse the rules
11 just as they will have abused children.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright, thank you. Now I would like to show you a
13 few blog postings in the other blog,
14 danhelpskids.com. I'm showing you what's marked
15 for identification as State's Exhibit 163 and ask if
16 you can identify that.

17 SHERIFF: Yes I can.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is that?

19 SHERIFF: It's a uh, a blog posting on danhelpskids.com titled
20 "don't take the bait".

21 MR. NEGANGARD: And directing your attention to the second page of
22 that exhibit. Um, middle of the last paragraph,
23 mommy lied and took you away from daddy. Do
24 you see that?

25 SHERIFF: Not right, okay, yes I do. Begin there?

1 MR. NEGANGARD: Yes.

2 SHERIFF: Mommy lied and took you away from daddy. The

3 corrupt psychologist and evil judge punished daddy

4 for asking why the psychologist lied. Then they can

5 go home with the woman who masterminded the

6 whole debacle and get an earful of more b.s. This is

7 my world. Put yourself in my position. There is no

8 guarantee that my little girls will still love me when

9 I get to see them again.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: That's good. I would move to admit State's Exhibit

11 163.

12 COURT: Mr. Barrett?

13 MR. BARRETT: No objection your honor.

14 COURT: I'll show 163 offered and admitted. 167 was

15 admitted.

16 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor, I would also move to admit 166 and

17 165.

18 COURT: Any objection to those Mr. Barrett?

19 MR. BARRETT: Can I come and look at them Judge? I don't think

20 so, but.

21 COURT: On 166, the dangerous dancing doctor, hasn't that

22 already been admitted? I've got #201 as the

23 dangerous dancing doctor. (inaudible).

24 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible).

25 COURT: That was my note unless there's more to it. I don't

1 know.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible).

3 COURT: That's fine and you have no objection to 165.

4 MR. BARRETT: No.

5 COURT: I'll show 165 is offered and admitted and 163 was

6 also offered and admitted. The Exhibit 166 looks to

7 be the identical document which was also State's

8 Exhibit 201 and there was no objection to that, so

9 166 is the same thing as 201.

10 MR. NEGANGARD: Thank you, your honor.

11 COURT: And it will be admitted.

12 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as

13 State's Exhibit 162 and ask if you can identify that.

14 SHERIFF: Yes.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is that?

16 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site danhelpskids.com.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: And there's a thing entitled "why Judge James D.

18 Humphrey hates me" and then there's a gavel and a

19 hand and then there's a sentence underneath that.

20 What does that say?

21 SHERIFF: That says I'm still having a hard time

22 comprehending how a judge can be so mean and

23 vindictive. Judge Humphrey's actions constitute

24 child abuse.

25 MR. NEGANGARD: I would move to admit State's Exhibit 162.

1 MR. BARRETT: No objection.

2 COURT: We'll show 162 offered and admitted.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: I'm showing you what's marked for identification as
4 State's Exhibit 161 and ask if you can identify that.

5 SHERIFF: Yes.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: And what is that?

7 SHERIFF: It's a posting on the web-site danhelpskids.com.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you could, read um, the first paragraph.

9 SHERIFF: A great white shark could be considered a metaphor
10 for people like Dr. Edward J. Connor. Great white
11 sharks are unpredictable, voracious predators who
12 have no enemies in the ocean. They will attack
13 their own species and have no regard for life. If
14 threatened they will attack. Great white sharks are
15 the most feared predators in the ocean. There is
16 nothing that can stop the biggest of the great whites,
17 well almost nothing. Even nature's perfect killing
18 machine can be baited with a hook.

19 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay that's sufficient. I would move to admit
20 State's Exhibit 161.

21 MR. BARRETT: No objection.

22 COURT: 161 is offered and admitted.

23 MR. NEGANGARD: Now those are just a sampling of the blog postings
24 that are contained in State's Exhibits 210 and 211.
25 Is that correct?

1 SHERIFF: Yes.

2 MR. NEGANGARD: And in some of the other blog posting, does he
3 make similar allegations about them being corrupt
4 and evil and so on and so forth?

5 SHERIFF: Yes he does.

6 MR. NEGANGARD: Now your honor, if I could have just a minute to set
7 up.

8 COURT: Sure, yes counsel? Okay. I had these 4 (inaudible),
9 then I had (inaudible) 186A. 185 and 186A. I don't
10 have any description of what 185 was.

11 MR. BARRETT: 185 is?

12 MR. NEGANGARD: It's a posting from January (inaudible).

13 COURT: Okay.

14 MR. NEGANGARD: (inaudible).

15 COURT: Okay, that's fine. Go ahead. I'll show 210 and 211
16 offered into the Court's record. For the jurors
17 benefit, also counsel and I have discussed, there was
18 an exhibit 186A that's offered and admitted into
19 evidence with no objection.

20 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay, Detective Kreinhop or Sheriff Kreinhop.
21 Sorry. You've been to the Dearborn County
22 Assessor's web-site before. Is that correct?

23 SHERIFF: Yes.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: And um, you earlier testified regarding the
25 testimony of Defendant, Dan Brewington that he

1 had obtained Heidi Humphrey's address by going to
2 the Dearborn County Assessor's web-site. Is that
3 correct?
4 SHERIFF: Yes.
5 MR. NEGANGARD: Now if you would, um, we have for the record, we
6 have a lap top in front of you with it pulled up to the
7 Dearborn County Assessor's web-site. Is that
8 correct?
9 SHERIFF: Yes.
10 MR. NEGANGARD: And that same, I'm sorry. That same, uh, web-site
11 is on display for the jury on a screen that's currently
12 in front of you. Is that correct?
13 SHERIFF: That's correct.
14 MR. NEGANGARD: And that web-site says welcome guest, start your
15 search. Would you start the search? Now you can
16 choose address, parcel number or owner. Would
17 you please go to owner and would please enter the
18 name Heidi Humphrey? No, no, you didn't enter
19 anything. In that please enter and owner name, no
20 please enter and owner name. Do you see that?
21 SHERIFF: Yes. Okay, it's all grayed out there, okay.
22 MR. NEGANGARD: Alright there you go.
23 SHERIFF: Heidi Humphrey?
24 MR. NEGANGARD: Heidi space Humphrey, that's how it's indicated it's
25 best to and then hit go. It says, what does it say on

1 the screen for the record?

2 SHERIFF: It says no records found.

3 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. If you please go to owner again and put

4 Humphrey and hit go. It lists three (3) people

5 having addresses with the last name of Humphrey in

6 Dearborn County. Is that correct?

7 SHERIFF: That's correct.

8 MR. NEGANGARD: And if you would, the first listing, what is the owner

9 name?

10 SHERIFF: Nora Lee Humphrey.

11 MR. NEGANGARD: And the second listing? Who is listed?

12 SHERIFF: William R., Marilyn Humphrey.

13 MR. NEGANGARD: And the last listing?

14 SHERIFF: James D., Heidi D. Humphrey.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: And that is the 1406 Indian Woods Trail,

16 Lawrenceburg?

17 SHERIFF: Yes it is.

18 MR. NEGANGARD: The same address that was posted on the blog by

19 Dan Brewington?

20 SHERIFF: Yes it is.

21 MR. NEGANGARD: Okay. Now if you could go back and uh instead of

22 putting starts with, hit contains. And now put Heidi

23 Humphrey, Heidi space Humphrey. Hit go and

24 there it shows James D. and Heidi Humphrey. Is

25 that correct?

STATE'S WITNESS – SHERIFF KREINHOP - CROSS

1 **SHERIFF:** That's correct.

2 **MR. NEGANGARD:** It doesn't show a listing for just Heidi Humphrey,

3 does it?

4 **SHERIFF:** No it does not.

5 **MR. NEGANGARD:** Your honor, I don't have any further questions.

6 **COURT:** Mr. Barrett, do you need this left up for any reason?

7 **MR. BARRETT:** No your honor.

8 **COURT:** Mr. Barrett you may cross-examine the witness.

9 **MR. BARRETT:** Thank you, your honor. Good afternoon Sheriff.

10 **SHERIFF:** Good afternoon.

11 **MR. BARRETT:** Were you the only officer involved in this

12 investigation?

13 **SHERIFF:** I believe so, yes.

14 **MR. BARRETT:** Okay. To your knowledge you were?

15 **SHERIFF:** To my knowledge, yes.

16 **MR. BARRETT:** And did your investigation ever reveal that prior to

17 the commencement of the investigation, did Mr.

18 Brewington ever live in Dearborn County?

19 **SHERIFF:** Yes he did.

20 **MR. BARRETT:** When was that?

21 **SHERIFF:** I'm not sure on the exact dates but I know at the

22 time his divorce was filed, he was living in Ripley

23 County near Milan.

24 **MR. BARRETT:** Okay and did he live in Dearborn County any time

25 that you know of?

1 SHERIFF: Not that I'm aware of.

2 MR. BARRETT: Okay, um, and you are the Sheriff of Dearborn
3 County?

4 SHERIFF: Yes.

5 MR. BARRETT: But not Ohio County.

6 SHERIFF: That's correct.

7 MR. BARRETT: Okay, but the Prosecutor is the Prosecuting
8 Attorney for Ohio and Dearborn Counties?

9 SHERIFF: Yes.

10 MR. BARRETT: And as is the Circuit Court Judge?

11 SHERIFF: That's correct.

12 MR. BARRETT: Okay. And that's pretty common knowledge down
13 here for people that are from this community.

14 SHERIFF: Yes.

15 MR. BARRETT: Um, did your investigation reveal the location
16 where Mr. Brewington was doing his posts? Where
17 he was physically when he would be blogging?

18 SHERIFF: Not exactly, no.

19 MR. BARRETT: Okay. Did you, did it reveal anything as far as
20 where those were, where he was physically when he
21 did them?

22 SHERIFF: Not directly, no.

23 MR. BARRETT: Alright. Um, did you ever seek um, a search
24 warrant to um, obtain Mr. Brewington's computer
25 or hard drive or anything?

1 SHERIFF: No.

2 MR. BARRETT: And your investigation continued of Mr.

3 Brewington and his activities for about eighteen

4 (18) months. Is that fair to say?

5 SHERIFF: Well if you include the Grand Jury. My

6 investigation probably ended after about um, I

7 would say about three (3) months.

8 MR. BARRETT: Okay. You started in August. Is that correct?

9 SHERIFF: Yes.

10 MR. BARRETT: Of '08?

11 SHERIFF: Correct.

12 MR. BARRETT: August of 2008.

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. BARRETT: And then, alright and then the Grand Jury was

15 commenced in February, I think of '09?

16 SHERIFF: Of 2011.

17 MR. BARRETT: I'm sorry, 2011. I apologize. Um, your

18 investigation didn't reveal any acts of violence that

19 Mr. Brewington committed against any public

20 officials did it?

21 SHERIFF: No.

22 COURT: Do you want to approach?

23 MR. NEGANGARD: I just want to be certain that we don't get into the

24 other investigations specific to the date of this

25 investigation because there was another

1 investigation (inaudible).

2 COURT: Is that with the prison, the jail? Okay, yell, just be

3 careful of that.

4 MR. BARRETT: Now you've had occasion during your investigation

5 of Mr. Brewington that led to these charges that was

6 the subject of the Grand Jury to read a lot of his

7 blogs and things.

8 SHERIFF: Yes.

9 MR. BARRETT: Did you find them confusing or difficult to

10 understand?

11 SHERIFF: For the most part, no.

12 MR. BARRETT: Okay. At times though you did?

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. BARRETT: Um, I want to uh, did you become aware in your

15 capacity as Sheriff, whether or not um, or in your

16 investigation of the blogging activity and such,

17 whether or not Mr. Brewington's writings had led to

18 discussion in the community about his views?

19 SHERIFF: There were some discussions.

20 MR. BARRETT: Okay. Was that, in what form?

21 SHERIFF: There's a public forum, Dearborn County blog spot,

22 I believe it's called.

23 MR. BARRETT: That you've referred to previously I think.

24 SHERIFF: Yes, there were written statements in regards to him

25 on that web-site.

1 MR. BARRETT: From others than him or at least purported to be
2 people other than him.
3 SHERIFF: Yes.
4 MR. BARRETT: Okay. I'm going to uh, I want to show you what's
5 been marked...may I approach your honor?
6 COURT: You may.
7 MR. BARRETT: State's Exhibit 177.
8 SHERIFF: Okay.
9 MR. BARRETT: Um, I believe it would be the second page there of
10 the fifth paragraph down that starts, let me give you
11 a scenario. Do you see that?
12 SHERIFF: Yes.
13 MR. BARRETT: Can you read that for me down and on to the next
14 page, down to um, including the first two
15 paragraphs on the next page?
16 SHERIFF: Begins with anyone?
17 MR. BARRETT: Ends with, yes.
18 SHERIFF: Okay.
19 MR. BARRETT: Yes sir.
20 SHERIFF: Let me give you a scenario. Let's say that you had a
21 drain line back up into your basement. You call a
22 national drain cleaning service to fix the problem.
23 While at your house the workers were rude, tracked
24 dirt across your upstairs carpet and that was after
25 they were four (4) hours late for the scheduled time.

1 When you got the bill for the services, they charged
2 you twice of what they said they were going to
3 charge. A few weeks later the drain backed up
4 again. When you called about the satisfaction
5 guaranteed clause of the contract, they said that they
6 were not going to honor it. You decided to post
7 your thoughts on the internet to tell people what
8 happened when you used that particular company.
9 You write things like “those lousy sons-of-
10 BI#\$HES tracked dirt all over my house. They
11 made me so mad I wanted to beat them senseless,
12 the dirty piece of S*@T, would not honor their
13 contract and left me in a worse situation even
14 though they overcharged me. Every time I see the
15 stains in my carpet it makes me want to punch them
16 in the face”. If you showed that to a social worker,
17 psychologist and/or Judge, they would say there’s
18 no way I would ever use that drain service but what
19 if the story went like this: That lousy son-of-a-
20 BI#\$H, Dr. Custody Evaluator, lied in his report.
21 He made me so mad, I wanted to beat him or her
22 senseless, the dirty piece of S*@T, would not honor
23 his or her contract and left me in a worse situation
24 even though he or she took my money. Every time I
25 think about the evaluation report that contained

1 numerous errors and oversights, it makes me want
2 to punch Dr. Custody Evaluator in the face. Rather
3 than say there is no way I would use Dr. Custody
4 Evaluator, the social worker, psychologist and/or
5 Judge, may begin to think that the person who wrote
6 the review is a danger to their own children. During
7 this four (4) years, so the person who wrote the
8 spiteful web post must suffer from some sort of
9 reality distortion. Feelings of wanting to punch or
10 beat Dr. Custody Evaluator raised some real
11 concerns about the person possibly posting a danger
12 to his or her own children. I believe this person is
13 in need of anger management and extensive
14 psychological examination and possible medication
15 to help deal with the observed behavior before the
16 parent can exercise any parenting time with his or
17 her children. No one has ever lost the ability to see
18 their children because they wrote an angry review of
19 a plumbing company. Why should someone's
20 parenting abilities be questioned if they write an
21 angry review of a custody evaluator? That's what
22 happened to me except I have never written about
23 my any thoughts of causing physical harm to any
24 one.

25 MR. BARRETT:

Thank you Sheriff and that's uh, State's Exhibit

1 177?

2 SHERIFF: That's correct.

3 MR. BARRETT: And you read part of that earlier and certainly

4 haven't read it all yet but that's around the part that

5 you read earlier. Correct?

6 SHERIFF: That's correct.

7 MR. BARRETT: Thank you sir. Um, now your investigation

8 revealed that uh, did your investigation reveal or,

9 strike that. After the Grand Jury, um, after the

10 Grand Jury appearance by Mr. Brewington, um, he's

11 also charged with uh, um, disseminating Grand Jury

12 material. Correct?

13 SHERIFF: Yes.

14 MR. BARRETT: And there was a blog and I think it was introduced

15 as State's Exhibit, I don't remember, honestly I

16 don't, 10 maybe. Um, there was no discussion in

17 that blog, was there, of specific things that were said

18 in the Grand Jury?

19 SHERIFF: No.

20 MR. BARRETT: Or testimony?

21 SHERIFF: No, not specifics.

22 MR. BARRETT: Didn't list any of the grand jurors?

23 SHERIFF: No.

24 MR. BARRETT: Didn't indicate any vote or anything of the Grand

25 Jury?

1 SHERIFF: I'm sorry, what was that again?

2 MR. BARRETT: It didn't list any vote or votes that had been taken or

3 how they had voted?

4 SHERIFF: No, it did not.

5 MR. BARRETT: Okay. And the uh, you were here yesterday when

6 Judge Humphrey testified and his wife testified.

7 SHERIFF: Yes.

8 MR. BARRETT: They emphasized that they had not uh, put their

9 address in the phone book as I recall their

10 testimony.

11 SHERIFF: That's correct.

12 MR. BARRETT: But their address obviously appears in certain places

13 that are accessible to the public?

14 SHERIFF: I would assume so but I don't know for a fact that

15 that's the case.

16 MR. BARRETT: I mean the Dearborn County Assessor's site that we

17 just viewed...

18 SHERIFF: ...yes...

19 MR. BARRETT: ...is a...

20 SHERIFF: ...yes...

21 MR. BARRETT: ...I mean...

22 SHERIFF: ...certainly does...

23 MR. BARRETT: ...anybody could get there.

24 SHERIFF: Yes.

25 MR. BARRETT: I mean if they wanted to.

1 **SHERIFF:** Yes.

2 **MR. BARRETT:** Alright. Um, and it obviously didn't take very long

3 to. Did you check during the course of your

4 investigation to see if it was hard or if there was

5 anywhere else that their address could be found?

6 **SHERIFF:** Not specifically.

7 **MR. BARRETT:** Okay. Um, so you don't know if it could be found

8 anywhere else on the internet?

9 **SHERIFF:** No, not directly.

10 **MR. BARRETT:** Now during your investigation, well, since your

11 investigation commenced with Mr. Brewington's

12 blogging and the things that have led to the charges

13 that we're here for today, your agency has never had

14 any reports of him being present in any of those

15 locations of Judge Humphrey's house. Is that

16 correct?

17 **SHERIFF:** Not that I'm aware of.

18 **MR. BARRETT:** I mean he was, I think, reported here at the

19 courthouse on one occasion.

20 **SHERIFF:** Yes that's correct.

21 **MR. BARRETT:** The courthouse is a public building.

22 **SHERIFF:** Yes.

23 **MR. BARRETT:** And no reports that Mr. Brewington ever went to

24 the airport or any place that I think that Mrs.

25 Humphrey indicated at some point in the past she

1 had worked at the airport.

2 SHERIFF: Not that I'm aware of.

3 MR. BARRETT: Um, and with regard to Dr. Connor's house, did you

4 coordinate your investigation with the, I believe,

5 Erlanger, Kentucky, police?

6 SHERIFF: No I did not.

7 MR. BARRETT: Okay, but you didn't, it didn't reveal any indication

8 that Mr. Brewington had been around there. Your

9 investigation...that was a terrible question. Did your

10 investigation reveal anything to indicate that Mr.

11 Brewington had been to Dr. Connor's residence

12 personally?

13 SHERIFF: Other than what Dr. Connor had indicated?

14 MR. BARRETT: The stuff about the internet writings?

15 SHERIFF: Yes.

16 MR. BARRETT: Is that what you referred to?

17 SHERIFF: Yes.

18 MR. BARRETT: Okay and that was it?

19 SHERIFF: Yes, that's all.

20 MR. BARRETT: Okay. Can I have one moment Judge? I think I'm

21 almost finished. Um, you're familiar with the

22 charge of Perjury against Mr. Brewington that's

23 pending in this case?

24 SHERIFF: Yes.

25 MR. BARRETT: And my understanding of that is, that it is with

1 regard to his testimony at the Grand Jury about the
2 Humphrey address.

3 SHERIFF: Yes, that's correct.

4 MR. BARRETT: And the issue is to whether or not he knew that
5 Heidi Humphrey was Judge Humphrey's wife.

6 SHERIFF: Yes.

7 MR. BARRETT: Other than the thing that we've seen here with the
8 Dearborn County Assessor's office, are you aware
9 of any other evidence to indicate that Mr.
10 Brewington had specific knowledge as to who
11 Judge Humphrey's wife was at the time he testified
12 before the Grand Jury?

13 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor can we approach?

14 COURT: Yes.

15 MR. NEGANGARD: There's been some information that has come to
16 light. A person currently incarcerated with Mr.
17 Brewington, um, it came to light the end of last
18 week in the context of additional threats, um, on
19 Judge Humphrey and myself actually by Daniel
20 Brewington. Um, and we've been investigating
21 that, um, so I think the question would be better
22 worded to the time frame and would probably be a
23 good idea that Mr. Brewington not be specifically
24 advised about that.

25 MR. BARRETT: (inaudible).

1 MR. NEGANGARD: That Mr. Brewington be advised of it.

2 COURT: Okay, so he'll rephrase then? The question?

3 MR. BARRETT: Sheriff, I apologize for the confusing nature of my

4 question. I want to withdraw it and ask it in a

5 different way if I could.

6 SHERIFF: Yes sir.

7 MR. BARRETT: With regard specifically to the Count of Perjury,

8 you vouched for that in the Grand Jury. You

9 testified in the Grand Jury that you believed that

10 there was grounds to charge Mr. Brewington with

11 perjury at that time. I think it was in February, I've

12 got the wrong book, February of this year, 2011 and

13 maybe March 1, 2011?

14 SHERIFF: Yes.

15 MR. BARRETT: And March 2nd, I guess.

16 SHERIFF: Yes, that's correct.

17 MR. BARRETT: Up to that point when he was charged, was there

18 any evidence to indicate, did your investigation

19 reveal any evidence that he had uh, obtained, have

20 any other way that he obtained, or that he had any

21 knowledge of her, Heidi Humphrey being Judge

22 Humphrey's wife?

23 SHERIFF: Not that I can immediately recall.

24 MR. BARRETT: Okay, so would it be fair to say that the jest of your

25 testimony had to do with the fact of the address

1 being on the web-site of the Assessor's office and
2 Mr. Brewington's testimony?
3 SHERIFF: Right. I think that's what he testified to is that's
4 how he found the address was on the Assessor's
5 web-site.
6 MR. BARRETT: Okay. And if I were to tell you he said it could very
7 well be possible. I'm not from Decatur County; I'm
8 sorry Decatur County, Dearborn County. If that was
9 his testimony in the Grand Jury, would that be
10 consistent with your memory? Or we can look at it,
11 I guess, either one.
12 SHERIFF: I don't remember it specifically.
13 MR. BARRETT: Okay.
14 SHERIFF: If it's in the transcript, then I'm sure he said it.
15 MR. BARRETT: May I approach Judge?
16 COURT: You may.
17 MR. BARRETT: Uh, I don't remember the number. May I approach
18 the witness your honor?
19 COURT: You may. I'm going to show you again, Sheriff,
20 State's Exhibit 212 and ask if you would turn to
21 page 166.
22 SHERIFF: Okay.
23 MR. BARRETT: And if you would, line 13 through and including
24 line 18, if you would read that please.
25 SHERIFF: It said James Humphrey who happened to be the

1 name of your judge and you're under oath and
2 you're actually expecting this Grand Jury to believe
3 that you didn't know that he was his wife. Oh, it
4 was, correction, it very well could be a possibility.
5 I'm not from Dearborn County. I don't know but
6 the thing is.

7 MR. BARRETT: Okay, thank you Sheriff. I don't have any other
8 questions for the Sheriff your honor.

9 COURT: Any redirect Mr. Negangard?

10 MR. NEGANGARD: No your honor.

11 COURT: Does the jury have any questions for this witness?
12 Thank you. You may step down.

13 SHERIFF: Yes sir.

14 COURT: Mr. Negangard?

15 MR. NEGANGARD: Your honor can we approach?

16 COURT: Yes.

17 MR. NEGANGARD: I have no further questions. Um, there's an issue of
18 the publication of the exhibits. I think it would
19 benefit both sides if there was some review of
20 exhibits prior to closing. I'm open to suggestions
21 how the Court wants to proceed.

22 COURT: Otherwise, I know you haven't said the words yet,
23 but you're ready to rest.

24 MR. NEGANGARD: Right.

25 COURT: Okay.